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Abstract

Many drugs are available for the treatment of systemic or superficial mycoses, but only a limited number of them are effective antifungal drugs, devoid of toxic and undesirable side effects. Therefore there remains an urgent need for a new generation of antifungal agents. The present work concerns the synthesis, the antifungal activity and the biophysical characterization of a set of linear and cyclic peptides (AMT1, cyclo-AMT1, AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT3) including aminoacids characteristic of membrane-active antimicrobial peptides (AMP). The peptides were tested against different yeast species, and displayed general antifungal activity, with a therapeutically promising antifungal specificity against Cryptococcus neoformans. To shed light on the role played by the membrane cell in the antifungal activity an extensive biophysical study was carried out using different spectroscopic techniques. Our structural investigation provides data to exclude the ability of the peptides to penetrate the membrane of the fungal cell, highlighting their attitude to interact with the external surface of the bilayer. Taken together our data support the hypothesis that the membrane cell of the fungi may be an important platform for specific interactions of the synthesized peptides with more specific targets involved in the cell wall synthesis. Viral fusion glycoproteins present a membrane-proximal external region (MPER) which is usually rich in aromatic residues and presents a marked tendency to stably reside at the membrane interfaces, leading, through unknown mechanisms, to a destabilization of the bilayer structure. This step
has been proposed to be fundamental for the fusion process between target membrane and viral envelope. In present work, we investigate the interaction between an octapeptide (C8) deriving from the MPER domain of gp36 of Feline Immunodeficiency Virus and different membrane models by combining experimental results obtained by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Electron Spin Resonance, Circular Dichroism and Fluorescence Spectroscopy with Molecular Dynamics simulations. Our data indicate that C8 binds to the lipid bilayer adsorbing onto the membrane surface without deep penetration. As a consequence of this interaction, the bilayer thickness decreases. The association of the peptide with the lipid membrane is driven by hydrogen bonds as well as hydrophobic interactions that the Trp side chains form with the lipid headgroups. Notably these interactions may be the key to interpret at molecular level the function played by Trp residues in all the fragments of viral envelope involved in fusion mechanism with target membrane.
Chapter 1

Introduction

Fungal infections are a persistent major health problem, especially for immunocompromised patients. Invasive fungal infections can be life-threatening for neonates, cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, organ transplant recipients and patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Many fungal infections are caused by opportunistic pathogens that may be endogenous or acquired from the environment, including *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Aspergillus*. Cryptococcosis, which is caused by the encapsulated fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*, has been lethal for HIV-infected patients. *Cryptococcus* infects pulmonary organs and can disseminate widely, most commonly to the brain and skin.\(^{(1-3)}\)

Although it appears that many drugs are available for the treatment of systemic or superficial mycoses, there are only a limited number of effective antifungal drugs, many of them toxic and having undesirable side effects. Furthermore, resistance development and fungistatic rather than fungicidal activities represent limitations of current antifungal therapy.\(^{(4-6)}\) Therefore there is an urgent need for a new generation of antifungal agents.\(^{(7)}\)

Cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAPs) have been found in almost all species of eukaryotic organisms and are recognized as the evolutionarily conserved components of their innate immune system that defend the host against microbes through membrane or metabolic disruption.\(^{(8)}\) A distinguishable advantage of CAPs over conventional antibiotics is that they do not provoke immune responses.\(^{(9)}\) Regardless of their origin and biological efficacy, CAPs share common features. They are short peptides (usually between 3-50 amino
acid residues), which include a large content of basic amino acids and a global distribution of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. Due to the high frequency of amino acid residues, such as R and K in their sequences, they carry an overall positive charge in the physiological pH range, which is of great importance for their interactions with the negative charges of bacterial cell membranes. (8) CAPs adopt an amphipathic conformation at polar-nonpolar interfaces with a hydrophobic domain consisting of nonpolar amino acid residues on one side and polar or charged residues on the opposite. Because of these physicochemical characteristics, CAPs have a tendency to accumulate on the negatively charged microbial surfaces and membranes. Electrostatic interactions cause the accumulation of the cationic peptides at the negatively charged bacterial membrane, and hydrophobic interactions drive their insertion into the lipid bilayer. (10)

Each CAP has a unique pattern of activity against a variety of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, yeasts, fungi and viruses. (11) While the antimicrobial peptide cecropin A is only active against Gram-positive bacteria, (12) MG 2 and dermaseptin show activity against both types of bacteria as well as fungi. (13), (14) and the membrane-lytic peptide MEL attacks both prokaryotic and mammalian cells. (15)

Small CAPs rich in particular amino acid residues, such as R, W, and P have gained high interest as lead compounds. (16-20) They are usually found as small antimicrobial motifs of much larger natural compounds. (21) The mechanisms of interaction of small and conformationally constrained peptides with cellular membranes, as well as the key factors that provide bacterial specificity for these peptides, are much less well understood. (22) The mechanisms of action for antimicrobial peptides are not completely understood, but in most cases their biological effects are believed to involve
membrane disruption of the target cells. The proposed mechanism requires positively charged amino acids to associate with negatively charged microbial membranes, causing the peptides to adopt a globally amphiphilic helical conformation at the membrane–water interface. The ensuing membrane disruption can lead directly to cell lysis and death, or membrane permeabilization may allow peptide molecules to reach intracellular targets. AMPs have gained attention as potential antifungal agents because their activity is not associated with resistance phenomena. Development of resistance by sensitive microbial strains against these AMPs is less probable, because AMPs exert their action by forming multimeric pores in the cell membranes, leading to cell lysis, or interaction with the RNA or DNA after penetration into the cell. Thus, among others, small RW-rich peptides with improved toxicity against bacteria are interesting candidates to study the structural motifs and forces responsible for selectivity and may pave the way to develop new therapeutics with potent activity against multi-resistant bacteria.

Linear and cyclic RRWWRF, dubbed AMT1 and cyclo-AMT1, respectively (Figure 1), were recently identified from a synthetic combinatorial library as new antimicrobial peptides, exerting their action by inducing curvature strain, permeation or disintegration of the target membrane. Starting from AMT1 and cyclo-AMT1 sequence, we synthesised AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 (Figure 1) including one and two more His residues than the AMT1 analogues, respectively. The imidazole ring characteristic of His side chains was designed in the attempt to confer to AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 antifungal activity analogous to the imidazole ring of the azole antifungal compounds. The azole antifungal agents, containing either two or three nitrogens in the azole ring, are currently the most widely used and
studied class of antifungal agents; they prevent synthesis of ergosterol, a major component of fungal plasma membranes, by inhibiting the cytochrome P450-dependent enzyme 14a-sterol demethylase.\textsuperscript{(40-44)}

The aim of this work is to verify the antifungal activity of linear and cyclic peptides synthesized and to investigate the role of peptide-membrane interactions in antimicrobial activity.

The antifungal activity was evaluated by in vitro test. Then, the ability of our peptides to interact with the surface of the fungal cell was monitored by fluorescence microscopy, using specifically labelled AMT peptide.

To investigate the role of peptide-membrane interactions in the biological activity, biophysical investigation was carried out based on multiple analytical techniques including fluorescence spectroscopy, isothermal titration calorimetry, circular dichroism (CD), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

\textbf{Figure 1} Chemical structures of AMT peptides.
Chapter 2

Experimental section

2.1 Peptide synthesis

2.1.1 Solid-phase peptide synthesis and purification

Peptides of Figure 1 were synthesized with a manual batch synthesizer (Agitatore orbitale Mod. KS 130 basic IKA, STEROGLASS, Perugia Italy) using a Teflon reactor (10mL), applying the Fmoc/tBu solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) procedure, together with the following side chain protecting groups: Arg, Pbf; His, Trt; Trp, Boc. (Chan, W.; White, P. Fmoc Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2000.) AMT1, AMT2 and AMT3 were synthesized with a Wang resin (0.6–1.0 mmol/g, 0.2 g) that was swelled with N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (1 mL/100 mg of resin) for 3 h before use. The Wang resin (0.6–1.0 mmol/g, 0.2 g) was treated with N-Fmoc amino acid derivatives (fourfold excess), which were sequentially coupled to the growing peptide chain using O-benzotriazole-N,N,N0,N0-tetramethyl-uronium-hexafluoro-phosphate (HBTU) (fourfold excess) in DMF and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (eightfold excess). The coupling reaction time was 2 h. After deprotection of the last N-Fmoc group, the peptide resin was washed with methanol and dried in vacuo to yield the protected peptide-bound Wang resin. The deprotected peptide was cleaved from the resin by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/H2O/phenol/ethanedithiol/thioanisole (reagent K) (82.5:5:5:2.5:5 v/v) at a ratio of 10 mL to 0.5 g of resin at room temperature for 3 h. After filtration of the exhausted resin, the solvent was concentrated in vacuo, and the
residue was triturated with ether. Cyclo-AMT2, cyclo-AMT1 and cyclo-
AMT3 were synthesized using a 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin. The first N-
Fmoc amino acid (0.6–1.2 equiv relative to the resin for 2-chlorotrityl resin)
and DIPEA (4 equiv relative to amino acid) were dissolved in dry
dichloromethane (DCM) (approx. 10 mL per gram of resin) containing, if
necessary, a small amount of dry DMF (enough to facilitate dissolution of the
acid). This was added to the resin and stirred for 30–120 min. After stirring,
the resin was washed with 3X DCM/MeOH/DIPEA (17:2:1), 3 X DCM, 2X
DMF and 2 X DCM. Other N-Fmoc amino acids (fourfold excess) were
sequentially coupled to the growing peptide chain according to the Fmoc/tBu
solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) procedure. The final cleavage with
AcOH/MeOH/DCM (1:1:8) resulted in protected peptides.

For fluorescence microscopy study we synthesized cyclo–AMT2 and cyclo–
AMT3 including Dap (l-diamino propionic acid) aminoacid in substitution of
Trp. cyclo–AMT2 and cyclo–AMT3 with Dap aminoacid were synthesized
according to the solid phase synthesis methods using Fmoc-Dap(NBD)-OH
This was obtained by alkylating the free amino group of Nα-Fmoc, l-diamino
propionic acid (Fmoc-Dap-OH) with NBD chloride. (45)

2.1.2 General procedure for cyclization
A solution of the linear protected peptide (0.03 mmol) in dry DMF (6.5 mL)
was added at room temperature to a reaction flask containing a solution of N-
hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) (3 equiv, 12 mg, 0.09 mmol), HBTU (3 equiv,
34 mg, 0.09 mmol) and DIPEA (5 equiv, 0.26 mL, 1.5 mmol) in dry DMF (1
mL) using a syringe pump. The solution was added at rate of about 0.01
mL/min. Once the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred for 24 h at
room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced
pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (AcOEt). The organic phase was washed twice with 5% aqueous sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃), dried over sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄), and filtered. The solvent was removed by reduced pressure, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (CHCl₃/MeOH from 99:1 to 90:10) to yield the protected cyclic peptide as a glassy white solid.

2.1.3 Side-chain deprotection
The protected cyclopeptide (0.02 mmol) was treated with 10 mL of a solution of TFA/triisopropylsilane (TIS)/H₂O 95:2.5:2.5 at room temperature. After 24 h, the reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in 5 mL of 3 N aqueous hydrogen chloride (HCl). The aqueous phase was washed twice with diethyl ether (Et₂O) and concentrated in vacuo, yielding the side chain-deprotected cyclopeptide as a hydrochloride salt (quant.).

2.2 Peptide characterization
2.2.1 Peptide purification
All peptides were purified by preparative reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Jupiter [Phenomenex, Anzola Emeilia (BO), Italy] C18 column (25 μ 4.6 cm, 5 μ, 300 Å pore size). The column was perfused at a flow rate of 3 mL/min with a mobile phase containing solvent A (0.1% TFA in water). A linear gradient from 50% to 90% of solvent B (0.1% TFA in acetonitrile) for 40 min was adopted for peptide elution. The pure fraction was collected to yield a white powder after lyophilisation. After purification cyclo-AMT1, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 were obtained in 35%, 45% and 68% overall yield, respectively. The molecular weight of the compound was determined by mass spectral analysis.
2.2.2 Mass spectral analysis
Peptide fragments were characterised using a Finnigan LCQDeca ion trap instrument equipped with an electrospray source (LCQ Deca Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA). The samples were directly infused into the ESI source using a syringe pump set at a flow rate of 5 μL/min. The data were analysed with Xcalibur software.

