

Università degli Studi di Salerno  
DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE ECONOMICHE E STATISTICHE

Rosa Ferrentino\*

VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES  
AND OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

WORKING PAPER 3.181

Department of Economic and Sciences – University of Salerno – via Ponte Don Melillo –  
84084 Fisciano (Salerno)



## **Abstract**

In this paper we survey the relationships between scalar and vector variational inequalities (of differential type) and the underlying optimization problem. We show that the variational inequalities of Stampacchia type can be viewed as necessary optimality conditions, while the variational inequalities of Minty type can be considered as sufficient optimality conditions. Concerning this last statement, a gap is observed between the scalar and the vector case and possible fulfilments of this gap are investigated.

## **Introduction**

Variational inequalities, formulated, between the end of 60' and the beginning of 70' of previous century by the italian mathematician G. Stampacchia provide a very general framework for a wide range of mathematical problems among which, rather under general hypotheses, optimization ones. Moreover, they have shown to be important models in the study of equilibrium problems, in the engineering sciences (equilibrium problems in a traffic network) and in the economic sciences (oligopolistic market equilibrium problems) [1],[13][18]. Such problems, in fact, play a crucial role in the theory of complex systems and for this reason, recently, have been presented many variational formulations of these problems.

The objective of this paper is deepen the analysis of variational inequalities, provide a brief survey of the known results , either in the scalar and in the vector case, also with regard to their links with optimization problems and investigate a possible fulfilments of the gap between the scalar and the vector case. The paper is structured as follows.

In section 1 some known results about Stampacchia and Minty scalar variational inequalities are recalled, in section 2 their links with scalar optimization problems are analysed while in section 3 the vector variational inequalities and their links with vector optimization are presented. The fourth section, finally, is devoted to two different approaches to vector variational inequalities.

The typical setting of the results due to Stampacchia is given by infinite dimensional spaces; recently, instead, thanks to the studies of F. Giannessi, has been deepened also the analysis of the problem formulated in finite-dimensional space.

## 1. Stampacchia and Minty scalar variational inequalities

We introduce Stampacchia and Minty variational inequalities in finite dimensional spaces.

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $R^n$  and let  $F$  be a function from  $R^n$  to  $R^n$ . A Stampacchia variational inequality (for short  $SVI(F, K)$ ) is the problem to find an  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$SVI(F, K) \quad \langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in  $R^n$ .

The problem was first introduced and studied by Stampacchia in 1964; subsequently, however, many other papers have appeared dealing with theoretical aspects and with applications of this problem [1],[18]. The vector  $x^*$ , solution of  $SVI(F, K)$ , is called *Stampacchia equilibrium point of the map  $F$  on  $K$* .

We now introduce some equivalent formulations of Stampacchia variational inequality in the case in which it has as domain an open set or a convex and closed set. If the domain  $K$  is an open set, then the solution of a variational inequality is equivalent to that of a system of equations, as shows the following result:

**Proposition 1.1:** Let  $K \subseteq R^n$  be an open set and let be  $F : K \rightarrow R^n$ . The vector  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $SVI(F, K)$  if and only if  $x^*$  solves the system of equation  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

*Proof:* If  $F(x^*) = 0$ , then  $SVI(F, K)$  holds with equality

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in K$$

Conversely if  $x^*$  is a solution of  $SVI(F, K)$  and  $K$  is an open set, exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\beta(x^*, \delta) \subset K$  and so, by supposition,

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \beta(x^*, \delta)$$

But  $\forall x \in \beta(x^*, \delta)$  also  $(2x^* - x) \in \beta(x^*, \delta)$  and then

$$\langle F(x^*), 2x^* - x - x^* \rangle = \langle F(x^*), x^* - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \beta(x^*, \delta)$$

Therefore  $\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in \beta(x^*, \delta)$

and that is equivalent to condition  $x^*$  solves  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

Many classical economic equilibrium problems have been formulated as systems of equations, since market clearing conditions necessarily equate the total supply with the total demand. Note that systems of equations, however, preclude the introduction of inequalities, which may be needed, for example, in the case of non negativity assumptions on certain variables such as price.

If, instead,  $K$  is a convex and closed set, an equivalent geometric formulation of  $SVI(F, K)$  can be given introducing the concepts of normal cone and generalized equation.

**Definition 1.2:** If  $C \subseteq R^n$  is a convex and closed set, the normal cone to  $C$  at a point  $x^* \in C$  is:

$$N_C(x^*) = \{x \in R^n : \langle x, y - x^* \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in C\}$$

It is easily seen that the normal cone is closed and convex. Then, if  $K$  is convex,  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $SVI(F, K)$  if and only if:

$$-F(x^*) \in N_K(x^*),$$

that is, if and only if  $0 \in F(x^*) + N_K(x^*)$  and so the variational inequality  $SVI(F, K)$  is equivalent to a generalized equation.

An alternative formulation of the Stampacchia variational inequality (equivalent only under monotonicity and continuity hypotheses) has been proposed, in 1962, by G. J. Minty. The variational inequality which he formulated is known as *Minty variational inequality*.

**Definition 1.3** Let be  $K$  a nonempty subset of  $R^n$  and let  $F$  be a function from  $K$  to  $R^n$ . A Minty variational inequality (for short  $MVI(F; K)$ ) is the following problem: to find an  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\langle F(y), x^* - y \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

Any solution of  $MVI(F; K)$  is called a *Minty equilibrium point* of the map  $F$  over  $K$ . It is important underline that, while in the Minty variational inequality is considered the value assumed by  $F$  in every  $y \in K$ , in the Stampacchia variational inequality the function  $F$  is estimated only in the given point  $x^* \in K$ .

A well-known Lemma, formulated by Minty in 1967, states the equivalence of the two alternative formulations (the one presented by Stampacchia and the one introduced by Minty) under continuity and monotonicity assumptions of involved operator. In other words Minty's lemma gives a complete characterization of the solutions of  $MVI(F; K)$  in terms of the solution of  $SVI(F; K)$ , when the set  $K$  is convex and the operator  $F$  is continuous and monotone.

**Minty's Lemma:** Let be  $F : K \rightarrow R^n$  with  $K \subseteq R^n$ .

i) If  $F$  is continuous on  $K$  and  $K$  is convex, then every  $x^* \in K$  which solves  $MVI(F, K)$  is also a solution of  $SVI(F, K)$ .

ii) If, instead,  $F$  is monotone on the convex set  $K$ , that is if

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

then every  $x^* \in K$  which solves  $SVI(F, K)$  is also a solution of  $MVI(F, K)$ .

**Remark 1:** It can be observed that for the implication  $MVI \Rightarrow SVI$  only the convexity of  $K$  and the continuity of  $F$  are used, while for the reverse implication only the monotonicity of  $F$  is exploited. Such hypothesis, contained in the point ii), can be weakened with the concept of pseudomonotonicity; in other words the implication  $SVI \Rightarrow MVI$  is still true if  $F$  it is pseudomonotone, i.e.:

$$\langle F(x), x - y \rangle \leq 0 \Rightarrow \langle F(y), x - y \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

One of the crucial problems in variational inequalities theory, on which is focused an important part of research, is the existence of a solution. Many classical results ensure that  $S$ , the solution set of  $SVI(F, K)$ , is a nonempty set. The following theorem by *Hartman and Stampacchia* requires a convex and compact set  $K$  and a

continuous function  $F$ .

**Theorem 1.2:** If  $K$  is a nonempty convex and compact subset of a finite dimensional space ( $K \subseteq R^n$ ) and  $F : K \rightarrow R^n$  is a continuous operator, there exists an  $x_0 \in K$  solution of  $SVI(F, K)$ , i.e.  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

In general, the variational inequality problem  $SVI(F, K)$  can have more than one solution. If instead  $F$  is strictly monotone, then the problem  $SVI(F, K)$  can have at most one solution.

