# Infraspinatus strength assessment and ultrasound evaluation of posterior capsulotenodesis after arthroscopic Hill-Sachs remplissage in traumatic anterior glenohumeral instability: a retrospective controlled study protocol Giovanni Merolla<sup>1,2</sup> and Giuseppe Porcellini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, D. Cervesi Hospital, Cattolica AUSL della Romagna Ambito Territoriale di Rimini <sup>2</sup>Biomechanics Laboratory "Marco Simoncelli" D. Cervesi Hospital, Cattolica

AUSL della Romagna Ambito Territoriale di Rimini

Corresponding author: Giovanni Merolla, MD Unit of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, "D. Cervesi" Hospital AUSL della Romagna Ambito Territoriale di Rimini L.V Beethowen 5, code:47841 Cattolica - Italy phone: +39 0541 966382 - fax: +39 0541 966312 e mail:giovannimerolla@hotmail.com; giovanni.merolla@auslrn.net

Principal investigator and promoter: Giovanni Merolla Co-investigator: Giuseppe Porcellini

Investigation performed at the Biomechanics Laboratory "Marco Simoncelli", D. Cervesi Hospital, Cattolica - Italy

Plan of clinical investigation (PCI): Version 1.0 of June 12, 2012

Approved by AV/IRST Ethical Committee (Comitato Etico Area Vasta Romagna) and authorized by AUSL RN ("Determina AUSL Rimini numero 326 del

29/03/2013: Remplissage U.O Chirurgia della Spalla – Valutazione clinica ed ultrasonografica dell'infraspinato dopo capsulo-tenodesi artroscopica (remplissage) nell'instabilità anteriore traumatica di spalla con lesione di Bankart e difetto omerale di Hill-Sachs")

## I. INTRODUCTION

Traumatic shoulder instability is a common disabiliting injury, expecially in young active subjects for the high risk of of recurrence after the first episode of dislocation that produces clinical, social and working disability. After a shoulder dislocation the humeral head impacts on the anterior edge of the glenoid, and induces a fracture of the postero-superior profile of the humeral head, known as Hill-Sachs lesions [1]. The Hill-Sachs fracture is found in 47% of cases after the first episode of dislocation and until to 90% in recurrent instability [1]. As the number of dislocations increase as the lesions become larger and deeper, with high risk of additional recurrence. Burkhart and De Beer [2] introduced the term "engaging Hill-Sachs lesion", to describe a bone defect enough large to determine the block of the humeral head on the anterior edge of the glenoid when the arm is abducted and externally rotated. This kind of large and deep defects have been associated with high postoperative risk of recurrence after arthroscopic Bankart repair. Later, Itoi et al [3] introduced the concept of "glenoid track" to emphasize that an Hill-Sachs lesion has a risk of engagement and dislocation if it extends medially over the medial margin of the glenoid track. The filling of the humeral head defect using the posterior capsule and the infraspinatus tendon was described as open surgical procedure by Connolly in 1972 [4] and subsequently in 2004 Wolfe and Pollack [5] described the arthroscopic technique of Hill-Sachs "remplissage" (from french "filling") in combination with anterior bankart repair.

## II. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Aim of the current research protocol was to quantify the infrapinatus strength in the operated patients compared with a comparative healthy group after arthroscopic remplissage and anterior Bankart repair at a mean follow-up of 45 months.

# III. PATIENTS AND METHODS

## Design

This is a retrospective single center controlled trial on a consecutive series of patients underwent arthroscopic anterior Bankart repair and posterior remplissage. Overall population will be enrolled at the Unit of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery of D. Cervesi Hospital in

Cattolica – Italy – to perform a clinical and ultrasound (US) examination.

## Study population

All the 40 subjects who performed arthroscopic remplissage between January 2007 and December 2010 will be contact to be enrolled.

Overall clinical data (demographics, preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative) and results of US assessment at last follow-up will be collected. A population of 30 healthy subjects randomly enrolled in our outpatients office during orthopedic examination for sports activity were used as control group.

#### Clinical outcomes measures and US evaluation

The patients enrolled in the study will be assessed with two clinical tests [6]:

Infraspinatus strength test (IST): the patient standing

with the arm adducted and the elbow flexed at  $90^{\circ}$ , applies the maximum external rotation force

Infraspinatus scapular retraction test (ISRT): the examiner is placed behind the patient, using one hand to retract manually the scapula while the other hand resist to the external rotation force applied by the patient

Infraspinatus strength in both tests will be recorded the Lafayette handheld dynamometer (Lafayette Instruments, Lafayette, Ind, USA).