2.3 Antifungal tests

2.3.1 Yeast isolates
To verify the existence of antifungal activity the antifungal assays were performed using different yeast species.
One-hundred and thirty-five yeast clinical isolates belonging to five Candida species (20 Candida albicans, 20 Candida glabrata, 20 Candida parapsilosis, 20 Candida tropicalis and 20 Candida krusei) and 35 isolates of C. neoformans were tested. The Candida isolates were obtained from clinical specimens of blood, urine, vaginal fluid and sputum, whereas the C. neoformans isolates were all recovered from blood and cerebrospinal fluid specimens. We also included two reference C. neoformans strains, H99 and the acapsular ATCC 52817. Isolates were identified to the species level by standard methods and stored as glycerol stocks at -80 °C. Prior to testing, isolates were grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Kima, Padua, Italy) for 48 h at 30 °C. C. parapsilosis ATCC 22019 and C. krusei ATCC 6258 were used as quality control strain. (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard, 3rd ed.; CLSI document M27–A3. (ISBN 1-56238-666-2) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley
2.3.2 Susceptibility testing assays
Susceptibility testing of the yeast isolates to the antifungals amphotericin B and fluconazole and to the six investigated peptides was performed by the broth microdilution method, as describe in the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M27-A3 document48 with a final inoculum concentration of 1.5 (±1.0) ×10³ cells/mL in RPMI 1640 medium buffered to pH 7.0 with morpholinepropanesulphonic acid. Standard powders of amphotericin B and fluconazole were obtained from their respective manufacturers. For each drug, trays containing 0.1 mL of the serially diluted drug solution (2× final concentration) in each well were inoculated with 0.1 mL of each diluted yeast inoculum suspension and then incubated for 48 h (Candida species isolates) or for 72 h (C. neoformans isolates) at 35 °C. The final concentrations of the standard antifungal drugs ranged from 0.03 to 16 lg/mL for amphotericin B and from 0.125 to 64 lg/mL for fluconazole, while those of the peptides ranged from 1 to 512 lg/mL. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) endpoint was defined as the lowest concentration of drug that produced a prominent decrease in turbidity (~50% reduction in growth) compared with that of the drug-free growth control.

2.3.3 In vitro test (fluorescence microscopy)
Candida albicans strains ATCC 90028 and MYA-2876 were grown for 17 h in 10 ml YPD-broth at 28 °C to obtain mid-logarithmic phase organisms and washed three times, then diluted in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4 to a concentration of 1×10⁷ cfu/ml. 100 µl samples of the C. albicans suspensions were incubated for 5 min on ice together with 1 µl of cyclo-AMT1 or cyclo-AMT3 (2 mg/ml).
The pellets were washed three times in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4. Cell FIX (Becton Dickinson Catalogue No. 340181) was added (to a final concentration of 4% formaldehyde) and the yeast cell pellets were incubated 15 min on ice and then 45 min in room temperature. Ethanol washed glass cover slips were coated with 0.25 ml of poly-lysine (0.2 mg/ml dissolved in water), dried and then washed with distilled water. The samples were adhered to the poly-lysine glasses for 30 min and mounted on microscope slides for visual inspection. A Nikon Eclipse TE300 inverted fluorescence microscope was used.

2.4 Spectroscopic analysis

2.4.1 Circular dichroism (CD)
The samples for CD experiments in micelles of SDS were prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of peptide (0.15 mM) in a SDS micelle solution (=0.08 mol kg\(^{-1}\)). All CD spectra were recorded using a JASCO J810 spectropolarimeter at room temperature and with a cell path length of 1 mm. CD spectra were acquired at 25 °C using a measurement range from 190 to 260 nm, 1-nm band width, four accumulations, and 10-nm/min scanning speed. Spectra were corrected for solvent contribution. For an estimation of secondary structure content, CD spectra were analysed using the SELCONN algorithm from the DICHROWEB website.(46)

2.4.2 Fluorescence titration measurements
Fluorescence measurements were performed at 300 K using a LS 55 Luminescence Spectrofluorimeter (Perkin Elmer). The excitation wavelength was 280 nm and emission spectra were recorded between 300 and 400 nm, at excitation slit width 5nm and emission slit width 2.5 nm, scan speed 50nm/min.
The titrations with SDS were performed by adding measured amounts of a phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.1; 10 mM) containing the peptide (3×10⁻⁶ M) and the surfactant at a concentration well above the cmc to a weighed amount of a phosphate buffer solution of the peptide at the same concentration, initially put into the spectrofluorimetric cuvette. In this way, the surfactant concentration was progressively increased, while the peptide concentration remained constant during the whole titration. After each addition there was a 10-min wait to ensure equilibrium had been reached.

2.4.3 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) of average size z = 30 nm, composed of POPC/POPG (3:1, mol/mol) and of POPC/POPG/POPE (2/1/1; mol/mol/mol) were prepared as follows. The desired amount of POPC in chloroform was dried under a stream of nitrogen and then overnight under high vacuum. POPG in chloroform was added and the lipid mixture was vortexed and then dried as described for POPC. The desired amount of buffer was added and the dispersion vortexed. The multilamellar vesicles were sonified for 25 min under a stream of nitrogen (Branson sonifier S-250A equipped with a disruption horn, 200 W) until an almost clear dispersion of unilamellar vesicles was obtained.

The diameter of the small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) was measured by DLS (Nano Series NS, ZEN3600, Malvern Instruments Ltd, UK) and their average size was about 30 nm at all pH values.

For the ITC measurements the peptides were solubilized in buffer solution and the prepared samples were monitored on a Uvikon 860 (GOEBEL Instrumentelle Analytik, Germany) with the buffer spectrum as a reference. A 1 cm cuvette was used (Hellma, Jena, Germany). Peptide concentration was
determined from the absorption measured at 280 nm.

Isothermal titration calorimetry was performed with a VP ITC instrument (Microcal, Northampton, MA). The cell volume was $V_{\text{cell}} = 0.203$ ml. The peptide solution and lipid dispersion were degassed under vacuum and equilibrated at the experimental temperature for 10 min before filling the cells and syringe. The heats of reaction were corrected with the heat of dilution of POPC/POPG titrated into buffer.

Raw data were processed using the Origin software provided by Microcal.

### 2.4.4 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

NMR experiments were performed in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) solution. The samples for NMR experiments in micelles of SDS were prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of peptide (1.5 mM) in a SDS $d_{25}$ micelle solution (=0.08 mol kg$^{-1}$).

NMR spectra were collected using a Bruker DRX-600 spectrometer at 300 K. One-dimensional (1D) NMR spectra were recorded in the Fourier mode with quadrature detection. The water signal was suppressed by low-power selective irradiation in the homo-gated mode. DQF-COSY, TOCSY, and NOESY$(47-49)$ experiments were run in the phase-sensitive mode using quadrature detection in $\omega_1$ via time-proportional phase increases of the initial pulse. Data block sizes were 2048 addresses in $t_2$ and 512 equidistant $t_1$ values. Prior to Fourier transformation, the time domain data matrices were multiplied by shifted $\sin^2$ functions in both dimensions. A mixing time of 70 ms was used for the TOCSY experiments. NOESY experiments were run with mixing times in the range of 100-300 ms. Qualitative and quantitative analyses of DQF-COSY, TOCSY, and NOESY spectra were achieved using SPARKY software.$(50)$
2.4.5 NMR structure calculations

Peak volumes were translated into upper distance bounds with the CALIBA routine from the CYANA software package. The requisite pseudoatom corrections were applied for non-stereospecifically assigned protons at prochiral centers and for the methyl group. After discarding redundant and duplicated constraints, the final list of experimental constraints was used to generate an ensemble of 100 structures by the standard CYANA protocol of simulated annealing in torsion angle space implemented (using 6000 steps). No dihedral angle or hydrogen bond restraints were applied. The best 20 structures that had low target function values (0.83-1.19) and small residual violations (maximum violation = 0.38 Å) were refined by in vacuo minimization in the AMBER 1991 force field using the SANDER program of the AMBER 5.0 suite. To mimic the effect of solvent screening, all net charges were reduced to 20% of their real values. Moreover, a distance-dependent dielectric constant (\( \varepsilon = r \)) was used. The cut-off for non-bonded interactions was 12 Å. NMR-derived upper bounds were imposed as semi-parabolic penalty functions, with force constants of 16 Kcal/mol Å\(^2\). The function was shifted to be linear when the violation exceeded 0.5 Å. The best 10 structures after minimization had AMBER energies ranging from -441.4 to -391.1 Kcal/mol. Final structures were analyzed using the Insight 98.0 program (Molecular Simulations, San Diego, CA, USA).
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RESULTS

3.1 Antifungal activity

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of peptides AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT1, cyclo-AMT1, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 were determined using a standardised microbroth dilution method for yeasts, as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). The application of an endpoint less than the MIC90 was used according to CLSI recommendations because it proved to consistently represent the in vitro activity of the compounds and often provided a better correlation with other measurements of antifungal activity. AMT1, cyclo-AMT1, AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 were tested against C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. krusei, C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis and C. neoformans. The antifungal antibiotics amphotericin B and fluconazole served as positive controls for the microbes and gave the expected minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs). For the Candida species isolates, MICs ranged from 64 to >512 lg/mL for the linear compounds and from 32 to 512 lg/mL for the cyclic compounds. The MIC values shown in Table 1 indicate that all species are susceptible to the peptides tested; cyclic peptides have generally lower MIC values than their linear analogues. In particular the longest linear AMT3, having a MIC greater than 512, can be considered inactive against C. albicans, C. glabrata and C. tropicalis.
### Table 1: In vitro susceptibilities of Candida species and Cryptococcus neoformans isolates to six peptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism (n)</th>
<th>AMT2 (µg/mL) MIC range</th>
<th>cyclo-AMT2 (µg/mL)</th>
<th>AMT1 (µg/mL) MIC range</th>
<th>cyclo-AMT1 (µg/mL)</th>
<th>AMT3 (µg/mL) MIC range</th>
<th>cyclo-AMT3 (µg/mL)</th>
<th>AMB (µg/mL) MIC range</th>
<th>FLU (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans (20)</td>
<td>128-&gt;512 512</td>
<td>64-512 256</td>
<td>128-&gt;512 512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. glabrata (20)</td>
<td>128-&gt;512 512</td>
<td>64-256 256</td>
<td>128-&gt;512 512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. krusei (20)</td>
<td>128-512 256</td>
<td>32-128 64</td>
<td>128-&gt;512 512</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32-128 64</td>
<td>32-64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. tropicalis (20)</td>
<td>128-512 256</td>
<td>64-256 128</td>
<td>128-512 256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32-128 128</td>
<td>32-128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. parapsilosis (20)</td>
<td>128-512 256</td>
<td>64-128 128</td>
<td>128-512 256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>32-128 128</td>
<td>32-128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. neoformans (35)</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16-64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AMB, amphotericin B; FLU, fluconazole; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MIC90, MIC for 90% of the isolates.

* As determined by the CLSI M27-A3 broth microdilution method
Interestingly, all compounds are significantly more active against *C. neoformans*. *Cryptococcus* is a pathogenic fungus responsible for severe opportunistic infections. The most prominent feature of this yeast is its elaborate polysaccharide capsule, a complex structure that is required for virulence. To investigate the origin of this specificity, we tested our compounds against the acapsular *C. neoformans* strain ATCC 52817. MIC values against ATCC 52817 are quite similar to those of *C. neoformans* H99 (16 lg/mL for AMT2, 8 lg/mL for cyclo-AMT2, 16 lg/mL for AMT1, 8 lg/mL for cyclo-AMT1, 8 lg/mL for AMT3 and 4 lg/mL for cyclo-AMT3), indicating the absence of any specific interaction with the capsular structure.

### 3.2 Fluorescence microscopy

To investigate whether the peptides cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 bind to *Candida* cell wall, the peptides were labelled with the fluorescent dye *Dap* (l-diamino propionic acid) aminoacid and incubated with the fungal cells. As demonstrated by fluorescence microscopy the peptides bound to the surface of *Candida albicans* cells (Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** Binding of cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 to *C. albicans*. ATCC 90028 (on the left) and *C. albicans* MYA-2876 (on the right). Panels show fluorescence of *Candida* cells stained with labelled with *Dap* conjugated cyclo-AMT3.
3.3 Membrane-peptide interaction

3.3.1 Fluorescence titration measurement.
Peptide-surfactant and peptide-liposome interactions were studied by monitoring the changes in the Trp fluorescence emission spectra with increasing surfactant concentrations.

The fluorescence intensities of some fine vibronic structures in the tryptophan fluorescence spectrum show strong environmental dependance.\(^{54, 55}\) In particular, the emission maximum shifts from 354 to 329 nm when going from water to an apolar medium. The quantum yield could also undergo large changes, the direction and extent of which depend on the system under consideration.\(^{56}\) To evaluate the interaction capability of the peptides with micelle aggregates, tryptophan fluorescence of AMT1, cyclo-AMT1, AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, and cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelle solutions was registered. SDS micelles were chosen as representatives of anionic micellar systems.

The spectra of all peptides in phosphate buffer and in a SDS micellar solution are shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3 Tryptophan emission spectra (range 300-400 nm) of AMT1, cyclo-AMT1, AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 in aqueous phosphate buffer (solid line) and SDS micelles (dashed line).
In phosphate buffer, all the spectra show a Trp emission spectrum typical of an aqueous environment ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 358$ nm) indicating that the Trp side chains are exposed to the aqueous medium. For the linear peptides, the addition of SDS causes a reduction in Trp quantum yield which could be ascribed to peptide-micelle interaction,(57) and a weak shift of the emission maximum to shorter wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 350$ nm). The limited extent of the shift indicates that the Trp residues remain greatly exposed to the solvent, suggesting a peptide positioning at the micelle surface rather than inside the inner hydrophobic core. For cyclo-AMT1 and cyclo-AMT2 in SDS micelles a different behaviour is observed, since the Trp quantum yield increases and the shift of the emission maximum is more evident ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 345$ nm). Thus, our evidences indicate a different peptide-micelle interaction mode,(58, 59) where the Trp residues of cyclo-AMT1 and cyclo-AMT2 experiences a slightly more apolar environment than the linear analogues. Interestingly, the cyclo-AMT3 behavior is more similar to that of the linear AMT3 than to those of the other cyclic peptides.