**Theorem 1.3:** If  $F$  is strictly monotone on  $K$ , then the problem  $SVI(F, K)$  has at most one solution.

The hypotheses of continuity of  $F$  and of compactness of  $K$  do not ensure, instead, the existence of a solution for a Minty variational inequality; they don't ensure, that is, that  $M$ , the solution set of  $MVI(F, K)$ , is a nonempty set.

In the case in which some solution of two variational inequalities exists, that is, in the case in which  $S \neq \emptyset$  or  $M \neq \emptyset$ , to calculate such solutions, we can use the so called gap functions. Given:

$$H(x, y) = \langle F(x), x - y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

we consider the followings functions

$$s(x) = \max\{H(x, y) : y \in K\}$$

$$m(y) = \min\{H(x, y) : y \in K\}$$

The functions  $s(x)$  and  $m(y)$  are called gap functions, respectively, for  $SVI$  and  $MVI$ .

It is easy to verify that:

$$m(y) \leq 0 \leq s(x) \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

The following proposition [14] characterizes the solution sets,  $S$  and  $M$ , in terms of gap functions  $s(x)$  and  $m(y)$ .

**Proposition 1.2:**  $S = \{a \in K : s(a) = 0\}$  and  $M = \{a \in K : m(a) = 0\}$ .

The solutions of two variational inequalities  $SVI(F, K)$  and  $MVI(F, K)$  give a saddle point of  $H(x, y)$ . Is known, indeed, the following result:

**Proposition 1.3:**

1.  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a saddle point for  $H(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_0 \text{ solves the } MVI(F, K) \\ y_0 \text{ solves the } SVI(F, K) \end{cases}$
2.  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a saddle point for  $H(x, y) \Rightarrow H(x_0, y_0) = 0$

From the previous proposition it follows that a method to find the solutions of  $SVI(F, K)$  and  $MVI(F, K)$  can be based on the search of the saddle points of the function  $H(x, y)$ . Furthermore, the knowledge of one solution of  $SVI(F, K)$  can be useful to search the solutions of  $MVI(F, K)$  and reverse. In fact, supposing to know a solution  $y_0$  of  $SVI(F, K)$  with  $F(y_0) \neq 0$  for the point 2 the set  $\{x \in K : H(x, y_0) = 0\}$  contains the solutions of  $MVI(F, K)$ . A similar condition can be obtained for the solutions of  $SVI(F, K)$ , starting from the solution  $x_0$  of  $MVI(F, K)$ .

## 2. Relations between $SVI$ , $MVI$ and extremal problems

It is interesting the study of the relations between variational inequalities and optimization problems. Variational inequalities are, in fact, considered as related to a scalar optimization problem in which the objective function is a primitive of the operator involved in the inequality itself. In other words, definitions 1.1 and 1.3 can be put in relationship with problems of the type:

$$P(f, K) \quad \min_{x \in K} f(x)$$

where  $K \subseteq R^n$  and  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ .

We recall that a point  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$  if:

$$f(x) - f(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K$$

while a point  $x^* \in K$  is a strong solution or strict of  $P(f, K)$  if:

$$f(x) - f(x^*) > 0 \quad \forall x \in K \setminus \{x^*\}$$

The connections between minimum problems and the variational inequalities  $SVI(F, K)$  and  $MVI(F, K)$  have been widely studied in the case in which  $K$  is a convex set and the objective function  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ , defined and differentiable on a open set containing  $K$ , is a primitive of  $F$ , that is  $f'(x) = F(x)$ . In other words, the easiest way to relate the variational inequalities of Stampacchia and Minty to minimization problems is to consider variational inequalities of differential type. It is possible, indeed, to consider the following variational inequalities:

- To find a point  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\langle f'(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

- To find a point  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\langle f'(y), x^* - y \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

Such problems are denoted, respectively with:

$$SVI(f', K) \quad \text{and} \quad MVI(f', K).$$

In the scalar case several results which state relations between solutions of a Stampacchia or Minty variational inequality of differential type and the underlying minimization problem are known. We recall, briefly, that if  $x^* \in K \subseteq R^n$ , with  $K$  convex and nonempty, is a solution of the primitive minimization problem:

$$P(f, K) \quad \min_{x \in K} f(x)$$

for some function  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ , differentiable on an open set containing the convex set  $K$ , then  $x^*$  solves the Stampacchia variational inequality of differential type:

$$SVI(f', K) \quad \langle f'(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

as stated by the following result:

**Preposition 2.1 [4],[14]:** Let  $K$  be a convex subset of  $R^n$  and let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$  be differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ .

i) If  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$ , then  $x^*$  solves  $SVI(f', K)$ .

ii) If  $f$  is convex and  $x^* \in K$  solves  $SVI(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$ , that is it is a minimum point of  $f(x)$  on  $K$ .

In other words if  $F(x)$  is the gradient of the differentiable function  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$  and if  $K$  is convex, then  $SVI(f', K)$ , is a necessary optimality condition for the minimization of the function  $f$  over the set  $K$ , condition which becomes also sufficient if  $f$  is convex.

If, instead,  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of a Minty differential variational inequality:

$$MVI(f', K) \quad \langle f'(y), x^* - y \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

then  $x^*$  is also solution of  $P(f, K)$ . More precisely,  $MVI(f', K)$  is a sufficient optimality condition which becomes necessary if  $f$  is convex.

**Proposition 2.2:** Let  $K$  be a convex subset of  $R^n$  and let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$  be differentiable on a open set containing  $K$ .

- i) If  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $MVI(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$ .
- ii) If  $f$  is convex and  $x^*$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$ , then  $x^*$  solves  $MVI(f', K)$ .

**Remark 2:** If, in point i) of Proposition 2.2, we suppose that  $x^*$  is a "strict solution" of  $MVI(f', K)$ , i.e.:

$$\langle f'(y), y - x^* \rangle > 0 \quad \forall y \in K \quad y \neq x^*$$

then it is possible to prove that  $x^*$  is the unique solution of  $P(f, K)$ .

**Remark 3:** In both propositions the convexity of  $f$  is necessary to prove only one of the implications. Such hypothesis can be weakened with the pseudo-convexity.

The result of the proposition 2.2 leads to some deeper relationships between the solutions of  $MVI(f', K)$  and the corresponding primitive minimization problem. It seems that an equilibrium modelled through a  $MVI(f', K)$  is more regular than one modelled through a  $SVI(f', K)$  [10]. This conclusion leads to argue that if  $MVI(f', K)$  admits a solution and the operator  $F$  admits a primitive  $f$  ( $f' = F$ ), then  $f$  has some regularity property. Analogously, the primitive minimization problem enjoys some regularity property (star-shapedness of the level sets of the objective function and Tykhonov well-posedness, if the solution is strict).

**Definition 2.1:** A function  $f$  defined on  $R^n$  is said increasing along the rays starting from the point  $x^*$  (for short  $f \in IAR(x^*)$ ) if the restriction of this function on the ray  $R_{x^*, x} = \{x^* + \alpha\lambda / \alpha \geq 0\}$  is increasing for each  $x \in R^n$ .

**Definition 2.2:** If  $K$  is a subset of  $R^n$ , we define the kernel of  $K$  as:

$$Ker K = \{x \in R^n : x + t(y - x) \in K, \forall y \in K\}$$

A nonempty set  $K$  is star-shaped if  $Ker K \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.3:** Let be  $K \subseteq R^n$  a star-shaped set,  $x^* \in Ker K$ . A function  $f$  defined on  $K$  is said increasing on  $K$  along the rays that start from  $x^*$  (for short,  $f \in IAR(K, x^*)$ ) if for every  $x \in K$ , the restriction of  $f$  on  $R_{x^*, x} \cap K$  is increasing.

The next result gives some properties of the functions which are increasing along rays. Such properties can be considered as extensions of analogous properties holding for convex functions.