Shoulder function will be assessed using the Constant-Murley score (CS) [7], the Rowe scale [8] and the Simple Shoulder Test (SST) [9]. The CS included a subjective questionnaire for pain, the ability to perform daily living activity (DLA), an objective evaluation of active range of motion (ROM) and strength. Pain will be scored on a 15 point scale (0 severe pain, 15 no pain), while DLA will be scored on a 20 points scale, with lower scores associated with greater impairment on DLA. ROM will be measured using a standard goniometer between the upper arm and the upper part of the thorax. The SST consists of 12 questions with dichotomous response options. For each question, the patient indicates whether he or she is able to do the activity or not. The scores are summarized into a total score, which ranges from 0 (worst) to 12 (best) for shoulder functioning.

Rowe scale is an objective scoring system where the points are divided among three major categories: stability (50 points), motion (20 points), and function (30 points). The total scores are interpreted as excellent (100-90), good (89-75), fair (74-51) and poor (50 or less). Since none of the patients enrolled performed a postoperative MRI evaluation of the operated shoulder after the clinical evaluation, an US (Esaote

MyLab<sup>™</sup>GOLD 70 XVision utrasound machine, 7.5-

18 MHz) of the operated shoulders will be performed to verify if the capsule and infraspinatus tendon are represented and healed in the humeral head where they were fixed during the surgical procedure.

Clinical scores collected at last follow-up will be compared with preoperative and the values of infraspinatus strength of the operated shoulder will be compared with controlateral shoulder and with the values of strength collected in the control group.

## Inclusion criteria

Age and gender: male and female  $\geq 18$  years Infomed consent of the patients to be enrolled in the study

Preoperative diagnosis and surgical procedure: patients with recurrent traumatic anterior shouder instability evaluated with MRI or/and TC of the affected shoulder. Surgical procedure of posterior Hill-Sachs remplissage and anterior Bankart repair with bioabsorbable anchors.

The location and the size of the Hill-Sachs deformity

will be assessed on axial images through the proximal humerus and graded as minimal, mild, moderate, or severe [10].

#### Exclusion criteria

Cognitive limitations that precluded a valid consent to be included in the study

Unwilling to be enrolled

# Lost to follow-up

# Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis will be performed by calculating mean, standard deviation and frequence table, apart for the operated and the control group. All the variables (ROM, clinical tests, and clinical scores) were compared with t test for paired data.

The difference of the infraspinatus strength in the operated limb, controlateral limb and in the control group will be compared. In the control group we will choose the dominant or non-dominant shoulder according to the dominance of the operated shoulder. The difference between preoperative and postoperative clinical scores and other variables examined in the study will be compared setting the significance at 5%.

#### Risks and adverse events

No risks are expected with the routinary diagnostic exams performed in the the two groups. Eventual adverse events occurred during the study will be properly recorded and reported.

#### REFERENCES

- Workman TL, Burkhard TK, Resnick D, Goff WB 2nd, Balsara ZN, Davis Dj, Lapoint JM. Hill-Sachs lesion: comparison of detection with MRIimaging, radiography, and arthroscopy. Radiology 1992; 185: 847-52.
- 2. Burkhart SS, De Beer JF. Traumatic glenohumeral bone defects and their relationship to failure of arthroscopic Bankart repairs. Arthroscopy 2000; 16: 677-94.
- Yamamoto N, Itoi E, Abe H, Minagawa H, Seki N, Shimada Y Okada K. Contact between the glenoid and the humeral head in abduction, external rotation, and horizontal extension: a new concept of glenoid track. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2007;16:649-656.
- Connolly J. Humeral head defects associated with shoulder dislocations. In: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Instructional course lectures. St. Louis: Mosby; 1972. p 42-54.
- 5. Wolf EM, Pollack ME. Hill-Sachs "remplissage": an arthroscopic solution for the engaging Hill-Sachs lesion. Arthroscopy. 2004; 20(Suppl 1):e14-e15.
- Merolla G, De Santis E, Sperling JW, Campi F, Paladini P, Porcellini P. Infraspinatus strength assessment before and after scapular muscles rehabilitation in professional volleyball players with scapular dyskinesis, J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2010; 19: 1256-64.

- Constant CR, Murley AH. A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1987; 214:160-64.
- 8. Rowe CR, Patel D, Southmayd WW: The Bankart procedure: a long-term end-result study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1978; 60:1-16.
- Lippitt S, Harryman D II, Matsen FA III. A practical tool for evaluating shoulder function: the Simple Shoulder Test. In: Matsen FA III, Fu F, Hawkins RJ, eds. The Shoulder: A Balance of Mobility and Stability. Rosemont, Ill: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 1993. p. 501-518.
- Griffith J, Antonio GE, Yung PSH, Wong EMC, Yu AB, Ahuja AT, Chan KM. Prevalence, pattern and spectrum of glenoid bone loss in anterior shoulder dislocation: CT analysis of 218 patients. Am J Roentgenol 2008; 190:1247-54.