In order to obtain further information on peptide-surfactant interaction, Trps fluorescence of peptides was monitored at surfactant concentrations ranging from premicellar to concentrated micellar solutions. As an example, Figure 4 contains the variation of fluorescence for AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 at $\lambda= 354$ nm, corresponding to the emission in a hydrophobic (water) environment, with SDS concentration.
Figure 4 Trps fluorescence variation of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 at $\lambda = 354$ nm, with increasing SDS concentrations.

For the six peptides, a very low amount of surfactant is sufficient to induce dramatic changes in the Trps fluorescence; moreover, no further change is observed at the point of SDS micellization (cmc = 0.008 mol kg$^{-1}$). This means that the considered peptides interact with surfactants monomers, inducing their aggregation.(60)

The data reporting the variation of fluorescence with SDS concentration offer the opportunity for a quantification of the peptide-surfactant interaction. Actually, the quantitative description of a peptide interaction with biomembranes and related mimetic systems (vesicles, micelles) has been the subject of recent scientific discussion.(61) Two different approaches have been proposed: a partition process and a binding mechanism. For these two approaches two different constants are calculated, $K_{p,x}$ and $K_a$, respectively.(62, 63) The former, describes the mole-fraction partitioning of the peptide between the solution bulk and the microscopically dispersed hydrophobic phase, the second is an association constant between the peptide and the surfactant. It is not possible to choice a priori which model is the most
representative model for the system under scrutiny, but the choice depends on the trend evidenced by Trps fluorescence at \( \lambda = 354 \) nm in function of surfactant concentration.

In the case of our peptides, the variation of Trps fluorescence at \( \lambda = 354 \) nm in function of surfactant concentration shows a saturation trend. For this trend the binding approach is proved the most representative model; here, interpolation of the experimental Trp fluorescence data, \( F \), by means of the proper equation allows the estimation \( K_a \) (association constant between the peptide and the surfactant) and \( n \) (surfactant molecules) as described below.

The change in the peptide fluorescence has been described following the equations developed by Christiaens et al.(63) In particular, using the equation:

\[
F = \frac{F_0[P] + F_1[PL]}{[P] + [PL]} \quad (1)
\]

where \( F \) is the fluorescence intensity at a given added lipid concentration. \( F_0 \) and \([P] \) are the fluorescence intensity and concentration of the unbound peptide, respectively, while \( F_1 \) and \([PL] \) are the fluorescence intensity and concentration of the peptide-lipid complex. \([PL] \) can be obtained via the definition of the dissociation (association) constant:

\[
K_d = \frac{1}{K_a} = \frac{[P][L]}{[PL]} \quad (2)
\]

with \( K_d \) dissociation constant, \( K_a \) association constant, and \([L] \) free lipid concentration.

The binding Eqn (2) can be rearranged to the following quadratic equation:

\[
[PL]^2 - [PL][P] + \left( \frac{[L]}{n} + K_d \right) + \left( \frac{[L]}{n} \right)[P] = 0 \quad (3)
\]
The parameter \( n \), representing the formal number of phospholipid molecules that are involved in a binding site for one peptide, is introduced in order to account for the formal stoichiometry of binding \( (K_d' = K_d/n) \). The solution of this quadratic equation is thus given by:

\[
[PL] = \frac{S \pm \left(S^2 - 4\left(\frac{[L_{tot}]}{n}\right)[P_{tot}]^{1/2}\right)}{2}
\]  

(4)

with

\[
S = [P_{tot}] + \frac{[L_{tot}]}{n} + K_d'
\]

Substitution of Eqn (4) into Eqn (1) yields an equation of \( F \) as a function of \([P_{tot}]\) and \([L_{tot}]\). By plotting the measured fluorescence intensity as a function of \([L_{tot}]\), \( K_d' \) and \( n \) can be determined through a non-linear best fitting procedure. \( K_d \) is obtained by multiplying of \( K_d' \) by \( n \).

Table 2 summarizes the \( K_d \) and \( n \) values obtained for the systems under consideration. The \( K_d \) values, although not very high, indicate a significant propensity of AMT1, AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT1, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 to form complexes with SDS molecules. An average of 4-6 surfactants interact per peptide. Interestingly, cyclo-AMT1 and cyclo-AMT2 present a lower \( K_d \) than the linear analogues, confirming the peculiarity of interaction as compared to the remaining peptides, while cyclo-AMT3 binding to SDS aggregates is much stronger than that of AMT3.
Table 2  $K_a$ (association constant between the peptide and the surfactant/liposome), and $n$ (number of surfactant molecules/vesicles interacting with the peptide, calculated for AMT1, AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT1, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelle solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent Medium</th>
<th>$K_a \times 10^{-2}/M^{-1}$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT1 SDS micelles</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>4±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT2 SDS micelles</td>
<td>3.3±0.4</td>
<td>5±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT3 SDS micelles</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
<td>4±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclo-AMT1 SDS micelles</td>
<td>2.2±0.2</td>
<td>6±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclo-AMT2 SDS micelles</td>
<td>2.0±0.4</td>
<td>6±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclo-AMT3 SDS micelles</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
<td>6±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry ITC.

ITC is a thermodynamic technique that directly measures the heat released or absorbed during a biomolecular binding event. Measurement of this heat allows accurate determination of binding constants ($K$), reaction stoichiometry ($n$), enthalpy ($\Delta H$) and entropy ($\Delta S$), thereby providing a complete thermodynamic profile of the molecular interaction in a single experiment. Because ITC goes beyond binding affinities and can elucidate the mechanism of the molecular interaction, it has become the method of choice for characterizing biomolecular interactions.
To study lipid-peptide interaction by ITC there are two different type of titration.\textsuperscript{(64)}

In the first titration mode the peptide solution is injected into the lipid dispersion contained in the calorimeter cell. In this titration the lipids vesicles are in excess compared to the peptide, so all peptide molecules injected bind the vesicle surface, provided the binding constant is sufficiently large. Each injection gives the same heat of reaction $h_i$, and $h_i / \delta n_i$ provide the enthalpy of binding, $\Delta H_0$.

In the second titration mode the peptide contained in the calorimeter cell is titrated with lipid vesicles injections. As this type of titration was previously preferred to study AMT1 and cyclo-AMT1 binding to POPC vesicles\textsuperscript{(65)} we have adopted this titration mode and experimental conditions for our experiments.

**Peptide-lipid interaction: electrostatic/hydrophobic factor**

The first measurements investigated the AMT interaction to POPC/POPG (75/25, M/M) SUVs at pH 7.4 and 25°C. POPC/POPG 3:1 M/M is often used to mimic the electrostatic charge of cytoplasmic membrane of yeast as *C. Albicans*.\textsuperscript{(66, 67)}

Figure 5 shows the calorimetric trace flow obtained titrating AMT peptides with the SUVs system described above and the integrated reaction heats plotted against the molar lipid-to-peptide ratio.
Figure 5 Titration of each peptide with POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at pH 7.4 and 25°C. UP: ITC trace (differential heating power vs. time). Down: the cumulative heat of reaction as a function of the lipid to-to-peptide molar ratio.

In each titration the binding of peptide to vesicles produce exothermic heat of reaction. The exothermic heat flow decreases with increasing number of injections because less and less peptide is available for binding. The residual heat is the heat of dilution of the lipid dispersion in the buffer.

The molar binding enthalpy $\Delta H^0$ of peptides to POPC/POPG SUVs can be determined from the total heat released in the titration, $\sum h_i$, and the molar amount of peptide in the sample cell, $n_0$, according to

$$\Delta H^0 = \frac{\sum h_i}{n_0}$$

(1)
The enthalpy of the reaction is calculated according to Equation (1) assuming that the total amount of peptide in the calorimeter is bound. The calculated binding enthalpies $\Delta H^0$ are listed in Table 3.

High-sensitivity calorimetric experiments can allow the definition of a binding isotherm,\textsuperscript{(68)} $X^i_b = f(c_f)$. It describes the extent of binding, $X^i_b$, as a function of the concentration of free peptide, $c_f$, at each injection of the titration. $X^i_b$ is defined as the ratio between the molar amount of bound peptide, $n^i_{\text{pep bound}}$, and the molar amount of injected lipid available for binding, $n^i_L$, after $i$ injections.

$$X^i_b = \frac{n^i_{\text{pep bound}}}{n^i_L}$$

($2$)

$n^i_L$ is given by the lipid concentration in the syringe, the volume of injection and the number $i$ of injections performed. $n^i_{\text{pep bound}}$ is determined from the experimental heats of reaction $h_i$ as follows:

$$n^i_{\text{pep bound}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^k h_k}{\Delta H^0 V \text{cell} c^0_{\text{pep}}}$$

($3$)

with $c^0_{\text{pep}}$ the total peptide concentration in the calorimeter cell and $V_{\text{cell}}$ the volume of the calorimeter cell. The molar amount of peptide bound to the lipid membrane (equation 3) combined with the principle of mass conservation allows the calculation of the free peptide concentration in solution. This provides the description of the binding isotherm without assuming a specific binding model.

The calculation of further thermodynamic parameters such as the binding constant or the free energy of the reaction requires the analysis of the binding isotherm. In the present context it is based on a surface partitioning model in which the peptide binding to the lipid membrane is dependent on the peptide affinity for the lipid and the concentration of peptide, $c_M$, found immediately above the plane of binding\textsuperscript{(69, 70)} according to:
\[ X_b = K_0 c_M \] (4)

with \( K_0 \) the intrinsic binding constant. The surface partitioning model takes into account the attraction of positively-charged peptides to the negatively-charged membrane surface. The peptide concentration at the membrane surface, \( c_M \), is therefore higher than the peptide concentration in the bulk, \( c_f \). The Boltzmann law expresses the electrostatic equilibrium between these two concentrations:

\[ c_M = c_f e^{\left( \frac{-z_p F_0 \Psi}{RT} \right)} \]

(5)

where \( z_p \) is the peptide effective charge, \( \Psi \) is the membrane surface potential, \( F_0 \) is the Faraday constant and \( RT \) is the thermal energy. \( \Psi \) can be calculated from the Gouy-Chapman theory. It leads to the peptide concentration at the membrane surface after each lipid injection and finally to the intrinsic binding constant \( K_0 \), according to equation (4).

The free energy of binding \( \Delta G^0 \) follows from: \( \Delta G^0 = -RT\ln(55.5 \ K_0) \). The factor 55.5 is the molar concentration of water and corrects for the cratic contribution. The binding entropy can be derived from the second law of thermodynamics, \( \Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S^0 \).

The thermodynamic parameters calculated are summerized in Table 3.
Table 3 Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of linear and cyclic AMT to POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at pH 7.4 and 25°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>K(M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT1</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>-9.47</td>
<td>-6.26</td>
<td>-10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-10.58</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>-15.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT2</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>-9.15</td>
<td>-6.11</td>
<td>-10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT2</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>-6.34</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-7.44</td>
<td>-6.17</td>
<td>-4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-7.87</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all the peptides the intrinsic binding constants $K$ are not high and vary between 400 and 800 M$^{-1}$. The low value of binding constant suggests that the peptides do not penetrate the hydrophobic core of the vesicles but are adsorbed on their external surface. ΔH values are generally higher than ΔG values in absolute value; furthermore ΔS value are always negative. These data suggest that the insertion of the peptide into the lipid bilayer is driven by enthalpy and opposed by entropy.

To investigate the role of electrostatic/hydrophobic contribution to peptide-vesicles interaction, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 were titrated at different pH values with POPC/POPG and POPC SUVs.

The titration curves of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 with POPC/POPG SUVs show an exothermic profile (Figure 6). Thermodynamic parameters are summarized in Table 4.
Figure 6 Titration of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 with POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at 35°C and different pH value. UP: ITC trace (differential heating power vs. time). Down: the cumulative heat of reaction as a function of the lipid to-to-peptide molar ratio.

For AMT3 a gain in free energy and an increasing of binding constant show that the membrane binding is enforced by pH decrease. In contrast, cyclo-AMT3 show no variation of binding constant in dependence of pH, but just a slight gain in free energy (Table 4). For both peptides the binding to vesicles is enthalpy driven.
Table 4 Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 to POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at 35°C and different pH value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>K(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-7.45</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>-9.06</td>
<td>-6.62</td>
<td>-7.92</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>-9.21</td>
<td>-7.01</td>
<td>-7.14</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>-9.22</td>
<td>-7.78</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-7.87</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-9.54</td>
<td>-6.12</td>
<td>-11.09</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To study the hydrophobic contribution in peptide-vesicles interaction AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 were titrated with neutral POPC SUVs (Figure 7). The results of peptide titrations with POPC SUVs is summerized in Table 5.

Figure 7 Titration of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 with POPC SUVs at 35°C and pH 6. UP: ITC trace (differential heating power vs. time). Down: the cumulative heat of reaction as a function of the lipid to-to-peptide molar ratio.
Table 5 Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 to POPC SUVs at 35°C and pH 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPC</th>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>K(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-5,39</td>
<td>-7,25</td>
<td>6,03</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-5,25</td>
<td>-6,55</td>
<td>4,22</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrophobic constant of AMT3 decreases from 6000 kcal/mol with POPC/POPG SUVs (Table 4) to 2500 kcal/mol with POPC SUVs (Table 5). Also the free energy (-7,25 kcal/mol; Table 5) is slightly lower than with POPC/POPG SUVs (Table 4).