**Proposition 2.3:** Let be  $K \subseteq R^n$  a star-shaped set,  $x^* \in Ker K$  and  $f \in IAR(K, x^*)$ . Then:

- i)  $x^*$  is a solution of  $P(f, K)$ .
- ii) no point  $x \in K$ ,  $x \neq x^*$ , can be a local strict solution of  $P(f, K)$ .

In [6] is stated which if  $K$  is star-shaped,  $x^* \in \text{Ker } K$  and  $f$  is a function differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ , the point  $x^*$  is a solution of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  if and only if  $f \in \text{IAR}(K, x^*)$ . More precisely,  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  has a solution  $x^* \in K$  if and only if  $f \in \text{IAR}(K, x^*)$

**Proposition 2.4:**

- i) If  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  and  $f$  is differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ , then  $f \in \text{IAR}(K, x^*)$ .
- ii) If  $f \in \text{IAR}(K, x^*)$  and  $f$  is differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ , then  $x^*$  is a solution of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$ .

From propositions 2.3 and 2.4 we deduce the following corollary according to which, if  $K$  is a convex set, every solution of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  is also solution of  $P(f, K)$ :

**Corollary 2.4:** Let  $x^* \in \text{Ker } K$  and let  $f$  differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ . If  $x^*$  solves  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  solves  $P(f, K)$ .

From prop.2.4 follows also that the levels sets of  $f$  are star-shaped

**Proposition 2.5:** If  $f : K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n > 1$ , is such that there exists a solution  $x^*$  of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  and  $K$  is star-shaped at  $x^*$ , then all the nonempty level sets of  $f$  :

$$\text{lev}_c f : \{x \in K : f(x) \leq c\}$$

are star-shaped at  $x^*$ .

The existence of a solution of  $\text{MVI}(f', K)$  can be also put it in relation to well-posedness of the respective minimum problem  $P(f, K)$ . Before, we recall that any sequence  $\{x_k\} \subseteq K$  is called a *minimizing sequence for  $P(f, K)$*  if satisfies the property:

$$f(x_k) \rightarrow \inf_K f(x) \text{ implies } x_k \rightarrow x^*$$

**Definition 2.4:** The problem  $P(f, K)$  is Tykhonov well-posed when:

- i) admits a unique solution  $x^*$ .
- ii) every minimizing sequence for  $P(f, K)$  converges to  $x^*$ .

**Definition 2.5:** A set  $A \subseteq R^n$  is said locally compact at  $x^* \in A$ , when there exists a closed ball centered at  $x^*$  with radius  $\delta$ , said  $B(x^*, \delta)$ , such that  $A \cap B(x^*, \delta)$  is a compact set.

**Proposition 2.6 [4]:** Let  $x^* \in K$  be a solution of  $MVI(f', K)$ .

Then, one and only one of the following alternatives holds:

- i) problem  $P(f, K)$  admits infinitely many solutions.
- ii) problem  $P(f, K)$  admits the unique solution  $x^*$ . Moreover if  $K$  is locally compact at  $x^*$ , then problem  $P(f, K)$  is Tykhonov well-posed.

**Corollary 2.6:** If  $x^*$  is a “strict solution” of  $MVI(f', K)$ , then the problem  $P(f, K)$  is Tykhonov well-posed .

*Proof:* It follows from proposition 2.6 and remark 1.

A consequence of corollary 2.6 is the following result which extends to functions that belong the class  $IAR(K, x^*)$  some classical well-posedness property of convex functions.

**Proposition 2.8:** Let be  $K$  a closed subset of  $R^n$ ,  $x^* \in K$  and  $f \in IAR(K, x^*)$ . If  $P(f, K)$  admits a unique solution, then  $P(f, K)$  is Tykhonov well-posed.

### 3. Vector variational inequalities and optimization problems

Many problems, for which we make use of variational inequalities, have received a scalar formulation but in reality they have a vector nature; for this reason is necessary to investigate the extension, to

the vector case, of variational inequalities.

The study of vector variational inequalities was introduced, at the end of the 80', by Giannessi who has also shown that the vector extension of variational inequalities can be useful in vector optimization. After Giannessi vector variational inequalities have been studied mainly in relation with vector optimization problems ([2], [3],[22]); so his work has been followed by numerous other works that have explored the existence conditions of the solutions and the different equivalent formulations, extending the greatest part of the scalar results to the vectorial formulation.

Giannessi has introduced, first, a vector formulation of the Stampacchia variational inequalities and later, in 1998, has proposed also a vector formulation of Minty variational inequalities. Both the variational inequalities involve a feasible region  $K \subseteq R^n$ , supposed convex and nonempty, a function  $F : R^n \rightarrow R^{l \times n}$  and a closed convex pointed cone  $C$  in  $R^l$ , with nonempty interior, which induces a partial order. We recall that given  $y \in R^l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y \leq_c 0 &\Leftrightarrow y \notin -C \setminus \{0\} \\ y <_c 0 &\Leftrightarrow y \notin -\text{int } C \end{aligned}$$

Is obvious, hence , the meaning of

$$y \geq_c 0 \text{ and of } y \not>_c 0$$

The extension to the vector case of Stampacchia variational inequality leads to consider the following problem [9]:

a) To find  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle_l \leq_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes a vector of  $l$  inner products of  $R^n$ .

Problem a) is called *Stampacchia vector variational inequality* ( for short *SVVI* ( $F; K$ )).

Analogously the extension to the vector case of Minty variational inequality involves the following problem:

b) To find  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\langle F(y), x^* - y \rangle_l \not\geq_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes a vector of  $l$  inner products in  $R^n$ .

Problem b) is called *Minty vector variational inequality* (for short

$MVVI(F, K)$ ). For  $l=1$  a) and b) reduce to the classical Stampacchia and Minty variational inequalities.

The introduced order by  $C$  allows the distinction between strong and weak solutions of a vector variational inequality. Giannessi, in [8], has proposed the following concepts of solution.

**Definition 3.1:** A vector  $x^* \in K$  is said a strong solution of a Stampacchia vector variational inequality when

$$\langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle_l \not\leq_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

**Definition 3.2:** A vector  $x^* \in K$  is said a weak solution of a Stampacchia vector variational inequality when

$$\langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle_l \not<_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

The set of the strong solutions of a Stampacchia variational inequality is denoted with  $SVVI$ , while that of the weak solutions with  $SVVI^\omega$ . It is easy to note that

$$SVVI \subseteq SVVI^\omega$$

while, as it is well known, the converse is not always valid.

**Definition 3.3:** A vector  $x^* \in K$  is said a strong solution of  $MVVI(F, K)$  when:

$$\langle F(y), x^* - y \rangle_l \not\geq_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

**Definition 3.4:** A vector  $x^* \in K$  is said a weak solution of  $MVVI(F, K)$  when:

$$\langle F(y), x^* - y \rangle_l \not>_c 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

The set of strong solutions of a Minty variational inequality is denoted with  $MVVI$  while that of the weak solutions with  $MVVI^\omega$ . It is easy to verify that:

$$MVVI \subseteq MVVI^\omega$$

The previous definitions can be expressed in different form if consider the following sets:

$$\Omega(x) = \{u \in R^l : u = \langle F(x), y - x \rangle_l, y \in K\}$$

$$\Theta(x) = \{w \in R^l : w = \langle F(y), y - x \rangle_l, y \in K\}$$

**Definition 3.5:** i) A vector  $x^* \in K$  is a strong solution of a Stampacchia vector variational inequality when:

$$SVVI(F, K) \quad \Omega(x^*) \cap (-C) = \{0\}$$

ii) A vector  $x^* \in K$  is a weak solution of a Stampacchia vector variational inequality when:

$$SVVI^\omega(F, K) \quad \Omega(x^*) \cap (-\text{int } C) = \emptyset$$

where  $\text{int } C$  is the interior of the cone  $C$ .

**Definition 3.6:** i) A vector  $x^* \in K$  is a strong solution of a Minty vector variational inequality when:

$$MVVI(F, K) \quad \Theta(x^*) \cap (-C) = \{0\}$$

ii) A vector  $x^* \in K$  is a weak solution of a Minty vector variational inequality when:

$$MVVI^\omega(F, K) \quad \Theta(x^*) \cap (-\text{int } C) = \emptyset.$$

Clearly every strong solution is also weak solution but the converse is not necessarily true ([22]).

The following result, proposed by Giannessi in 1998, extends the Minty Lemma to the vector case. Before, we recall that:

**Definition 3.7:** The function  $f : K \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^l$  is said  $C$ -convex when:

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) - [tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)] \in -C \quad \forall x, y \in K, \forall t \in [0,1]$$

**Definition 3.8:** The function  $F : R^n \rightarrow R^{l \times n}$  is  $C$ -monotone on  $K$  if:

$$\langle F(y) - F(x), y - x \rangle_l \in C \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

**Proposition 3.1:** Let  $f$  be a function defined and differentiable on an open set containing  $K$  and let  $f'$  the Jacobian of  $f$ . Then  $f$  is  $C$ -convex on  $K$  if and only if  $f'$  is  $C$ -monotone on  $K$ .

Then, the extension of Minty Lemma to the vector case is:

**Proposition 3.2 [8]:** If  $K$  is convex (and nonempty) and  $F$  is a

function continuous and  $C$ -monotone, then  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $SVVI^\omega(F, K)$  if and only if is solution of  $MVVI^\omega(F, K)$ .

It is known that for showing  $SVVI \Rightarrow MVVI$  is necessary only the hypothesis of monotony while for the reverse is required only continuity.

Various studies have shown a relationship between the theory of the vector variational inequalities and the vector optimization. Analogously to the scalar case, when the domain  $K$  is convex and the operator  $F$  is the jacobian of a vector function  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$ , differentiable on an open set containing  $K$  ( $F = f'$ ), the considered vector variational inequalities  $SVVI(F, K)$  and  $MVVI(F, K)$  are connected with the following vector optimization problem :

$$VP(f, K) \quad C - \min_{x \in K} f(x)$$

For the considered vector optimization problem different solution concepts can be given.

**Definition 3.9:** The point  $x^* \in K$  is an efficient solution of the problem  $VP(f, K)$  if:

$$f(x) \not\leq_c f(x^*) \quad \forall x \in K$$

that is

$$f(x^*) - f(x) \notin -C \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall x \in K$$

**Definition 3.10:** The point  $x^* \in K$  is a weakly efficient solution of problem  $VP(f, K)$  if:

$$f(x) \not\leq_c f(x^*) \quad \forall x \in K$$

The set of the efficient points of a function  $f$  with respect to the region  $K$  is denoted with  $E$ , while the set of the weakly efficient points with  $E^\omega$ . Is known in literature that:

$$E \subseteq E^\omega$$

while it is not necessarily true the reverse.

The following results extend to the vector case propositions 2.1 and 2.2 of the scalar case; they connect  $SVVI(f', K)$  and  $MVVI(f', K)$  to a vector optimization problem [8],[14].

**Proposition 3.3 [8]:** *Let  $K \subseteq R^n$  be closed and with nonempty interior and let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be differentiable on a open set containing  $K$ .*

i) *If  $x^* \in K$  is a weakly efficient solution of  $VP(f, K)$ , then it is also solution of  $SVVI^\omega(f', K)$ , that is:*

$$x^* \in E^\omega \Rightarrow x^* \in SVVI^\omega$$

ii) *If  $K$  is a convex set,  $f$  is  $C$ -convex and  $x^*$  is a solution of  $SVVI^\omega(f', K)$ , then it is a weakly efficient solution of  $VP(f, K)$ , that is*

$$x^* \in SVVI^\omega \Rightarrow x^* \in E^\omega$$

Then, analogously to the scalar case, also for the vector case, the Stampacchia vector variational inequality represents a necessary condition for the optimization, condition that becomes sufficient under convexity assumptions. The following proposition gives, in particular, an extension to the vector case of proposition 2.2. Particularly, Giannessi has underlined some relations between a solution of a Minty vector variational inequality and an efficient or weakly efficient solution of a problem of vector optimization, under convexity and monotonicity assumptions.

**Proposition 3.4 [8]:** *Let  $C$  be a polyhedral cone and let  $K$  be a convex set. If  $f$  is  $C$ -convex and differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ , an then  $x^* \in K$  is a weakly efficient solution of  $VP(f, K)$  if and only if it is a solution of  $MVVI^\omega(f', K)$ .*

In proposition 3.4 convexity is needed also for proving that  $MVVI(f', K)$  is a sufficient condition for optimality and that is it is essential either for the necessary condition or for that sufficient,

while in the scalar case, convexity is needed only in the proof of the necessary part.

Recently the research has concentrated on the possibility to establish relationships of inclusion or coincidence of the solution sets of a vector variational inequality and those of the related vector optimization problem. Proposition 3.3 gives a result of equivalence between weak solutions of a Stampacchia vector variational inequality and weakly efficient solutions of the vector optimization problem. It is not possible to establish, instead, the equivalence between the strong solutions of the vector variational inequalities and the efficient points of a vector problem optimization. The known results don't allow permit to reproduce proposition 3.3; indeed a result introduced by X.Q.Yang and C.J. Goh [22], seems to exclude it. The two authors, infact, after have proving the implication in one sense, introduce the reverse implication with incompatible hypothesis.

**Proposition 3.5:** *Let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be  $C$  – convex and differentiable.*

*Then:*

$$SVVI \subseteq E .$$

**Preposition 3.6:** *Let  $f : K \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be a differentiable function and strictly  $C$ -concave. Then :*

$$E \subseteq SVVI .$$

#### 4. Two different approaches to problems $SVVI$ and $MVVI$

In the last section we have observed that while in the scalar case Minty variational inequality of differential type represents a sufficient optimality condition without additional hypothesis, in the vector case the convexity hypotheses are need. The existing extension of  $MVI$  to the vector case doesn't allow to get, without additional hypothesis, the results that are valid in the scalar case. In other terms, the relationships between Minty vector variational inequalities and the underlying vector optimization problem extend the results known in the scalar case only under convexity hypotheses. For this reason in [4], using a technique applied for the Stampacchia vector variational inequalities, is suggested, for

the Minty vector variational inequality, a concept of solution stronger than the one in definition 3.3.

**Definition 4.1:** A vector  $x^* \in K$  is a weak solution of a convexified Minty vector variational inequality when:

$$CMVVI^\omega(F, K) \quad \text{conv}\Theta(x^*) \cap (-\text{int } C) = \emptyset$$

where conv  $A$  is the convex hull of given set  $A$ .

Definition 4.1 is linked to the weak solutions of a vector optimization problem.

**Remark:**

- i) Clearly, if  $l=1$  def. 4.1 is equivalent to def. 1.3;
- ii) If  $l \geq 2$  from definition 4.1 it follows that if  $x^* \in K$  solves  $CMVVI^\omega(F, K)$  then it is a solution also of  $MVVI^\omega(F, K)$ . The converse is not always true.

**Proposition 4.1 [4]:** Let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be differentiable on an open set containing  $K$ . If  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $CMVVI^\omega(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  is a weak solution of  $VP(f, K)$ .

The converse of the proposition 4.1 can be stated under the hypothesis of  $C$ -convexity of  $f$ :

**Proposition 4.2 [4]:** Let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be  $C$ -convex and differentiable. If  $x^* \in K$  is a solution of  $VP^\omega(f, K)$ , then  $x^*$  is a solution of  $CMVVI^\omega(f', K)$ .

Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 reproduce, for a vector minimum problem, the results known in the scalar case (see proposition 2.2).

Roughly speaking a Minty vector variational inequality is a sufficient condition for weak efficiency without any assumption on the differentiable objective function  $f$ , but it becomes also necessary under  $C$ -convexity assumptions on  $f$ .