On the contrary, cyclo-AMT3 titrated with POPC SUVs shows a slight increase in binding constant and free energy in comparison to the values observed in the titration with POPC/POPG SUVs (Table 4).

For both peptides the interaction with POPC vesicles is entropy driven as shown by positive value of entropy (Table 5).

Taken all together the ITC data can be interpreted supposing that at lower pH the basic residue of peptides are protonated and thus attracted by the negative charge of POPC/POPG SUVs. This electrostatic effect is highly evident for AMT3-vesicles interaction as compared to cyclo-AMT3 vesicles interaction.

The role of His in peptide-vesicles interaction

To clarify the effect of the presence of His residues in peptide-vesicles interaction, AMT2 was titrated with POPC/POPG SUVs at different pH values (Figure 8).

A slight gain in free energy and an increase in binding constant show that the membrane binding is facilitated by pH decrease (Table 6). The entropy value shows that moving from pH 7 to pH 6 the system become more disordered.
The results of Table 4 and Table 6 show that in the same conditions the binding of AMT3 to vesicles has a lower free energy, an higher binding constant and is more disordered than AMT2 binding. These data suggest that His residues are important for the interaction of the two peptides with the vesicle surface.

**Figure 8** Titration of AMT2 with POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at 35°C and different pH value. UP: ITC trace (differential heating power vs. time). Down: the cumulative heat of reaction as a function of the lipid to-to-peptide molar ratio.

**Table 6** Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of AMT2 to POPC/POPG (75/25 M/M) SUVs at 35°C and different pH value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPC/POPG</th>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>K(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-10,92</td>
<td>-6,04</td>
<td>-15,83</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT2</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-10,78</td>
<td>-6,20</td>
<td>-14,88</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-8,82</td>
<td>-6,69</td>
<td>-6,93</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The role of membrane fluidity in peptide-vesicles interaction

To understand the influence of membrane fluidity we repeated the titration of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 with POPC/POPG+cholesterol, and POPC/POPG/POPE+cholesterol SUVs that mimics the composition of the outer leaflet of yeast membrane (Figure 9).(66, 67) Cholesterol is used as mimetic of ergosterol, the sterol component in yeast membrane. Cholesterol is an essential component in the membranes of most eukaryotic cells, in which it mediates many functions including membrane fluidity, permeability and the formation of ordered membrane domains. The presence of cholesterol decreases the membrane fluidity.

POPC/POPG/POPE/cholesterol 50/25/25 M/M/M bilayers are more densely packed than POPC/POPG/cholesterol 75/25 M/M bilayers.(71) In contrast to POPC, the head group of POPE is a primary amine that makes it capable for the formation of intra and intermolecular hydrogen bonds to lipid phosphate groups.(72) As a result, the water-lipid interface of a POPE membrane is more densely packed than POPC membrane.

The results of titrations are summarized in Table 7 and 8.

The free energy of AMT3 increases from -7.01 Kcal/mol, when titrated with POPC/POPG SUVs (Table 4) to -6.47 and -6.37 Kcal/mol when titrated with POPC/POPG/cholesterol (Table 7) and POPC/POPG/POPE/cholesterol (Table 8) respectively. The binding constant decreases from 1700 kcal/mol (Table 4) to 700 M\(^{-1}\) and 600 M\(^{-1}\) titrating with POPC/POPG/cholesterol (Table 7) and with POPC/POPG/POPE/cholesterol (Table 8). Interestingly the ΔH increases from -9.21 to -7.37 and -5.36 kcal/mol while the ΔS increases from -7.14 to -2.93 and + 3.29 cal/mol K. Thus, with POPC/POPG/POPE/cholesterol the peptide-vesicle interaction is entropy driven while the interactions with the previous liposome system were enthalpy driven.
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The binding constant of cyclo-AMT3 titrated with POPC/POPG/cholesterol SUVs is 250 M$^{-1}$ and it slightly decreases to 150 M$^{-1}$ when the peptide is titrated with POPC/POPG/POPE/cholesterol vesicles. The results of the titrations of cyclo-AMT3 with the two vesicles system show an increase of $\Delta G$ and $\Delta H$ and a decrease of $\Delta S$ (see Tables 7 and 8). The data show that the peptide-membrane interaction is opposed by membrane rigidity.

**Figure 9** Titration of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 with POPC/POPG and cholesterol SUVs and with POPC/POPE/POPG and cholesterol SUVs at 35°C and pH 6.5. UP: ITC trace (differential heating power vs. time). Down: the cumulative heat of reaction as a function of the lipid to-to-peptide molar ratio.
Table 7 Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 to POPC/POPG and cholesterol SUVs at 35°C and pH 6.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPC/POPG and cholesterol</th>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>K(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>-7.37</td>
<td>-6.47</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-7.85</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>-6.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 to POPC/POPG/POPE and cholesterol SUVs at 35°C and pH 6.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPC/POPG/POPE and cholesterol</th>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>K(M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS°(cal/(mol K))</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMT3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-5.36</td>
<td>-6.37</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo-AMT3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-6.33</td>
<td>-5.52</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Conformational analysis

3.3.1 CD spectroscopy

The conformational structure of cyclo-AMT1 was previously solved (18, 38) in SDS micelles. SDS micelle solution is considered a simple plasma membrane-mimicking system. To compare our results to AMT1 and cyclo-AMT1, we studied the conformational behaviour of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelle system.

A preliminary study of conformational preferences of cyclic and linear AMT derivatives were screened by CD spectroscopy. All CD spectra were recorded in water and in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) micelle solution. CD spectra of linear and cyclic AMT derivatives were recorded in this system to explore their propensity to assume specific secondary structures in response to the
presence of membrane-mimicking interfaces. CD spectra of AMT1, AMT2 and AMT3 indicate the preponderance of disordered conformations both in water and in SDS micelles. CD spectra of cyclic peptides recorded in water and in SDS micelles are shown in Figure 10. They were quantitatively evaluated using the DICHROWEB interactive website (SELCNN algorithm); consistently with this analysis, a significant presence of turn conformation is evident in cyclo-AMT1 cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 in water. CD spectrum of cyclo-AMT2 in SDS micelles is similar to that exhibited in water solution; on the contrary CD spectra of cyclo-AMT1 and cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelle solution have the shape characteristic of random coil conformation.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10.** CD spectra of cyclo-AMT1, cyclo-AMT2 cyclo-AMT3 in water (on the left) and in SDS micelle solution (on the right).
3.3.2 NMR Spectroscopy.

The NMR structure of cyclo-AMT1 in SDS micelles was previously solved. In this work, NMR structure of AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 are solved and compared to cyclo-AMT1 structure. Accordingly a whole set of 1D and 2D proton spectra were recorded in pure SDS micelles. To check the absence of self-aggregation, spectra were acquired in the peptide concentration range of 0.5-15 mM. No significant changes were observed in the distribution and shape of $^1$H resonances, indicating that no aggregation phenomena occurred in this concentration range. The NOESY spectra of linear and cyclic peptides acquired in SDS micelles are showed in Figure 11. The spectra shown considerable high quality NOE cross-peaks that were essential to assign all resonance and to understand the conformational structure of peptides in exam. The chemical shift assignments of proton spectra were achieved via the standard systematic application of TOCSY and NOESY experiments. The proton chemical shifts of peptides in SDS micelle solution are reported in Tables 9-12.
Figure 11. Low field region of NOESY spectra of AMT2 (A) cyclo-AMT2 (B) AMT3 (C) and cyclo-AMT3 (D) in SDS micelle solution. (600 MHz, T 298K)
Table 9 $^1$H chemical shift of AMT2 in SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His1</td>
<td>4.446</td>
<td>3.420</td>
<td>7.374</td>
<td>8.492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg2</td>
<td>8.546</td>
<td>4.273</td>
<td>1.697/1.651</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>3.058</td>
<td>6.976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg3</td>
<td>8.198</td>
<td>4.245</td>
<td>1.621</td>
<td>1.475/1.427</td>
<td>3.074</td>
<td>7.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp4</td>
<td>7.802</td>
<td>4.607</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>7.157</td>
<td>Hε1 9.786</td>
<td>Hε3 7.510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg6</td>
<td>6.843</td>
<td>4.052</td>
<td>1.412/1.080</td>
<td>0.933/0.760</td>
<td>2.823</td>
<td>6.870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe7</td>
<td>6.846</td>
<td>4.440</td>
<td>3.197/2.654</td>
<td>6.940</td>
<td>7.166</td>
<td>Hζ 7.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 $^1$H chemical shift of cyclo-AMT2 in SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His1</td>
<td>7.916</td>
<td>4.394</td>
<td>3.498/3.414</td>
<td>7.209</td>
<td>8.524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg3</td>
<td>7.942</td>
<td>4.131</td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>1.262/1.152</td>
<td>2.951/2.833</td>
<td>6.973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp4</td>
<td>7.110</td>
<td>4.732</td>
<td>3.159/2.663</td>
<td>7.193</td>
<td>Hε1 9.831</td>
<td>Hε3 7.397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg6</td>
<td>6.383</td>
<td>3.504</td>
<td>0.958/0.536</td>
<td>0.300/-0.153</td>
<td>2.500/2.403</td>
<td>6.619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe7</td>
<td>7.915</td>
<td>4.573</td>
<td>3.382/3.283</td>
<td>7.197</td>
<td>7.289</td>
<td>Hζ 7.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 11 $^1$H chemical shift of AMT3 in SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^2$H</th>
<th>$^3$H</th>
<th>$^4$H</th>
<th>$^5$H</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His1</td>
<td>8.639</td>
<td>4.405</td>
<td>3.407</td>
<td>7.501</td>
<td>8.683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly2</td>
<td>8.372</td>
<td>4.026/3.985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His3</td>
<td>8.320</td>
<td>4.188</td>
<td>1.580</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>2.992</td>
<td>6.979</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg4</td>
<td>8.008</td>
<td>4.053</td>
<td>1.501/1.477</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>2.994</td>
<td>6.945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp6</td>
<td>7.558</td>
<td>4.568</td>
<td>3.228</td>
<td>7.206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\eta_2$ 7.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp7</td>
<td>7.487</td>
<td>4.451</td>
<td>3.048</td>
<td>7.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\eta_2$ 7.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg8</td>
<td>7.072</td>
<td>4.042</td>
<td>1.410/1.139</td>
<td>0.949/0.796</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>6.885</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe9</td>
<td>7.064</td>
<td>4.554</td>
<td>3.209/2.759</td>
<td>7.046</td>
<td>7.197</td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\zeta$ 7.107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12 $^1$H chemical shift of cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN</th>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>$^2$H</th>
<th>$^3$H</th>
<th>$^4$H</th>
<th>$^5$H</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His1</td>
<td>8.315</td>
<td>4.540</td>
<td>3.342/3.189</td>
<td>7.328</td>
<td>8.594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly2</td>
<td>8.163</td>
<td>3.994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His3</td>
<td>8.261</td>
<td>4.590</td>
<td>3.336/3.260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg4</td>
<td>8.174</td>
<td>4.260</td>
<td>1.827/1.729</td>
<td>1.516/1.424</td>
<td>3.006</td>
<td>7.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg5</td>
<td>7.915</td>
<td>4.085</td>
<td>1.593</td>
<td>1.347/1.294</td>
<td>3.002</td>
<td>6.942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp6</td>
<td>7.674</td>
<td>4.495</td>
<td>3.216</td>
<td>7.264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\eta_1$ 9.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp7</td>
<td>7.644</td>
<td>4.348</td>
<td>3.339</td>
<td>7.270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\eta_1$ 9.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg8</td>
<td>7.266</td>
<td>3.614</td>
<td>1.079/1.034</td>
<td>0.371/0.336</td>
<td>2.561/2.419</td>
<td>6.722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe9</td>
<td>7.662</td>
<td>3.614</td>
<td>1.079/1.034</td>
<td>0.371/0.336</td>
<td>2.561/2.419</td>
<td>6.722</td>
<td>H$\zeta$ 7.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12 shows the NOE connectivities of AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 as derived from NOESY spectra in SDS micelles. Sequential NH-NH(i, i+1), and sporadic medium range CHα–NH(i, i+2) NOE effects were observed in the NOESY spectrum of AMT2. AMT3, cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3 in pure SDS micelles, show sequential NH-NH(i, i+1) effects and several medium range NOEs including in particular CHα-NH(i, i+2) and NH-NH(i, i+2) along different triads of the sequences.

The structural calculations of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 in pure SDS micelles, were carried out using CYANA software on the basis of NOE data. This data was transformed into interprotonic distances and imposed as restraints in the calculation.

Figure 13 shows NMR structure bundles of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT3 in SDS micelles. Analysis of AMT2 and cyclo-AMT2 structure bundle shows high structural agreement between the calculated conformers (RMSD < 0.80 Å), however the evaluation of backbone dihedral angles according to PROMOTIF procedure, indicates a minor presence of canonical secondary structures.
On the contrary PROMOTIF analysis of AMT3 structure bundle,(73) points to the presence of regular \( \gamma \)-turn structures on residues His3-Arg5 with H-bond between C=O His3 and HN Arg5 stabilizing the \( \gamma \)-turn structures. cyclo-AMT3 shows the preference for regular turn structures in Trp6-Phe9 segment. All the calculated structures show a common, ordered orientation of side chains confirming the preponderance of ordered structures in micelle solution.