**Corollary 4.2:** Let  $C$  be a polyhedral cone and let  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^l$  be  $C$ -convex and differentiable. If  $x^*$  solves  $MVVI(f', K)$  then  $x^*$  solves  $CMVVI^\omega(f', K)$ .

A second approach useful to fill the gap between proposition 3.4 and the analogous scalar result, is obtained considering the function  $\phi_{\hat{x}}$ :

$$\phi_{\hat{x}}(x) = \max_{\xi \in C' \cap S} \langle \xi, f(x) - f(\hat{x}) \rangle$$

where  $C'$  denotes the positive polar of  $C$  and  $S$  is the unit sphere in  $R^l$  and  $\hat{x} \in K$ . Function  $\phi_{\hat{x}}$  is a nonlinear scalarizing function. Several scalarization techniques are known in vector optimization; the most common is linear scalarization.

The following theorem resumes some classical properties of function  $\phi_{\hat{x}}$ .

**Proposition 4.3:**

i)  $\phi_{\hat{x}}$  is directionally differentiable and

$$\dot{\phi}_{\hat{x}}(x, d) = \max_{\xi \in R_{\hat{x}}(x)} \xi^T f'(x) d$$

where:  $R_{\hat{x}}(x) = \{ \xi \in C' \cap S : \phi_{\hat{x}}(x) = \langle \xi, f(x) - f(\hat{x}) \rangle \}$

ii)  $\phi'_{\hat{x}}(x, .)$  is sublinear and can be express as:

$$\phi'_{\hat{x}}(x, d) = \max_{x \in \partial \phi_{\hat{x}}(x)} \langle v, d \rangle$$

where  $\partial \phi_{\hat{x}}(x) = \text{conv}(\xi^T f'(x), \xi \in R_{\hat{x}}(x))$  denotes the convex hull of the set  $\xi^T f'(x)$ .

It is possible consider the following problems:

$SVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ : for a given  $\hat{x} \in K$ , find a point  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\phi'_{\hat{x}}(x^*, y - x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

$MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ : for a given  $\hat{x} \in K$ , find a point  $x^* \in K$  such that:

$$\phi'_{\hat{x}}(y, x^* - y) \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$$

The solutions of problem  $SVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$  coincide with the solutions of  $SVI(f', K)$  as shows the following result :

**Proposition 4.4 [5]:** *Let  $K$  be a convex set. If  $x^* \in K$  solves the problem  $SVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$  for some  $\hat{x} \in K$ , then  $x^*$  is a solution of  $SVVI(f', K)$ . Conversely, if  $x^* \in K$  solves  $SVVI(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  solves the problem  $SVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ .*

The next result, instead, turn attention to problem  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ .

**Proposition 4.5 [5]:** *Let  $x^* \in K$  be a solution of  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ . Then  $x^*$  solves  $MVVI(f', K)$ .*

The converse of the previous result holds under convexity assumptions.

**Proposition 4.6:** *Let  $K$  be a convex set and let  $f$  be a C-convex function. If  $x^* \in K$  solves  $MVVI(f', K)$ , then  $x^*$  solves problem  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$ .*

The convexity assumption in the previous result cannot be dropped. Hence, when the convexity assumptions do not hold,  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$  defines a stronger solution concept than  $MVVI(f', K)$ .

The next result states that the solutions of  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$  are also solutions of  $VP(f, K)$ , filling so the gap left from the proposition 3.4.

#### **Proposition 4.7**

*Let  $x^* \in Ker K$  be a solution of  $MVI(\phi'_{\hat{x}}, K)$  for some  $\hat{x} \in K$ . Then  $x^*$  is a weak solution of  $VP(f, K)$ .*

## References

- [1] Baiocchi C.- Capelo A.: *Variational and Quasivariational Inequalities. Applications to Free-Boundary Problems.* J. Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [2] Chen G.Y. – Cheng G. M.: *Vector variational inequalities and vector optimization*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, vol 285, Springer –Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] Chen G.Y- B.D. Craven: *A vector variational inequality and optimization over an efficient set*, Zeitschrift fur Operations Research, 34, 1990.
- [4] Crespi G.P.- Guerraggio A.- Rocca M.: *Minty variational inequality and optimization: scalar and vector case*. Proceeding of the VII International Conference on Generalized Convexity and Monotonicity, Kluwer, Hanoi, 2002 . (to appear)
- [5] Crespi G.P.- Ginchev I.- Rocca M.: *Variational inequalities in vector optimization*, Variational Analysis and Applications “Proceeding of the Workshop hold in Erice, Kluwer, 2003. ( To appear)
- [6] Crespi G.-Ginchev I.-Rocca M.: *Existence of solutions and star shapedness in Minty Variational Inequality*, Journal of Global Optimization,32, No.4,2005.
- [7] Giannessi F - Maugeri A. : *Variational Inequalities and Network Equilibrium Problems*, Plenum, New York 1995.
- [8] Giannessi F.: *On Minty variational principle*. New Trends in Mathematical Programming, (Edited by F. Giannessi, T. Rapcsak and S. Komlòsi), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands 1997.
- [9] Giannessi F: *Theorems of the alternative, quadratic programs and complementary problems*, Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems and Applications, (Edited by R.W. Cottle, F. Giannessi and J.L.Lions), John Wiley, New York, 1980.

- [10] John R.: *A note on Minty Variational Inequality and Generalized Monotonicity*. Generalized Convexity and Generalized Monotonicity. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical System, Springer, Berlin, vol 502, 2001.
- [11] Karamardian S.- Schaible S.: *Complementary over cones with monotone and pseudomonotone maps*. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 18, 1976.
- [12] Karamardian S.: *The complementary problem*, Mathematical Programming, 2, 1972.
- [13] Kinderlehrer D.-Stampacchia G.: *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [14] Komlosi S.: *On the Stampacchia and Minty variational Inequalities*. Generalized Convexity and Optimization for Economic and Financial Decision ( Giorgi- Rossi eds) Pitagora, Bologna 1998.
- [15] Lin K.L.- Yang D.P.- Yao Y.C.: *Generalized vector variational inequalities*. Journal of Optimization Theory and Application, 92 N. 1, 1997.
- [16] Lions J.L.- Stampacchia G.: *Inequationes variationnelles non coercives*, C.R. Accad. Science de Paris, 261, 1965.
- [17] Luc D.T.: *Theory of vector optimization*, Lecture Notes in Econom. And Math. Systems, 319, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [18] Nagurney A. : *Network economics: a variational inequality approach*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1993.
- [19] Sawaragi Y. - Nakayama H.- Tonino T.: *Theory of Multiobjective Optimization*, Academic Press, New York, 1985.

- [20] Yang X.Q.-Yang M.V.- Teo L.K.: *Some Remarks on the Minty Vector variational Inequality*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol 121, n°1, 2004.
- [21] Yang X.Q.: *Vector Variational Inequality and Pseudolinear Vector Optimization*. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol.95, 1997, pag. 729-734.
- [22] Yang X.Q.-C.J.Goh: *On vector variational inequalities: applications to vector equilibria*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 95, 1997 , pag. 431-443.
- [23] Yao J.C.: *Variational inequalities with generalized monotone operators*, Math. Oper.Res. 19,1994.
- [24] Yao J.C.- Cottle R.W.: *Pseudomonotone complementary problems in Hilbert spaces*. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 78, 1992.



*WORKING PAPERS DEL DIPARTIMENTO*

- 1988, 3.1 Guido CELLA  
*Linkages e moltiplicatori input-output.*
- 1989, 3.2 Marco MUSELLA  
*La moneta nei modelli di inflazione da conflitto.*
- 1989, 3.3 Floro E. CAROLEO  
*Le cause economiche nei differenziali regionali del tasso di disoccupazione.*
- 1989, 3.4 Luigi ACCARINO  
*Attualità delle illusioni finanziarie nella moderna società.*
- 1989, 3.5 Sergio CESARATTO  
*La misurazione delle risorse e dei risultati delle attività innovative: una valutazione dei risultati dell'indagine CNR- ISTAT sull'innovazione tecnologica.*
- 1990, 3.6 Luigi ESPOSITO - Pasquale PERSICO  
*Sviluppo tecnologico ed occupazionale: il caso Italia negli anni '80.*
- 1990, 3.7 Guido CELLA  
*Matrici di contabilità sociale ed analisi ambientale.*
- 1990, 3.8 Guido CELLA  
*Linkages e input-output: una nota su alcune recenti critiche.*
- 1990, 3.9 Concetto Paolo VINCI  
*I modelli econometrici sul mercato del lavoro in Italia.*
- 1990, 3.10 Concetto Paolo VINCI  
*Il dibattito sul tasso di partecipazione in Italia: una rivisitazione a 20 anni di distanza.*
- 1990, 3.11 Giuseppina AUTIERO  
*Limi<sup>t</sup> della coerenza interna ai modelli con la R.E.H..*
- 1990, 3.12 Gaetano Fausto ESPOSITO  
*Evoluzione nei distretti industriali e domanda di istituzione.*
- 1990, 3.13 Guido CELLA  
*Measuring spatial linkages: input-output and shadow prices.*
- 1990, 3.14 Emanuele SALISANO  
*Seminari di economia.*

- 1990, 3.15 Emanuele SALSANO  
*Investimenti, valore aggiunto e occupazione in Italia in contesto biregionale: una prima analisi dei dati 1970/1982.*
- 1990, 3.16 Alessandro PETRETTO- Giuseppe PISAURO  
*Uniformità vs selettività nella teoria della ottima tassazione e dei sistemi tributari ottimali.*
- 1990, 3.17 Adalgiso AMENDOLA  
*Inflazione, disoccupazione e aspettative. Aspetti teorici dell'introduzione di aspettative endogene nel dibattito sulla curva di Phillips.*
- 1990, 3.18 Pasquale PERSICO  
*Il Mezzogiorno e le politiche di sviluppo industriale.*
- 1990, 3.19 Pasquale PERSICO  
*Priorità delle politiche strutturali e strategie di intervento.*
- 1990, 3.20 Adriana BARONE - Concetto Paolo VINCI  
*La produttività nella curva di Phillips.*
- 1990, 3.21 Emiddio GALLO  
*Varianze ed invarianti socio-spatiali nella transizione demografica dell'Italia post-industriale.*
- 1991, 3.22 Alfonso GAMBARDELLA  
*I gruppi etnici in Nicaragua. Autonomia politica ed economica.*
- 1991, 3.23 Maria SCATTAGLIA  
*La stima empirica dell'offerta di lavoro in Italia: una rassegna.*
- 1991, 3.24 Giuseppe CELI  
*La teoria delle aree valutarie: una rassegna.*
- 1991, 3.25 Paola ADINOLFI  
*Relazioni industriali e gestione delle risorse umane nelle imprese italiane.*
- 1991, 3.26 Antonio e Bruno PELOSI  
*Sviluppo locale ed occupazione giovanile: nuovi bisogni formativi.*
- 1991, 3.27 Giuseppe MARIGLIANO  
*La formazione del prezzo nel settore dell'intermediazione commerciale.*
- 1991, 3.28 Maria PROTO  
*Risorse naturali, merci e ambiente: il caso dello zolfo.*
- 1991, 3.29 Salvatore GIORDANO  
*Ricerca sullo stato dei servizi nelle industrie del salernitano.*

- 1992, 3.30 Antonio LOPES  
*Crisi debitoria e politiche macroeconomiche nei paesi in via di sviluppo negli anni 80.*
- 1992, 3.31 Antonio VASSILLO  
*Circuiti economici semplici, complessi, ed integrati.*
- 1992, 3.32 Gaetano Fausto ESPOSITO  
*Imprese ed istituzioni nel Mezzogiorno: spunti analitici e modalità di relazione.*
- 1992, 3.33 Paolo COCCORESE  
*Un modello per l'analisi del sistema pensionistico.*
- 1994, 3.34 Aurelio IORI  
*Il comparto dei succhi di agrumi: un caso di analisi interorganizzativa.*
- 1994, 3.35 Nicola POSTIGLIONE  
*Analisi multicriterio e scelte pubbliche.*
- 1994, 3.36 Adriana BARONE  
*Cooperazione nel dilemma del prigioniero ripetuto e disoccupazione involontaria.*
- 1994, 3.37 Adriana BARONE  
*Le istituzioni come regolarità di comportamento.*
- 1994, 3.38 Maria Giuseppina LUCIA  
*Lo sfruttamento degli idrocarburi offshore tra sviluppo economico e tutela dell'ambiente.*
- 1994, 3.39 Giuseppina AUTIERO  
*Un'analisi di alcuni dei limiti strutturali alle politiche di stabilizzazione nei LCDs.*
- 1994, 3.40 Bruna BRUNO  
*Modelli di contrattazione salariale e ruolo del sindacato.*
- 1994, 3.41 Giuseppe CELI  
*Cambi reali e commercio estero: una riflessione sulle recenti interpretazioni teoriche.*
- 1995, 3.42 Alessandra AMENDOLA, M. Simona ANDREANO  
*The TAR models: an application on italian financial time series.*
- 1995, 3.43 Leopoldo VARRIALE  
*Ambiente e turismo: Parco dell'Iguazù - Argentina.*

- 1995, 3.44 A. PELOSI, R. LOMBARDI  
*Fondi pensione: equilibrio economico-finanziario delle imprese.*
- 1995, 3.45 Emanuele SALSANO, Domenico IANNONE  
*Economia e struttura produttiva nel salernitano dal secondo dopoguerra ad oggi.*
- 1995, 3.46 Michele LA ROCCA  
*Empirical likelihood and linear combinations of functions of order statistics.*
- 1995, 3.47 Michele LA ROCCA  
*L'uso del bootstrap nella verosimiglianza empirica.*
- 1996, 3.48 Domenico RANESI  
*Le politiche CEE per lo sviluppo dei sistemi locali: esame delle diverse tipologie di intervento e tentativo di specificazione tassonomica.*
- 1996, 3.49 Michele LA ROCCA  
*L'uso della verosimiglianza empirica per il confronto di due parametri di posizione.*
- 1996, 3.50 Massimo SPAGNOLO  
*La domanda dei prodotti della pesca in Italia.*
- 1996, 3.51 Cesare IMBRIANI, Filippo REGANATI  
*Macroeconomic stability and economic integration. The case of Italy.*
- 1996, 3.52 Annarita GERMANI  
*Gli effetti della mobilitizzazione della riserva obbligatoria. Analisi sull'efficienza del suo utilizzo.*
- 1996, 3.53 Massimo SPAGNOLO  
*A model of fish price formation in the north sea and the Mediterranean.*
- 1996, 3.54 Fernanda MAZZOTTA  
*RTFL: problemi e soluzioni per i dati Panel.*
- 1996, 3.55 Angela SPAGNUOLO  
*Concentrazione industriale e dimensione del mercato: il ruolo della spesa per pubblicità e R&D.*
- 1996, 3.56 Giuseppina AUTIERO  
*The economic case for social norms.*
- 1996, 3.57 Francesco GIORDANO  
*Sulla convergenza degli stimatori Kernel.*
- 1996, 3.58 Tullio JAPPELLI, Marco PAGANO  
*The determinants of saving: lessons from Italy.*