*Figure 13* NMR structure bundles of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT3
3.4 Discussion

Several short membrane-active peptides have shown antifungal activity due to their interfacial properties. (23-25) AMT1, a peptide selected from a synthetic combinatorial library, and its cyclic analogue cyclo-AMT1 were recently shown to possess antimicrobial and haemolytic activity. (39, 65) AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3 and cyclo-AMT3 (Figure 1) were designed by adding to AMT1 and cyclo-AMT1 one and two His residues, respectively. His residues, which contain an imidazole ring in their side chains, were added in an attempt to confer to new peptides, activity analogous to the imidazole ring of theazole antifungal compounds.

Antifungal tests of the peptides synthesized show even marginal antifungal activity against the selected fungal species tested: due to their sequence typical of the AMPs this fungicidal activity may be related to peptide-membrane destabilising ability.

Linear compounds are less active than their cyclic analogues. In agreement with CD data, which show minimal regular secondary structures in linear peptides, the conformational flexibility seems to prevent the interaction between the peptides and the fungal target. On the contrary, the higher activity exhibited by the cyclo-peptides indicates that limited peptide conformational freedom is important for antifungal activity, probably due to a required orientation of the amino acid side chain in the peptide-membrane interaction. In addition higher activity of the cyclic peptides could be also caused by the improved stability of the cyclic compound against proteolytic degradation.

The data show that all peptides tested possess very low MIC90 values against C. neoformans. These results are promising for drug development. C. neoformans infection is a life-threatening complication for immunocompromised hosts, being the main cause of fatal meningoencephalitis.
in AIDS patients and producing fatal cryptococcosis in patients who have undergone organ transplants. Thus new compounds acting against this fungus are highly desirable. Experiments on capsular strains of *C. neoformans* excluded a selective interaction of our peptides with the most prominent feature of this yeast, the capsular structure, indicating that the specificity against *C. neoformans* depends on interaction with a *C. neoformans*-specific target. Due to their sequence typical of the CAPs the fungicidal activity may be related to peptide-membrane destabilising ability.

To evaluate the impact that the interaction with the fungal membrane may have on the antifungal activity of the peptides, we investigated the structural behaviour of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT3 in membrane mimetic systems represented by SDS micelles. The ability of the cyclo-peptides to interact with the fungal cell surface of *Candida Albicans* was monitored using fluorescence microscopy. Observation by fluorescence microscopy of *Candida Albicans* cells incubated with *Dap* labelled cyclo-AMT3, confirmed the preference of cyclo-AMT3 to be localized on the surface of the cell.

Fluorescence spectra indicate that all the peptides have tendency to be exposed to the aqueous medium. In the presence of increasing amounts of SDS, they are localized at the interface between the aqueous and the lipid media, avoiding the membrane hydrophobic core. Specifically peptides show strong interactions with detergent monomers (Table 2).

The binding of AMT peptides to phospholipid vesicles was thermodynamically studied by isothermal titration calorimetry. Accordingly to the fluorescence microscopy results, ITC data evidence low values of binding constant (see tables 3, 7, 8), suggesting that the peptides do not penetrate the hydrophobic core of the membrane, but lye on the external surface of the
membrane. Calorimetric study also show that for linear peptides the affinity for the membrane surface depends on electrostatic interaction. (table 4-5); while the interaction of cyclic peptides with liposome surface is more dependent on hydrophobic contribution (table 4-5).

NMR study of peptides in micelle solution allows the calculation of 3D model to interpreter in term of molecular structure the behaviour of cyclo-peptides at the membrane interface. NMR structure bundles evidence high structural agreement between the calculated conformers (RMSD < 0.5), with regular turn structures prevalent on WWRF segment of cyclic analogues. A common orientation of the side chains is evident. In particular in the cyclic peptides, interactions between the side chains of WRF residues are evident thanks to cation-pi interactions involving the guanidino moiety of Arg and the aromatic rings of Trp and Phe. This interaction, that may be the driving force for the stabilization of the peptide conformations, is more favoured in the cyclic analogues as compared to the linear peptides. Here indeed, the side chains of Trp, Arg and Phe appear to be clustered on one surface of the cycle (Figure 14). In particular, cyclo-AMT2 presents on one side of its cyclic scaffold the side chains of the three residues WRF, whereas cyclo-AMT3 presents on one side of its cyclic scaffold the side chains of the three residues WRF in addition to the other Arg that may contribute to enforce the interaction with the negative charges of the membrane. This organization of the side-chain, that confirms the calorimetric data indicating an interaction of cyclic peptides based on hydrophobic forces, may be the basis to rationalize the relation between the antifungal activity and the structural features of the peptides under scrutiny.
Figure 14 Comparison of the best NMR models of AMT2, cyclo-AMT2, AMT3, cyclo-AMT3.

Fluorescence spectroscopy, fluorescence microscopy and calorimetric observations, lead to exclude an unspecific mechanism of activity based on the destabilization of the membrane cell: the peptides do not form membrane pores, neither penetrate membrane cell, but they are localized on the membrane surface, exerting their antimicrobial activity possibly for interaction with a specific target. On these bases the positioning of the peptides on the membrane surface is crucial for an effective interaction with the target. Trp and Arg residues are known to be residues able to stabilize the interaction with membrane cell. The clustering of such residues on one surface of the cyclo-AMT2 and cyclo-AMT3, could stabilize the positioning of the peptides on the fungal cellular wall to induce an efficient interaction with the molecular target.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Interactions between proteins/peptides and phospholipid bilayers are fundamental in a variety of key biological processes. Among these, membrane fusion is one of the most relevant, being involved in cell growth, sub-cellular compartmentalization, mitochondrial remodelling, hormone secretion, neurotransmission, and carcinogenesis. (1) Membrane fusion is also a rate-determining step in viral infection by ‘enveloped’ viruses. These viruses, whose capsid is enclosed by a phospholipid bilayer, enter the target cell by fusion of their own envelope with the cell membrane. In this process, specific viral proteins, named fusion proteins, interacting with both viral and cellular membranes, facilitate their fusion. (2) The fusion is accomplished through a common mechanism of action, in which a large scale protein conformational change is coupled to apposition and merging of the two bilayers (Figure15). (3)

Figure 15 Fusion between viral envelope and cell membrane.
There is converging evidence that different domains of the viral fusion protein cooperate, playing different roles, in driving membrane fusion. A distinctly hydrophobic sequence, usually named fusion peptide, is deputed to enter the target cell membrane “anchoring” the virus on it. This is a necessary, but not sufficient, step for membrane fusion: Even in the presence of a bridging protein, the two opposing membranes tend to preserve their integrity because of the high energy required for phospholipid re-arrangement. Other domains of the fusion protein are hypothesized to interact with the membranes, lowering the energetic barrier thus allowing their final fusion. Particularly, the researchers’ interest has focused on the membrane-proximal external region (MPER), also named pre-transmembrane domain.

Both the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and the feline analogue (FIV) affect cell entry via a mechanism that involves surface glycoproteins named gp41 and gp36, respectively. Both proteins present a tryptophan-rich MPER domain, whose action has been indicated to be crucial in the membrane fusion process (figure 16).

Figure 16 Domains of gp36 of FIV and gp41 of HIV1 and HIV2. CHR is C-terminal heptad repeat; MPER is membrane-proximal external region; MSR is membrane spanning domain.

Peptides deriving from MPER of gp41 and gp36 are able to prevent HIV- and FIV-cell membrane fusion. The antiviral activity is likely to derive
from adsorption of the peptide on the external surface of the target-cell membrane, where it competes with the TM glycoprotein of the virus. (19)

We identified a 20-mer synthetic peptide (P59) corresponding to the fragment 767-786 of the membrane proximal ectodomain of FIV transmembrane glycoprotein. This peptide potently inhibited the growth of tissue culture-adapted FIV in feline fibroblastoid CrFK cells. Antiviral activity of p59 was found to map to a short segment containing three conserved Trp residues, a derivative of eight amino acids (770W-I777), designated C8. Peptide C8 activity was found to be dependent on conservation of the Trp motif. Preliminary structural studies showed that peptide C8 possesses a conformational propensity highly uncommon for peptides of its size, which may account for its considerable antiviral potency in spite of small size. (18)

Considering the involvement of these fragment in the processes mediating the fusion of virus and host cell membranes the characterization of P59 and C8 in membrane mimetic systems seems particularly interesting. Accordingly the structural behavior of P59 and C8 in different membrane models was extensively explored by means of several different biophysical techniques. ESR and fluorescence experiments, using spin-labeled lipids, demonstrated that the P59 peptide associates with lipid bilayers. (20) by binding at the membrane surface or polar–apolar interface. This is consistent with high-resolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis of P59 in micellar environments, which revealed a region of secondary structural order in the sequence extending from Trp770 to Ile777 (21) In particular, an amphipathic, turn-helical structure forms, in which the polar or charged residues are positioned on one side, with the opposite side occupied by hydrophobic
residues including the three tryptophans, which have a propensity to localize to the polar–apolar interface of membranes. (22, 23)

Biophysical characterization of C8 in dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine liposomes (DMPC) bilayers, by means of ESR, fluorescence and CD spectroscopies showed that C8 adsorbs strongly on the bilayer surface. This data, although derived from a large volume of experimental work, depict only a preliminary view of C8 interaction with membrane cell. A clear conceptual framework for the mechanism of action of C8 on the bilayer microstructure is lacking and the exact nature and the extent of Trp-lipid interactions remains unresolved.

In this context the aim of the present experimental work is the characterization of the conformational propensities of C8 in membrane mimetic system using high resolution NMR data. Structural investigation of C8-POPC interactions on the lipid bilayer microstructure to present a clear description at a molecular level of the early events of the fusion process.
Chapter 2

Experimental section

2.1 Peptide synthesis

The aminoacid sequence of C8 peptide is Ac-Trp-Glu-Asp-Trp-Val-Gly-Trp-Ile-CO-NH₂ and the deuterated C8-$d_{5all}$ was synthesized including Trp-$d_5$ in all the Trp positions. Trp-$d_5$ including deuterons atoms on indole ring and NH-Fmoc protected group (L-Tryptophan-N-Fmoc(Indole-D5), 98%) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Incs. (Andover, MA, USA). C8 and C8-$d_{5all}$ were synthesized on a manual batch synthesizer (PLS 4°-4, Advanced ChemTech, Louisville, KY, USA) using a Teflon reactor (10 mL), applying the Fmoc/tBu solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) procedure. The Rink-resin was swelled with DMF (1 mL/100 mg of resin) for 3 h before use. Stepwise peptide assembly was performed by repeating for each added amino acid the following deprotection–coupling cycle: 1) Swelling: DMF (1 mL/100 mg of resin) for 5 min; 2) Fmoc-deprotection: resin is washed twice with 20% piperidine in DMF (1 mL/100 mg of resin, one wash for 5 min followed by another wash for 20 min); 3) Resin-washings: DMF (5 min); 4) Coupling: scale employing HBTU/HOBt/DIPEA (2.5:2.5:3.5 eq.) as the coupling system and 2.5 eq. of the Fmoc protected amino acids. Each coupling was monitored by Kaiser test,(24) whose negative response indicated that recouplings were not needed; 5) Resin-washings: DMF (3°-5 min) and DCM (1°-5 min). After deprotection of the last NH-Fmoc group, peptides were acetylated with a solution of acetic anhydride (12.5%) and DIPEA (2.5%) in DMF for 2 h. The protected hydrogenated peptide C8 was cleaved from the resin by
treatment with TFA/H₂O/thioanisole (reagent K) (95:2.5:2.5 v/v). In contrast, to avoid D₂O/H₂O exchange, the protected peptide C8-d5all was cleaved from resin by treatment with TFA/d/D₂O/TIS at a ratio of 10 mL to 0.5 g of resin at room temperature for 3 h.²⁹,³⁰ Trifluoroacetic acid-D (D, 99.5%) (TFA/d) and deuterium oxide (D, 99.9%) (D₂O) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Incs. All the other reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and were used as received. After filtration of the exhausted resin, the solvent was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was triturated with ether. The crude peptide was purified by preparative reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Jupiter [Phenomenex, Anzola Emeilia (BO), Italy] C18 column (25°-4.6 cm, 5 µ, 300 Å pore size). The column was perfused at a flow rate of 3 mL/min with a mobile phase containing solvent A (0.1% TFA in water). A linear gradient from 50% to 90% of solvent B (acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA) for 40 min was adopted for peptide elution. The pure fraction was collected to yield a white powder after lyophilization. The molecular weight of the compound was determined by mass spectral analysis, using a Finnigan LCQ-Deca ion trap instrument equipped with an electrospray source (LCQ Deca Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA). The samples were directly infused into the ESI source using a syringe pump set at a flow rate of 5 µl/min. Data were analyzed with Xcalibur software.