- 1997, 3.59 Tullio JAPPELLI  
*The age-wealth profile and the life-cycle hypothesis: a cohort analysis with a time series of cross sections of Italian households.*
- 1997, 3.60 Marco Antonio MONACO  
*La gestione dei servizi di pubblico interesse.*
- 1997, 3.61 Marcella ANZOLIN  
*L'albero della qualità dei servizi pubblici locali in Italia: metodologie e risultati conseguiti.*
- 1997, 3.62 Cesare IMBRIANI, Antonio LOPES  
*Intermediazione finanziaria e sistema produttivo in un'area dualistica. Uno studio di caso.*
- 1997, 3.63 Tullio JAPPELLI  
*Risparmio e liberalizzazione finanziaria nell'Unione europea.*
- 1997, 3.64 Alessandra AMENDOLA  
*Analisi dei dati di sopravvivenza.*
- 1997, 3.65 Francesco GIORDANO, Cira PERNA  
*Gli stimatori Kernel per la stima non parametrica della funzione di regressione.*
- 1997, 3.66 Biagio DI SALVIA  
*Le relazioni marittimo-commerciali nell'imperiale regio litorale austriaco nella prima metà dell'800.  
 I. Una riclassificazione delle Tafeln zur Statistik der Öesterreichischen Monarchie.*
- 1997, 3.67 Alessandra AMENDOLA  
*Modelli non lineari di seconda e terza generazione: aspetti teorici ed evidenze empiriche.*
- 1998, 3.68 Vania SENA  
*L'analisi econometrica dell'efficienza tecnica. Un'applicazione agli ospedali italiani di zona.*
- 1998, 3.69 Domenico CERBONE  
*Investimenti irreversibili.*
- 1998, 3.70 Antonio GAROFALO  
*La riduzione dell'orario di lavoro è una soluzione al problema disoccupazione: un tentativo di analisi empirica.*
- 1998, 3.71 Jacqueline MORGAN, Roberto RAUCCI  
*New convergence results for Nash equilibria.*

- 1998, 3.72 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Niels Henrik Abel e le equazioni algebriche.*
- 1998, 3.73 Marco MICOCCI, Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Un approccio markoviano al problema della valutazione delle opzioni.*
- 1998, 3.74 Rosa FERRENTINO, Ciro CALABRESE  
*Rango di una matrice di dimensione K.*
- 1999, 3.75 Patrizia RIGANTI  
*L'uso della valutazione contingente per la gestione del patrimonio culturale: limiti e potenzialità.*
- 1999, 3.76 Annamaria NESE  
*Il problema dell'inefficienza nel settore dei musei: tecniche di valutazione.*
- 1999, 3.77 Gianluigi COPPOLA  
*Disoccupazione e mercato del lavoro: un'analisi su dati provinciali.*
- 1999, 3.78 Alessandra AMENDOLA  
*Un modello soglia con eteroschedasticità condizionata per tassi di cambio.*
- 1999, 3.79 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Su un'applicazione della trasformata di Laplace al calcolo della funzione asintotica di non rovina.*
- 1999, 3.80 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Un'applicazione della trasformata di Laplace nel caso di una distribuzione di Erlang.*
- 1999, 3.81 Angela SPAGNUOLO  
*Efficienza e struttura degli incentivi nell'azienda pubblica: il caso dell'industria sanitaria.*
- 1999, 3.82 Antonio GAROFALO, Cesare IMBRIANI, Concetto Paolo VINCI  
*Youth unemployment: an insider-outsider dynamic approach.*
- 1999, 3.83 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Un modello per la determinazione del tasso di riequilibrio in un progetto di fusione tra banche.*
- 1999, 3.84 DE STEFANIS, PORZIO  
*Assessing models in frontier analysis through dynamic graphics.*
- 1999, 3.85 Annunziato GESUALDI  
*Inflazione e analisi delle politiche fiscali nell'U.E..*
- 1999, 3.86 R. RAUCCI, L. TADDEO  
*Dalle equazioni differenziali alle funzioni  $e^x$ ,  $\log x$ ,  $a^x$ ,  $\log_a x$ ,  $x^\alpha$ .*

- 1999, 3.87 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Sulla determinazione di numeri aleatori generati da equazioni algebriche.*
- 1999, 3.88 C. PALMISANI, R. RAUCCI  
*Sulle funzioni circolari: una presentazione non classica.*
- 2000, 3.89 Giuseppe STORTI, Pierluigi FURCOLO, Paolo VILLANI  
*A dynamic generalized linear model for precipitation forecasting.*
- 2000, 3.90 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Un procedimento risolutivo per l'equazione di Dickson.*
- 2000, 3.91 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Un'applicazione della mistura di esponenziali alla teoria del rischio.*
- 2000, 3.92 Francesco GIORDANO, Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNNA  
*Bootstrap variance estimates for neural networks regression models.*
- 2000, 3.93 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Giuseppe STORTI  
*A non-linear time series approach to modelling asymmetry in stock market indexes.*
- 2000, 3.94 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Sopra un'osservazione di De Vylder.*
- 2000, 3.95 Massimo SALZANO  
*Reti neurali ed efficacia dell'intervento pubblico: previsioni dell'inquinamento da traffico nell'area di Villa S. Giovanni.*
- 2000, 3.96 Angela SPAGNUOLO  
*Concorrenza e deregolamentazione nel mercato del trasporto aereo in Italia.*
- 2000, 3.97 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO  
*Teoremi ingannevoli.*
- 2000, 3.98 Francesco GIORDANO  
*Una procedura per l'inizializzazione dei pesi delle reti neurali per l'analisi del trend.*
- 2001, 3.99 Angela D'ELIA  
*Some methodological issues on multivariate modelling of rank data.*
- 2001, 3.100 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO  
*Nuove classi di funzioni scalari quasiconcave generalizzate: caratterizzazioni ed applicazioni a problemi di ottimizzazione.*
- 2001, 3.101 Adriana BARONE, Annamaria NESE  
*Some insights into night work in Italy.*
- 2001, 3.102 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Marcella NIGLIO

*Predictive distributions of nonlinear time series models.*

- 2001, 3.103 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Sul concetto di certo equivalente nella teoria HSSB.*
- 2001, 3.104 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO  
*On stackelberg games: a result of unicity.*
- 2001, 3.105 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Una definizione generale e flessibile di insieme limitato superiormente in  $\mathbb{R}^n$*
- 2001, 3.106 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Stretta quasiconcavità nelle forme funzionali flessibili.*
- 2001, 3.107 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Sugli insiemi limitati in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  rispetto ai coni.*
- 2001, 3.108 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Monotonie, isotonie e indecomponibilità deboli per funzioni a valori vettoriali con applicazioni.*
- 2001, 3.109 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Generalizzazioni del concetto di debole Kuhn-Tucker punto-sella.*
- 2001, 3.110 Antonia Rosa GURRIERI, Marilene LORIZIO  
*Le determinanti dell'efficienza nel settore sanitario. Uno studio applicato.*
- 2001, 3.111 Gianluigi COPPOLA  
*Studio di una provincia meridionale attraverso un'analisi dei sistemi locali del lavoro. Il caso di Salerno.*
- 2001, 3.112 Francesco GIORDANO  
*Reti neurali per l'analisi del trend: un approccio per identificare la topologia della rete.*
- 2001, 3.113 Marcella NIGLIO  
*Nonlinear time series models with switching structure: a comparison of their forecast performances.*
- 2001, 3.114 Damiano FIORILLO  
*Capitale sociale e crescita economica. Review dei concetti e dell'evidenza empirica.*
- 2001, 3.115 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO  
*Generalizzazione del concetto di continuità e di derivabilità.*
- 2001, 3.116 Marcella NIGLIO  
*Ricostruzione dei dati mancanti in serie storiche climatiche.*

- 2001, 3.117 Vincenzo VECCHIONE  
*Mutamenti del sistema creditizio in un'area periferica.*
- 2002, 3.118 Francesco GIORDANO, Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNNA  
*Bootstrap variable selection in neural network regression models.*
- 2002, 3.119 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO  
*Insiemi debolmente convessi e concavità in senso generale.*
- 2002, 3.120 Vincenzo VECCHIONE  
*Know how locali e percorsi di sviluppo in aree e settori marginali.*
- 2002, 3.121 Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNNA  
*Neural networks with dependent data.*
- 2002, 3.122 Pietro SENESI  
*Economic dynamics: theory and policy. A stability analysis approach.*
- 2002, 3.123 Gianluigi COPPOLA  
*Stima di un indicatore di pressione ambientale: un'applicazione ai comuni della Campania.*
- 2002, 3.124 Roberto RAUCCI  
*Sull'esistenza di autovalori e autovettori positivi anche nel caso non lineare.*
- 2002, 3.125 Maria Carmela MICCOLI  
*Identikit di giovani lucani.*
- 2002, 3.126 Sergio DESTEFANIS, Giuseppe STORTI  
*Convexity, productivity change and the economic performance of countries.*
- 2002, 3.127 Giovanni C. PORZIO, Maria Prosperina VITALE  
*Esplorare la non linearità nei modelli Path.*
- 2002, 3.128 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*Sulla funzione di Seal.*
- 2003, 3.129 Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNNA  
*Identificazione del livello intermedio nelle reti neurali di tipo feedforward.*
- 2003, 3.130 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Marcella NIGLIO, Cosimo VITALE  
*The exact multi-step ahead predictor of SETARMA models.*
- 2003, 3.131 Mariangela BONASIA  
*La dimensione ottimale di un sistema pensionistico: means tested vs programma universale.*
- 2003, 3.132 Annamaria NESE  
*Abitazione e famiglie a basso reddito.*

- 2003, 3.133 Maria Lucia PARRELLA  
*Le proprietà asintotiche del Local Polynomial Bootstrap.*
- 2003, 3.134 Silvio GIOVE, Maurizio NORDIO, Stefano SILVONI  
*Stima della prevalenza dell'insufficienza renale cronica con reti bayesiane: analisi costo efficacia delle strategie di prevenzione secondaria.*
- 2003, 3.135 Massimo SALZANO  
*Globalization, complexity and the holism of the italian school of public finance.*
- 2003, 3.136 Giuseppina AUTIERO  
*Labour market institutional systems and unemployment performance in some Oecd countries.*
- 2003, 3.137 Marisa FAGGINI  
*Recurrence analysis for detecting non-stationarity and chaos in economic times series.*
- 2003, 3.138 Marisa FAGGINI, Massimo SALZANO  
*The reverse engineering of economic systems. Tools and methodology.*
- 2003, 3.139 Rosa FERRENTINO  
*In corso di pubblicazione.*
- 2003, 3.140 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI  
*Sui problemi di ottimizzazione in giochi di Stackelberg ed applicazioni in modelli economici.*
- 2003, 3.141 Carmine SICA  
*In corso di pubblicazione.*
- 2004, 3.142 Sergio DESTEFANIS, Antonella TADDEO, Maurizio TORNATORE  
*The stock of human capital in the Italian regions.*
- 2004, 3.143 Elena Laureana DEL MERCATO  
*Edgeworth equilibria with private provision of public good.*
- 2004, 3.144 Elena Laureana DEL MERCATO  
*Externalities on consumption sets in general equilibrium.*
- 2004, 3.145 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI  
*Su alcuni criteri delle serie a termini non negativi.*
- 2004, 3.146 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI  
*Legame tra le soluzioni di Minty e di Stempacenhia nelle disequazioni variazionali.*

- 2004, 3.147 Gianluigi COPPOLA  
*In corso di pubblicazione.*
- 2004, 3.148 Massimo Spagnolo  
*The Importance of Economic Incentives in Fisheries Management*
- 2004, 3.149 F. Salsano  
*La politica monetaria in presenza di non perfetta osservabilità degli obiettivi del banchiere centrale.*
- 2004, 3.150 A. Vita  
*La dinamica del cambiamento nella rappresentazione del territorio. Una mappa per i luoghi della Valle dell'Irno.*
- 2004, 3.151 Celi  
*Empirical Explanation of vertical and horizontal intra-industry trade in the UK: a comment.*
- 2004, 3.152 Amendola – P. Vitale  
*Self-Assessment and Career Choices: An On-line resource for the University of Salerno.*
- 2004, 3.153 A. Amendola – R. Troisi  
*Introduzione all'economia politica dell'organizzazione: nozioni ed applicazioni.*
- 2004, 3.154 A. Amendola – R. Troisi  
*Strumenti d'incentivo e modelli di gestione del personale volontario nelle organizzazioni non profit.*
- 2004, 3.155 Lavinia Parisi  
*La gestione del personale nelle imprese manifatturiere della provincia di Salerno.*
- 2004, 3.156 Angela Spagnuolo – Silvia Keller  
*La rete di accesso all'ultimo miglio: una valutazione sulle tecnologie alternative.*
- 2005, 3.157 Davide Cantarelli  
*Elasticities of Complementarity and Substitution in Some Functional Forms. A Comparative Review.*
- 2005, 3.158 Pietro Coretto – Giuseppe Storti  
*Subjective Expectations in Economics: a Statistical overview of the main findings.*
- 2005, 3.159 Pietro Coretto – Giuseppe Storti  
*Moments based inference in small samples.*

- 2005, 3.160 Massimo Salzano  
*Una simulazione neo-keynesiana ad agenti eterogeni.*
- 2005, 3.161 Rosa Ferrentino  
*Su alcuni paradossi della teoria degli insiemi.*
- 2005, 3.162 Damiano Fiorillo  
*Capitale sociale: uno o molti? Pochi.*
- 2005, 3.163 Damiano Fiorillo  
*Il capitale sociale conta per outcomes (macro) economici?.*
- 2005, 3.164 Damiano Fiorillo – Guadalupi Luigi  
*Attività economiche nel distretto industriale di Nocera inferiore – Gragnano.  
Un'analisi su Dati Tagliacarne.*
- 2005, 3.165 Rosa Ferrentino  
*Pointwise well-posedness in vector optimization and variational inequalities.*
- 2005, 3.166 Roberto Iorio  
*La ricerca universitaria verso il mercato per il trasferimento tecnologico e rischi per l'"Open Science": posizioni teoriche e filoni di indagine empirica.*
- 2005, 3.167 Marisa Faggini  
*The chaotic system and new perspectives for economics methodology. A note.*
- 2005, 3.168 Francesco Giordano  
*Weak consistent moving block bootstrap estimator of sampling distribution of CLS estimators in a class of bilinear models*
- 2005, 3.169 Edgardo Sica  
*Tourism as determinant of economic growth: the case of south-east asian countries.*
- 2005, 3.170 Rosa Ferrentino  
*On Minty variational inequalities and increasing along rays functions.*
- 2005, 3.171 Rosa Ferrentino  
*On the Minty and Stampacchia scalar variational inequalities*
- 2005, 3.172 Destefanis - Storti  
*A procedure for detecting outliers in frontier estimation*
- 2005, 3.173 Destefanis - Storti  
*Evaluating business incentives trough dea. An analysis on capitalia firm data*

- 2005, 3.174 Nese – O'Higgins  
*In and out of the capitalia sample: evaluating attrition bias.*
- 2005, 3.175 Maria Patrizia Vittoria  
*Il Processo di terziarizzazione in Campania. Analisi degli indicatori principali nel periodo 1981-2001*
- 2005, 3.176 Sergio Destefanis – Giuseppe Mastromatteo  
*Inequality and labour-market performance. A survey beyond an elusive trade-off.*
- 2006, 3.177 Giuseppe Storti  
*Modelling asymmetric volatility dynamics by multivariate BL-GARCH models*
- 2006, 3.178 Lucio Valerio Spagnolo – Mario Cerrato  
*No euro please, We're British!*
- 2006, 3.179 Maria Carmela Miccoli  
*Invecchiamento e seconda transizione demografica*
- 2006, 3.180 Maria Carmela Miccoli – Antonio Cortese  
*Le scuole italiane all'estero: una realtà poco nota*

Stampa a cura della C.U.S.L. Cooperativa Universitaria Studio e  
Lavoro, Via Ponte Don Melillo, Fisciano per conto Del Diparti-  
mento di Scienze Economiche e Statistiche  
Finito di stampare il 10 aprile 2007