2.2 Sample preparation
The phospholipid palmitoyl oleoyl phosphatidylcholine (POPC) was obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Birmingham, AL, USA).
POPC liposomes, to be used for ESR, CD and spectrofluorimetry experiments, were prepared by pouring appropriate amounts of phospholipid in dichloromethane/methanol (2/1 v/v) in small test tubes. For ESR experiments 1% wt/wt of spin-labeled phosphatidylcholines in ethanol was added. Dichloromethane, methanol and ethanol, HPLC-grade solvents, were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). A thin film of the lipid was produced by evaporating the solvent with dry nitrogen gas. Final traces of solvent were removed by subjecting the sample to vacuum desiccation for at least 3 h. The samples were then hydrated with 20-50 µL of 10 mM phosphate buffer, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4 (PBS) and vortexed, obtaining a suspension of MultiLamellar Vesicles (MLVs). For ESR experiments this suspension was transferred to a 25-µL glass capillary and flame sealed. For CD and spectrofluorimetry experiments, Large Unilamellar Vesicles (LUVs), obtained from MLVs by nine-fold extrusion through a polycarbonate membrane of 100-nm pore size, were preferred for their lower radiation scattering. Liposomal samples (both MLVs and LUVs) containing the peptide were prepared in a similar manner, except that the lipid film was hydrated directly with a peptide solution in PBS.

For CD experiments sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and dodecyl phosphorcoline (DPC) were used.

For NMR experiments deuterated sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS-\textit{d}25) and deuterated dodecyl phosphorcoline (DPC-\textit{d}38) were used.

To conduct CD and NMR experiments in a water solution, dry C8 was dissolved in phosphate buffer (25mM pH 6.8). This yielded final concentrations of 0.15 mM (CD experiments) and 1.5 mM (NMR experiments). For NMR samples, a H2O/D2O mixture (90:10, v/v) was used.
The obtained solutions were titrated with increasing amount of surfactant (SDS, DPC) moving from submicelle to micelle concentration. The samples for CD and NMR experiments in mixed micelles of DPC and SDS (90/10, M/M) were prepared by dissolving the peptide (0.15 mM for CD experiments and 1.5 mM for NMR experiments) in a DPC/SDS (90/10, M/M) water mixture.

2.3 ESR spectroscopy
Spin-labeled phosphatidylcholines (n-PCSL) with the nitroxide group at different positions, \( n \), in the \( sn \)-2 acyl chain, to be used for ESR experiments, were synthesized as described by Marsh and Watts.(25, 26) The spin-labels were stored at -20°C in ethanol solutions at a concentration of 1 mg/mL.

ESR spectra of lipid and lipid/peptide samples a peptide solution in PBS were recorded on a 9 GHz Bruker Elexys E-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany). Samples prepared for ESR measurements contained POPC (10 mg \( \text{mL}^{-1} \) corresponding to \( 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M} \) and C8 at 0.5:1 weight ratio (corresponding to 0.33 molar ratio). Such a high ratio was chosen to be sure of the lipid bilayer saturation condition.(27) Capillaries containing the samples were placed in a standard 4 mm quartz sample tube containing light silicone oil for thermal stability. The temperature of the sample was regulated at 37 °C and maintained constant during the measurement by blowing thermostated nitrogen gas through a quartz Dewar. The instrumental settings were as follows: sweep width, 120 G; resolution, 1024 points; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation amplitude, 1.0 G; time constant, 20.5 ms, incident power, 5.0 mW. Several scans, typically 16, were accumulated to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Values of the outer hyperfine splitting, \( 2A_{\text{max}} \), were
determined by measuring, through a home-made MATLAB-based routine, the
difference between the low-field maximum and the high-field minimum.\(^{(28)}\)
The main source of error on the \(2A_{\text{max}}\) value is the uncertainty in composition
of samples prepared by mixing few microliters of mother solutions. For this
reason, reproducibility of \(2A_{\text{max}}\) determination was estimated by evaluating its
value for selected independently prepared samples with the same nominal
composition. It was found to be \(\pm0.4\) G.

2.4 Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy
Circular Dichroism (CD) experiments were performed at 37 °C on a 810-Jasco
spectropolarimeter, using a quartz cuvette with a path length of 1 mm. CD
spectra of C8 were measured in PBS and in the presence of POPC unilamellar
vesicles. The spectra are an average of three consecutive scans from 250 to
200 nm, recorded with a bandwidth of 2.0 nm, a time constant of 16s, and a
scan rate of 5 nm/min. Mean residues ellipticities, \(\theta\), were calculated as
\((\text{MRW} \times \theta)/(10 \times d \times c)\). \(\text{MRW}=M/(n-1)\), where \(M\) is the molecular mass of the
peptide and \(n\) is the number of amino acids \((n-1\) is the number peptide bonds). \(\theta\)
is the observed ellipticity in degrees, \(d\) is the pathlength in cm and \(c\) is the
concentration in g mL\(^{-1}\). Samples prepared for CD measurements contained
the peptide \((1 \times 10^{-4}\) M) and the phospholipid at a 10-fold higher concentration
\((1 \times 10^{-3}\) M). During all the measurements, the trace of the high tension voltage
was checked to be less than 700 V, which should ensure reliability of the data
obtained.\(^{(29)}\) Base lines of either solvent or vesicular suspensions without
peptide were subtracted from each respective sample to yield the peptide
contribution.
The propensity of the peptide to assume a helical conformation was estimated from measurements of helical mean residue ellipticity at 222 nm.\(^{(30)}\) We used \(\theta_{222}\) values of 0 and -40,000 \((1-2.5/n)\) degrees \(\text{cm}^2 \text{dmol}^{-1}\) per amino acid residue for 0% and 100% helicity. The correction for aromatic CD contributions to \(\theta_{222}\) due to two internal Trp residues has been applied (\(\theta_{222}^{\text{aromatic}} = -2.300\) per residue). Finally, the fraction helix was calculated by:

\[
\frac{\theta_{222}}{\theta_{222} + \theta_{222}^{\text{aromatic}}}.
\]

For titration experiments with surfactant molecules, CD experiments were carried out on a JASCO J810 spectropolarimeter at room temperature, using a quartz cuvette with a path length of 1 mm. CD spectra were the average of four accumulations from 190 to 260 nm, recorded with a band width of 1-nm, at a scanning speed of 10-nm/min. Base lines of either solvent or micellar solutions without peptide were subtracted from each respective sample to yield the contribution. Estimation of secondary structure content was carried out using the algorithms from the DICHROWEB website.\(^{(31)}\)

In CD experiments C8 was recorded in water and titrated with surfactant molecules. The CD spectra of C8 were recorded in water and in presence of 0.6 mM, 1.2 mM, 5 mM and 10 mM of DPC and in presence of 2 mM 4 mM, 8 mM of SDS. Then the C8 conformational behaviour was investigated in mixed micelles of DPC and SDS (90:10; M/M) by dissolving the peptide in a DPC/SDS water mixture.

### 2.5 Fluorescence Titration Measurements

C8-phospholipid interactions were also studied by monitoring the changes in the Trp fluorescence emission spectra of the peptide upon addition of
increasing amounts of POPC unilamellar vesicles. Fluorescence measurements were performed at 37 °C using a Jasco FP750 spectrofluorimeter, equipped with a thermostatically controlled cuvette holder. The excitation wavelength was 280 nm and emission spectra were recorded between 310 and 450 nm, with slit widths of 2 nm. The titration was performed by adding measured amounts of a solution containing the peptide (1×10^{-4} M) and suspended lipid vesicles to a weighed amount of a solution of the peptide at the same concentration, initially put into the spectrofluorimetric cuvette. In this way, the lipid concentration was progressively increased (from 0 to ~1×10^{-3} M), while the peptide concentration remained constant during the whole titration. After each addition there was a 20-min wait to ensure equilibrium had been reached.

2.6 Molecular dynamics

All the computer simulations reported in this study were performed using GROMACS 3.2 package(32) and the GROMOS96 force field. The starting model of C8 obtained by NMR measurements(18) was placed in a box containing a mixture of POPC lipids and water molecules. After the peptide insertion in the box, all water molecules with oxygen atoms closer to 0.40 nm from a non-hydrogen atom of the peptide were removed. Initially, the peptide is solvated in the water phase at a distance of 7 Å from the lipid bilayer. Five-eight simulations of 10 ps were performed, with the atomic coordinates of the peptide restrained to the initial positions, to equilibrate the system. This resulted in final systems containing 128 lipids, the C8 molecule and about 10950 water molecules. Molecular dynamics simulations have been performed using the procedure described elsewhere.(33-35) Briefly, the temperature was
set to 310 K by coupling the system to an external bath. Bond lengths were constrained by the Linear Constraint Solver (LINCS) algorithm.(36) Part Mesh Ewald method (PME) was used for the treatment of electrostatic interactions for atoms at a distance greater than 9 Å. The force field and the coordinates for POPC were downloaded from the Tieleman group web-site (http://mouse.bio.ucalgary.ca) and are the same used for other works performed by us (33, 37) and other authors(38, 39)

Three simulations have been performed with different initial C8 positions. The simulation time of the three trajectories is 70 ns. Since the analysis of the three trajectories showed similar results, only the features of one of the three trajectories are described. The lipid bilayer has been characterized by the analysis of membrane thickness, defined as the average phosphate-phosphate distance and of the density profile. Lipid tail parameters have been calculated with respect to the membrane normal axis. The helicity of the peptide has been determined by DSSP. Images have been created with Pymol (www.pymol.org).

2.7 NMR analysis: C8 titration
NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-600 spectrometer. Dry peptide was dissolved in phosphate buffer (25mM pH 6.8). The obtained solution was titrated with increasing amount of surfactant (SDS, DPC) moving from submicelle to micelle concentration. Each step of the titration was monitored by one dimensional (1D) \(^1\)H homonuclear spectra. 1D \(^1\)H homonuclear spectra were recorded in the Fourier mode, with quadrature detection. Two-dimensional (2D) spectra of the final micelle solutions were recorded. 2D \(^1\)H homonuclear TOCSY and NOESY were run in the phase –sensitive mode.
using quadrature detection in $\omega_1$ by time–proportional phase incrementation of the initial pulse. (40-42). The water signal was suppressed using WATERGATE pulse sequence experiments. (43). Data block sizes were 2048 addresses in $t_2$ and 512 equidistant $t_1$ values. Before Fourier transformation, the time domain data matrices were multiplied by shifted $\sin^2$ functions in both dimensions. A mixing time of 70 ms was used for the TOCSY experiments. NOESY experiments were run at 300 K with mixing times in the range of 100-300 ms. Qualitative and quantitative analyses of DQF-COSY, TOCSY, and NOESY spectra were achieved using SPARKY software. (44)

### 2.7.1 NMR structure calculations.

Peak volumes were translated into upper distance bounds with the CALIBA routine from the CYANA software package. (45) The requisite pseudoatom corrections were applied for non-stereospecifically assigned protons at prochiral centers and for the methyl group. After discarding redundant and duplicated constraints, the final list of experimental constraints was used to generate an ensemble of 100 structures by the standard CYANA protocol of simulated annealing in torsion angle space implemented (using 10000 steps). No dihedral angle or hydrogen bond restraints were applied. The best 50 structures that had low target function values and small residual violations were refined by in vacuo minimization in the AMBER 1991 force field using the SANDER program of the AMBER 5.0 suite. (46, 47) To mimic the effect of solvent screening, all net charges were reduced to 20% of their real values. Moreover, a distance-dependent dielectric constant ($\varepsilon = r$) was used. The cut-off for non-bonded interactions was 12 Å. NMR-derived upper bounds were imposed as semi-parabolic penalty functions, with force constants of 16
Kcal/mol Å². The function was shifted to be linear when the violation exceeded 0.5 Å. The best 10 structures after minimization had AMBER energies ranging from -441.4 to -391.1 Kcal/mol. Final structures were analyzed using the Insight 98.0 program (Molecular Simulations, San Diego, CA, USA).
CHAPTER 3
RESULTS

3.1 PEPTIDE-MEMBRANE INTERACTION

3.1.1 Choice of solvent
Micelle solution are made of surfactants, such as the zwitterionic dodecyl phosphocoline (DPC) or the negatively charged sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), at a concentration much higher than their c.m.c. These surfactants forms spherical aggregates where the polar head groups are located on the surface and the hydrophobic tail in the core. From a technical viewpoint the micelles are appropriate for the high-resolution liquid-state NMR studies because they have short rotational correlation time due to their small size.(48, 49).

3.1.2 EPS spectroscopy
The Electron Spin Resonance spectroscopy (ESR), by using spin-labeled substances (peptides and/or lipids) has been proved to give substantial information on the interaction between viral fusion peptides and lipid membranes.(20, 27, 50-53) Association of these peptides with lipid membranes can be detected from the perturbation of the chain mobility of spin-labeled lipids, as reported in the literature for membrane proteins.(54) ESR spectra of C8 were recorded in the presence of POPC bilayers, a membrane mimetic system including both a saturated (C16) and an unsaturated (C18) fatty acid, like most of phospholipids present in mammalian cell membranes.
Here the perturbation caused by C8 binding to POPC bilayers is investigated by analysing changes in the ESR spectra of spin-labeled lipids included in the membrane. Figure 17 shows the ESR spectra of phosphatidylcholine spin-labeled at different positions, \( n \), in the \( sn \)-2 chain, \( n \)-PCSL, in the presence and absence of C8 at a peptide to lipid ratio of 0.5:1 wt/wt. In the presence of C8, slight but significant perturbations in the spectra of all spin-labeled lipids are found.

\[
\text{Figure 17} \quad \text{ESR spectra of } n \text{-PCSL positional isomers of spin-labeled phosphatidylcholine in fluid-phase palmitoyl oleoyl phosphatidylcholine bilayer membranes, in the presence (solid line) and in the absence (dashed line) of 0.5:1 wt/wt peptide 1 (C8) at 37 °C.}
\]

In an attempt to quantitatively describe this behaviour, the outer hyperfine splitting has been evaluated. \( 2A_{\text{max}} \), is a reliable and easy-to-perform estimate of the segmental chain mobility. \( 2A_{\text{max}} \) is defined as separation, expressed in Gauss, between the low-field maximum and the high-field-minimum, and tends to increase with increasing the restriction in local chain mobility. Figure
18 shows the dependence of the outer hyperfine splitting, $2A_{\text{max}}$, on chain position, $n$, for the $n$-PCSL spin-labels in fluid POPC membranes, with and without a saturating amount of peptide. In both cases, $2A_{\text{max}}$ decreases with increasing $n$. In the presence of C8 the characteristic flexibility gradient with chain position of the fluid lipid bilayer membranes is preserved, but $2A_{\text{max}}$ is increased at all spin-label chain positions by roughly the same extent. Particularly, for what concerns the spin-label presenting the nitroxide in a deeper position, 14-PCSL, there is no appearance of a second component in the spectra, corresponding to spin-labeled lipid chains whose motion is restricted. This is evidence that the peptide binds solely at the membrane surface and does not penetrate appreciably into the membrane interior, as does, for instance, the HIV fusion peptide gp41-FP.\(^{(55)}\) Thus, in the case of C8, ESR results indicate that the decrease in lipids mobility, which is induced by surface association of the peptide, propagates throughout the chain region.

**Figure 18** Dependence on spin-label position, $n$, of the outer hyperfine splitting, $2A_{\text{max}}$, of $n$-PCSL in membranes of POPC, in the absence (dashed line, solid diamonds) and in the presence of 0.5:1 wt/wt C8 (continuous line, solid squares). T=37 °C.
3.1.3 Fluorescence spectroscopy

Peptide positioning relative to POPC bilayer was further investigated by intrinsic Trp fluorescence emission measurements. The Trp emission spectra of C8 peptide, measured either in buffer or in the presence of increasing amounts of lipid vesicles are shown in Figure 19. C8 in water gives a Trp emission spectrum typical of an aqueous environment ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 354$ nm), indicating that the Trp side chains are exposed to the aqueous medium. The presence of POPC liposomes causes a slight blue shift of the emission maximum to shorter wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 347$ nm) and a reduction of the fluorescence quantum yield. The limited extent of the shift indicates that the Trps are greatly exposed to the solvent. These results indicate that, despite the interaction between C8 and POPC liposomes, the Trp residues of this peptide are not significantly inserted in the apolar inner core of the bilayer.

![Fluorescence emission spectra of C8 peptide in aqueous phosphate buffer (A, dashed line), and in POPC unilamellar liposomes (solid line) at different lipid concentration: B 0.16 mM, C 0.39 mM, D 0.6 mM and E 0.92mM. T=37 °C.](image)

Figure 19  Fluorescence emission spectra of C8 peptide in aqueous phosphate buffer (A, dashed line), and in POPC unilamellar liposomes (solid line) at different lipid concentration: B 0.16 mM, C 0.39 mM, D 0.6 mM and E 0.92mM. T=37 °C.
The C8 fluorescence intensities at 354 nm are plotted as a function of the lipid concentration in Figure 20. Fitting these data to the equation reported in the methods section, allows to evaluate the apparent peptide-lipid association constant, $K_a$, and the number of phospholipid molecules, $n$, required to bound a peptide. It was obtained $K_a = (8.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$ and $n = 6 \pm 2$, respectively.

**Figure 20** Fluorescence titration curve of C8 peptide with phospholipid liposomes at T=37 °C.
3.2 Conformational analysis

3.2.1 Circular Dichroism

To explore the conformational modifications experimented by C8 in response to the addition of surfactants in water solution, CD spectra of C8 were recorded in water solution (pH 6.8) and in water containing increasing amounts of DPC (0.6mM, 1.2mM, 5mM and 10mM) and SDS (2mM, 4mM, 8mM) surfactants to reach ten fold critical micellar concentration for each surfactant.

Quantitative evaluation of CD curves using the algorithms from the DICHROWEB website\(^31\) (CONTIN algorithm)\(^57\) evidenced that C8 in water, and in the presence of 0.6mM and 1.2 mM DPC, assumes 50% of random coil conformation and 50% of turn structure; addition of DPC to reach 5mM and 10mM concentration induces modification of CD curves consistent with the prevalence of C8 in \(\alpha\)-helical structure(Figure 21).

Analysis of CD spectra of C8 according to the algorithms from the DICHROWEB website\(^31\) (CONTIN algorithm)\(^57\) shows that C8 moving from submicellar (2mM and 4mM) to micellar concentration of SDS chances its conformation from random coil to \(\beta\)- turn (Figure22).

The conformational behaviour of C8 was also investigated in mixed DPC/SDS (90/10 M/M) micelles. This medium was chosen as mimetic of surface charge found in mammalian cell membranes. The CD spectra of C8 in DPC/SDS micelle solution, characterized by negative band at 218 nm and positive band at 195nm (Figure 23), according to DICHROWEB quantitative analysis, point to the presence of C8 in 85% turn conformation.
Figure 21 Circular dichroism spectra of C8 in water recorded during the titration with DPC.

Figure 22 Circular dichroism spectra of C8 in water recorded during the titration with SDS.
Figure 23 Circular dichroism spectra of C8 in DPC/SDS micelle solution.

CD spectra of C8 were recorded in the presence of POPC liposomes. In these conditions the spectrum assumes the double-well shape typical of a turn-helical structure, including negative bands at 205 and 216 nm (Figure 24).
Figure 24  C8 CD spectra in aqueous phosphate buffer (dashed line), and in POPC unilamellar liposomes (solid line). T=37 °C.

3.2.2 NMR analysis

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-600 spectrometer. Dry peptide was dissolved in phosphate buffer (25mM pH 6.8). The obtained solution was titrated with increasing amount of surfactant (SDS, DPC) moving from submicellar to ten fold micellar concentration. Each step of the titration was monitored by 1D $^1$H homonuclear spectra.
A water solution of C8 containing 1.5mM in 500 µl water at pH 6.8 was titrated with anionic (SDS) and neutral (DPC) detergent. 1D \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra were acquired at each SDS and DPC addition.

2D COSY, TOCSY and NOESY experiments of C8 were acquired in SDS, DPC and DPC/SDS micelle solutions (Figure 27). These spectra evidence clear TOCSY and NOESY correlations to assign all proton resonances and to calculate reliable C8 3D models. The chemical shift assignment and the collection of NOE data was performed analyzing 1D and 2D TOCSY and NOESY spectra according to the conventional procedure of Wuthrich(58)

Proton chemical shift of C8 in SDS, DPC and DPC/SDS micelle solution are reported in Tables13-15.

The comparison of NH chemical shifts observed at each step of surfactant addiction shows that they are significantly perturbed by the presence of lipids. Figure 25 and 26 A show the chemical shift perturbation of backbone NH and Trp indole NH for each residue, in different micelle solutions (DPC, DPC/SDS, SDS).

Moreover the proton chemical shift variations observed during the SDS, DPC and DPC/SDS titrations are shown.

When C8 is titrated with DPC NH chemical shifts are significantly modified in at 5mM and 10mM DPC concentration. The highest variations of chemical shift involve the HN of Trp1, Glu2, Val5 and Gly6.

When C8 is titrated with SDS the chemical shifts of HN show significant changes at 36mM and 71mM SDS concentration. The highest variations of chemical shift involve the HN of Trp1, Glu2, Asp3, Gly6, Trp7 and Ile8.
Figure 25 HN chemical shift variation of C8 in different micelle solution (A) and during the titration with DPC (B), SDS(C), DPC/SDS(D).
Figure 26 HN-indole chemical shift variation of C8 in different micelle solution (A) and during the titration with DPC (B), SDS(C), DPC/SDS(D).
It is worth noting that the chemical shifts of Trp4 is almost constant suggesting a preservation of this residue from the interaction with solvent.

Table 13 $^1$H chemical shift of C8 in SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN</th>
<th>C$^\alpha$H</th>
<th>C$^\beta$H</th>
<th>C$^\gamma$H</th>
<th>C$^\delta$H</th>
<th>C$^\epsilon$H</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trp1</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>4.252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$2 3.303</td>
<td>H$\beta$3 3.142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\delta$1 7.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>He1 9.917</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>He3 7.451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HH2 7.124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\zeta$2 7.407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\zeta$3 6.945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu2</td>
<td>8.379</td>
<td>3.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\delta$1 7.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$2 1.616</td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\gamma$2 1.873</td>
<td></td>
<td>He1 9.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$3 1.549</td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\gamma$3 1.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp3</td>
<td>7.770</td>
<td>4.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\delta$1 7.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\beta$ 2.520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp4</td>
<td>7.884</td>
<td>4.581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HH2 7.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$2 3.424</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$3 3.367</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val5</td>
<td>7.752</td>
<td>3.992</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\gamma$1 1.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\gamma$2 0.995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly6</td>
<td>7.966</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\alpha$ 3.900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp7</td>
<td>7.731</td>
<td>4.483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\delta$1 7.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$2 3.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>He1 9.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H$\beta$3 3.399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile8</td>
<td>7.454</td>
<td>3.826</td>
<td>1.813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\gamma$1 1.343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\gamma$2 0.995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q$\delta$1 0.895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>$C^\alpha$</td>
<td>$C^\beta$</td>
<td>$C^\gamma$</td>
<td>$C^\delta$</td>
<td>$C^\varepsilon$</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp1</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>4.435</td>
<td>Qβ 3.308</td>
<td>Hδ1 7.416</td>
<td>Hε1 10.667</td>
<td>Hε3 7.637</td>
<td>Hη2 7.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hζ2 7.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hζ3 6.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu2</td>
<td>9.176</td>
<td>3.886</td>
<td>Hβ2 1.999</td>
<td>Hβ3 1.941</td>
<td>Qγ 2.175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp3</td>
<td>8.046</td>
<td>4.505</td>
<td>Hδ1 7.393</td>
<td>Hε1 10.641</td>
<td>Hε3 7.548</td>
<td>Hη2 7.027</td>
<td>Hζ2 7.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hζ3 6.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp4</td>
<td>8.040</td>
<td>4.373</td>
<td>Qβ 3.415</td>
<td>Hδ1 7.393</td>
<td>Hε1 10.641</td>
<td>Hε3 7.548</td>
<td>Hη2 7.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hζ2 7.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hζ3 6.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val5</td>
<td>8.093</td>
<td>3.914</td>
<td>2.155</td>
<td>QQγ 0.989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly6</td>
<td>8.176</td>
<td>Ha2 3.919</td>
<td>Ha3 3.955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp7</td>
<td>7.635</td>
<td>4.449</td>
<td>Hβ2 3.435</td>
<td>Hβ3 3.387</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile8</td>
<td>7.551</td>
<td>3.605</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>QQγ 1.104</td>
<td>QQγ 0.957</td>
<td>Qβ1 0.907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 15. $^1$H chemical shift of C8 in DPC/SDS micelle solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>HN (ppm)</th>
<th>CαH (ppm)</th>
<th>CβH (ppm)</th>
<th>CγH (ppm)</th>
<th>CδH (ppm)</th>
<th>Cy (ppm)</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Trp1    | 8.513    | 4.388     | 3.283     | 7.432     | 10.522    |         | Hδ1 7.432
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.522 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.094  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.549  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 6.918  |
| Glu2    | 8.708    | 3.874     | 1.911     | 1.833     | 2.673     | 2.555   | Hδ1 7.361 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.512 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.030  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.419  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 6.805  |
| Asp3    | 7.992    | 4.487     |           | 2.673     |           |         | Hδ1 7.340 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.386 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.569  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.117  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.493  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 7.026  |
| Val5    | 7.952    | 3.894     | 2.152     |           |           | 0.980   | Hδ1 7.340 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.386 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.569  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.117  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.493  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 7.026  |
| Gly6    | 8.100    | α 3.913   |           |           |           |         | Hδ1 7.340 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.386 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.569  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.117  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.493  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 7.026  |
| Trp4    | 7.970    | 4.374     |           | 3.407     |           |         | Hδ1 7.340 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hε1 10.386 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.569  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hη2 7.117  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ2 7.493  |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Hζ3 7.026  |
| Ile8    | 7.556    | 3.644     | 1.834     |           | 0.049     | 0.871   | Qδ1 0.871 |
|         |          |           |           |           |           |         | Qy2 0.907  |
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Figure 27 Fingerprint region and amide region of the NOESY spectra of C8 in SDS (A), DPC (B) and DPC/SDS (C) micelle solution.
Analysis of NOESY data led to the identification of all the short interprotonic distances. Figure 28 contains a summary of all sequential and medium range connectivities relative to the NOESY spectra of C8 respectively in SDS, DPC, DPC/SDS micelle solutions. The diagrams show regular NN(i,i+1) and αN(i,i+1) NOE connectivities. C8 spectra in DPC and DPC/SDS micelle solutions are rich in medium range NOE connectivities whereas these effects are missing in the NOESY of C8 in SDS micelle solution.

Figure 28 NOE connectivities of C8 in SDS (A), DPC (B), and DPC/SDS (C) micelle solution.

The structural calculations of C8 were carried out using CYANA software on the basis of NOE data. This data was transformed into interprotonic distances and imposed as restraints in the calculation.

Figure 29 show the calculated NMR structure bundles of C8 obtained in SDS, DPC, and DPC/SDS micelle solution respectively. Bundles of C8 in DPC and DPC/SDS micelle solution shows high structural agreement between the calculated conformers and a common, ordered orientation of side chains (RMSD C8 in DPC:0.00 and C8 in
DPC/SDS:0.00). On the contrary the structure bundle of C8 in SDS micelle solution is less ordered (RMSD<0.82).

Analysis of the backbone torsion angles according to Promotif algorithm identifies type I β-turn conformation in Val5- Ile8 segment of C8 in DPC micelles and type I β-turn structures in Trp1-Trp4, Glu2-Val5 and Val5- Ile8 segments of C8 in DPC/SDS micelles. C8 in SDS micelle solution is characterized by a minor presence of canonical secondary structures; (Figure 30).

Figure 29 NMR structure bundles of C8 in DPC (A), DPC/SDS (B) and SDS (C) micelle solution.
Figure 30 Ramachandran plot of C8 in SDS (A), DPC (B) and DPC/SDS (C) micelle solution

3.2.3 MD simulations

Three initial positions of the C8 structure(18) were chosen for the simulations to eliminate any bias due to the starting configuration. In all cases, the minimum distance between the peptide and the bilayer is 0.7 nm. C8 was attracted to the surface of the bilayer in all simulations, independent of the starting position. The peptide comes into close contact with the bilayer
headgroups within the first nanosecond and equilibrates at the surface for the remainder of the simulation (up to 70 ns) (Figure 31). The secondary structure of the peptide drastically changes throughout the simulations. The peptide loses most of its starting secondary structure to become a random coil within the first nanosecond and subsequently it only transiently folds as a $3_{10}$ helix with turn structures (Figure 32). At the bilayer-water interface, the structure was maintained throughout with 2-4 residues of the peptide being helical and turn structures randomly sampled. In the path taken for binding, C8 peptide approaches the bilayer surface without making significant contacts. Upon binding to membrane, strong interactions are observed between the peptide and the headgroups of the phospholipids.

Figure 31 The minimum distance between C8 and POPC during the simulation. The distance is calculated between the centre of mass of C8 and the closest atoms of POPC.
The molecular density profile and the distribution of selected atoms or chemical groups of the bilayer along the axis perpendicular to the membrane surface in presence and in the absence of the peptide is reported in Figure 33 and Figure 34. Results are plotted relative to the center of the bilayer along the bilayer normal (z-axis). In particular, in Figure 33A the densities of the peptide and of the whole simulated system are shown, whereas in Figure 33B the density of Trp residues is compared with that of phosphorous atoms. The data in Figure 33A and 33B clearly show that the C8 is bound to the bilayer.
reaching the headgroup region and that the Trp residues are arranged so that they are facing towards the interior of the bilayer. Trp776 (numbering scheme of gp36) appears to be located farthest inside the POPC bilayer, while the other two Trp are anchored between the phosphate head groups and bulk water, i.e. at the water-membrane interface.

**Figure 33** Density profile of (A) the whole simulated system (solid lines) and of the C8 peptide (dashed lines) and (B) phosphorus atoms (solid line) and Trp residues (dashed or grey line).

**Figure 34.** Density profile of the phosphorus atoms before (solid lines) and after peptide (dashed lines) the binding of the C8 peptide.
The minimum distance between the Trp side chains and the POPC molecules as a function of time (Figure 35) reveals that at least two of the three Trp (Trp770 and Trp776) are ever bound to the membrane during the simulation. A model displaying the location of C8 on the bilayer surface, together with representative interactions with lipid molecules is shown in Figure 36. The peptide orients such that the side chains of Trp770 and 776 form hydrogen bonds as well as hydrophobic interactions with the lipids, and the charged Glu and Asp residues (Glu771 and Asp772) remain hydrogen bonded with the oxygens of the lipid headgroups. It has been also followed the average orientation of the tryptophan side-chains during the simulation to obtain a quantitative measure of the tryptophan ring positioning relative to the membrane normal. Notably, it is not observed a preference for a specific indole orientation with respect to the phospholipid bilayer. Indeed, indoles present the plane either parallel to the lipid chains or at an oblique angle to the membrane normal (Figure 36 B-C). No conserved hydrogen bonds between the peptide backbone and the headgroups of the lipid bilayer are found.

In total, during the simulations, C8 is in contact with atoms belonging to 24-30 different POPC molecules, although, typically, the peptide binds 8-10 POPC molecules simultaneously. Peptide binding induces a decreasing of 0.5-1 nm$^2$ of solvent accessible surface for POPC oxygen and nitrogen atoms and an increase of 2-4 nm$^2$ of the solvent accessible surface for POPC carbon atoms. Thus, the lipid headgroup atoms involved in H-bonding with the peptide are less exposed to water molecules. At the same time, the other atoms of the lipids, and mainly those of their headgroups, becomes more accessible to the solvent. This could be due to a decrease of local ordering, which favours water penetration in the headgroups domain. POPC bilayer thickness, with and
without the presence of C8, is reported in Table 16. By comparison of these values, it can be concluded that the C8 binding provokes a thinning effect of 3-5 Å. The local reduction of the bilayer thickness induced by the peptide is confirmed by visual inspection of the trajectories and also suggested by Figure 36, where the formation of a hollow on the bilayer surface can be detected. Figure 34 indicates that the overall density distribution of the different membrane component is similar in presence and in absence of the peptide, although a slight increase of the lipid headgroup density is observed when the peptide is in contact with the membrane.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 35** The interactions between Trp residues and POPC molecules. Green lines represent the minimum distance between Trp776 and POPC, black lines the distance between Trp770 and POPC and red lines that between the Trp773 and POPC.
**Figure 36** Configuration of the system when C8 has moved to the surface of the bilayer (panel A). Snapshots selected from the trajectories (panel B-D). C8 is displayed in cyan, carbon atoms of POPC are coloured in green, oxygen atoms in red, nitrogen atoms in blue and phosphorous in orange, water molecules are omitted. In panel D, hydrogen bonds are indicated in red, hydrophobic interactions in black.

**Table 16** POPC bilayer properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPC molecules -starting conformation</th>
<th>POPC molecules in contact with C8 before the binding</th>
<th>POPC molecules in contact with C8 upon the binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-P thickness (nm)</td>
<td>4.12*</td>
<td>4.00-4.03 (±0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.60-3.71 (±0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The value of bilayer thickness in absence of the peptide, measured as the average distance between the phosphorous atoms of the upper and lower leaflets of POPC, is in agreement with previous computational(59) and experimental(60) studies.*
The local increase of the lipid packing density in the bilayer upon C8 binding reflects in the dynamics of the lipid molecules. C8 creates a local tension on the lipid, reducing the mobility of the lipid chains. The calculated order parameters of the lipids in direct contact with the peptide compared with those of the other lipids constituting the bilayer are reported in Figure 37A. For POPC molecules in contact with C8, calculated values are slightly higher in magnitude (indicating more order in the system), particularly for the last atoms of the chain. Similar results have been obtained comparing the root mean square deviations of POPC molecules in the presence and in the absence of the peptide. Interestingly, a slightly different effect of peptide binding on the two monolayers is also observed. In fact, inspection of Figure 37B shows that, in the peptide-bound leaflet, acyl chain mobility is slightly higher close to the interface and somewhat lower in more internal region.
Figure 37 Calculated order parameters for POPC palmitic chains in direct contact with C8 (circle) and for those not in contact with the peptide (triangle) (panel A). Calculated order parameters for POPC palmitic chains of the leaflet in direct contact with the peptide (circle) and for those of the opposite side of the bilayer (triangle) (panel B).
3.2.4 Discussion

C8 is an octapeptide deriving from MPER domain of FIV gp36. The protein domain corresponding to the C8 sequence has been hypothesized to exert a destabilizing effect on the target-cell membrane, favouring its fusion with the viral envelope. The presence of three Trp residues and their spatial arrangement has been found to be essential to promote the C8 interaction with lipid membranes.\(^{(27)}\) However, to date the experimental approaches used do not have the necessary resolution to determine the atomic details of the interaction between the peptide and the lipids, and consequently the mechanism through which the peptide originates lipid bilayer perturbation remains unknown. In this work, we investigate the C8-lipid interactions combining a variety of experimental techniques with MD simulations. In particular, in our computations both the peptide and the lipids are considered at the atomistic level, thus allowing to analyze not only changes in peptide conformation, but also perturbations in lipid packing due to peptide-bilayer interaction.

Concerning experimental data, we use: i. CD to explore the general C8 conformational preferences in response to different membrane mimicking systems; ii. NMR to study the conformational behaviour of C8 in membrane mimicking system made up of micelle solution of SDS, DPC and DPC/SDS; iii. spectrofluorimetry to highlight the polarity of the microenvironment experienced by the Trp residues and to estimate the stoichiometry of the peptide-lipid interaction, iv. ESR spectroscopy to detect perturbations in lipid structuring and dynamics.
The combined analysis of the results obtained by the different experimental and computational approaches techniques and MD data allows to obtain a complete description of the system structure and behaviour at molecular level. NMR and CD data show that C8 secondary structure changes moving from water to membrane mimicking environments represented by SDS, DPC and DPC/SDS micelle solution respectively. In particular this effect is significant in the presence of micellar concentrations of zwitterionic DPC surfactant where an evident modification of CD spectra is detectable, corresponding to the conformational transition of C8 from random coil to turn-helical structures. The conformational modification of C8 is also observable in the variations of NH chemical shift values measured during the titration with the different surfactants. Notably the titration with DPC induces selective modification of NH chemical shifts, as compared to a more general perturbation induced by SDS tensioactive. This observation indicates that in DPC micellar medium the conformational packing of C8 imposes selective expositions of NH protons to the solvent. The calculation of 3D models based on NMR data shows that in the three different micelle solutions C8 has a propensity to assume turn-helical conformations. Confirming CD data, this tendency is particular evident in DPC and in DPC/SDS mixed micelles, where the peptide is arranged in type I β-turn structures along all the sequence. NMR structures are characterized by ordered orientation of the side chains. In particular non-parallel orientation of Trp1 and Trp4 indolyl rings, form an “umbrella” surface to protect the backbone of Glu3 from the contact with the solvent. This exposition of the side chains, accounts for the constant values of NH chemical shifts observed for Glu3 residue during surfactant titration, moreover suggests that it may be the surface responsible for the absorption of
C8 on the external surface of bilayer. Fluorescence and MD results confirm these data, indicating that Trp residues remain exposed to the external aqueous medium. In particular MD data shows that Trp form hydrogen bonds with the phospholipid headgroup, targeting this residue to interfacial region between water and the hydrophobic core of the bilayer, thus hampering a deep insertion of C8 into the membrane. MD simulations also show that the three C8 Trp residues are important in directing and anchoring the peptide to the membrane interface. We do not find a preferential orientation of the indole plane with respect to the membrane bilayer. But according to NMR conformational analysis, upon membrane binding, C8 tends to assume a turn-helical conformation. Because of the short peptide sequence, the secondary structure dynamically changes with time, nevertheless a strict interplay between peptide conformation and its membrane-interacting ability is observable.

A C8 molecule interacts with an average of 6-10 lipids simultaneously. This is shown by both fluorescence and MD data. However simulations point out that a total of 24-30 different POPC molecules come in contact with the peptide. The peptide affects lipids order and dynamics. The peptide effect propagates along the lipid acyl chain till the deep interior of the bilayer. In particular, both the ESR and MD data clearly show that the presence of the peptide induces a reduction of the segmental acyl chain mobility for \( n \geq 5-8 \). As a result of the peptide adsorption, a local reduction of the bilayer thickness by 2-5 Å is detected. In more details, MD results specify that the peptide adsorption perturbs the lipid packing and mobility in the bilayer leaflet in direct contact with the peptide, the opposite one being less perturbed. This marked asymmetry of the bilayer originates a sort of invagination, as well detectable in the MD simulation shown in Fig. 36A. Thus our results definitely highlight
that changes in the POPC microstructuring due to the C8 interaction reflect on the lipid bilayer mesostructure.
The experimental strategy set up for this study, has proved to be extremely informative of the microstructure and dynamics of complex systems such as lipid membranes interacting with peptides/proteins. Particularly, MD simulations have been found to be a valuable tool to rationalize all the experimental results obtained by NMR, CD, ESR and fluorescence spectroscopy. The findings of the present work could help in shedding light on the mechanism through which viral glycoproteins help to overcome the energetic barrier inherent with the fusion between the target cell plasma membrane and the viral envelope, and particularly on the role played by the MPER protein domain in the process. Our data suggest that lipid bilayer destabilization could be a consequence of the asymmetric perturbation of the bilayer that starts with an increased hydration of lipid headgroups coupled to an increase of lipid ordering in the leaflet exposed to the approaching viral glycoprotein. In particular, since water organization at membrane interface has been proposed to control the fusion dynamics, the increment of bilayer hydration could be a fundamental part of role played by the MPER fusion protein domain during the process.
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