VAPTANS AND HYPONATREMIA IN CRITICAL PATIENTS

David D'Auria, Geremia Zito Marinosci¹, Giuseppe De Benedictis, Ornella Piazza²

¹ Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Federico II University, Napoli, Italy ² Department of Medicine, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy

ABSTRACT: Hyponatremia is the most frequent fluid and electrolyte disorder in hospitalized patients (20%), particularly in ICU, associated with an increase in morbility and mortality. While hypovolaemic hyponatremia needs to be corrected with the replacement of the lost extracellular fluid by isotonic saline, euvolaemic (SIADH) and hypervolaemic hyponatremia (oedematous states like decompensated heart failure, liver cirrhosis, i.e.) are treated by restriction of fluid intake, loop diuretics and hypertonic saline. A novel approach consists in use of vaptans, non-peptide arginine vasopressin (AVP) receptor antagonists. Vaptans cause "aquaresis", which results in the correction of plasma osmolality and serum sodium levels, without activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system or changes in blood pressure and renal function. In this paper we critically reviewed the results of the available randomized controlled critical trials, discussing the effectiveness and safety of vaptans in treating hypervolaemic and euvolaemic hyponatremia in critical patients.

Keywords- AVP receptor antagonists, hyponatremia, vaptans, vasopressin.

I.INTRODUCTION

HYPONATREMIA: DEFINITION, SYMPTOMS AND CLASSIFICATIONS.

Hyponatremia, defined as a serum sodium level below 135 mEq/l, is the most frequent electrolyte disorder and occurs in 3-15 % of hospitalized patients, especially in the departments of Intensive Care, Cardiology, Pulmonology, Internal Medicine, Surgery (1, 2).

It is defined as acute or chronic if its duration is shorter or longer than 48 hours respectively (3). Its clinical manifestation depend on many factors (time of duration, velocity of sodium decrease, sodium levels, patients age, comorbidities) (3) and can give few and aspecific signs and symptoms (fatigue, anorexia, nausea, behavioral alterations) when sodium level is higher than 125 mEq/l or the hyponatremia is chronic or it may cause more serious derangements when sodium level is below 120 mEq/l or hyponatremia is acute (cerebral edema, encephalopathy, seizures, coma) (3, 4). Nevertheless, hyponatremia is a predictor of poor outcomes in patients with heart failure. Patients with heart failure and hyponatremia often have inappropriately elevated plasma vasopressin levels and significantly poorer long-term prognosis than those with heart failure and normal serum sodium levels. (5)

With regard to the osmolality, except for a few cases of hyperosmolality hyponatremia (due to hyperglycemia or mannitol or other osmotic agents that cause a shift of water from the intracellular to the extra-cellular compartment), it is more frequently hypoosmolar (i.e. renal failure, liver cirrhosis, cardiac failure, states of hypovolemia, SIADH).

The commonest classification of hyponatremia refers to volaemic state: a) "hypovolaemic hyponatremia" due to extracellular fluid loss (vomiting, diarrhea, excessive sweating, use of diuretics, salt wasting syndromes, adrenal insufficiency); b) "euvolaemic hyponatremia" observed in primary polydipsia, hypothyroidism and in SIADH, a disorder determined by an excessive hypothalamic or ectopic secretion of vasopressin, which can be caused by a large number of pathologies (pituitary adenoma, encephalitis, other CNS disorders; cancer such as lung cancer, pancreatic carcinoma, thymoma; pulmonary inflammatory states; drugs as DDAVP, oxytocin, carbamazepine, tryciclics, cyclophosphamide, tioridazine) ; c) "hypervolaemic hyponatremia", also called diluitional, observed in oedematous states that cause a fluid overload: acute or chronic congestive heart failure (CHF), liver cirrhosis, acute and chronic renal failure. (Table I). (6)

TABLE I.

CLASSIFICATION OF HYPONATREMIA ACCORDING TO VOLAEMIC STATE

Volemic state	Н	Hypovolemia			Euvolemia		
Related Diseases	ECF loss, diarrhea, diuretics.	Salt wasting syndromes.	Adrenal insufficiency.	Hypotiroidism, primary polydipsia.	Drug, nausea, hypocortisolemia.	SIADH	CHF, liver cirrhosis, nephrotic syndrome.
Edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Blood pressure	Low	low	low	normal/low	Normal/low	normal	low
Urine sodium excretion	Low	high	high	low	high	high (low in type C)	low
Plasma renin activity	High	high	high	low	low	low	high
Others typical alterations:	hypercloremic metabolic acidosis in diarrhea; hypokaliemic metabolic alkalosis in diuretics.	response to saline infusion	hormone dosing, hyperkalemia	hormone dosing	hormone dosing	low blood urea and uricemia	

HYPONATREMIA: CONVENTIONAL THERAPY

The conventional treatments of hyponatraemia differ according to the underlying disease.

Hypovolaemic hyponatremia simply requires the administration of lost fluids in the form of isotonic saline. As far as euvolaemic and hypervolaemic hyponatremia are concerned, the use of hypertonic saline solution is reserved for severe hyponatremia with neurological symptoms. The correction of the sodium intake should be slow and gradual and not exceed 8-12 mEq/l in the first 24 hours in case of acute hyponatremia, even slower in correcting chronic hyponatraemia (maximum 8 mEq/l in 24 h). Overly rapid correction, in fact, can cause serious and irreversible neurological disorders, such as the pontine myelinolysis due to brain glial damage (oligodendrocytes) (7, 8, 9, 10, 11). Osmotic demyelination syndrome results in confusion, behavioral changes, dysphagia, dysarthria, mutism, spastic

hemiplegia or quadriplegia, convulsions, coma, death (3). Other conventional treatments used in managing hyponatremia consist in water restriction and loop diuretics (oedematous states). Lithium and Demeclocycline, capable of correcting hyponatremia causing nephrogenic insipidus diabetes, are rarely used because of their side effects and only under certain conditions (SIADH). Mannitol and urea (12) can be used to induce osmotic diuresis with increased excretion of free water.

Most of these therapies are of moderate efficacy. In any case it is important to monitor blood volume and assess frequently sodium levels (i.e. more a day by ABG), as well as other electrolytes, urine output, renal and cardiovascular function when correcting the serum sodium. None of the conventional therapies directly addresses the effects of elevated vasopressin levels, which is the underlying cause of many cases of hyponatremia.

ARGININE VASOPRESSIN AND VAPTANS

Arginine Vasopressin or ADH (Antidiuretic hormone) is a cyclic peptide (9 amino-acids) with a disulfide bridge. It is synthesized in the hypothalamus (sopraoptic and paraventricular nuclei) and transported along the axons to the posterior lobe of hypophysis. It is released into the circulation in response to a number of stimuli (13). These stimuli can be osmotic (osmoreceptors situated in the anterior hypothalamus) or non-osmotic (low-pressure baroreceptors, present in large veins, right and left atrium, lungs; high-pressure receptors, present in the carotid sinuses and aortic arch). Other non-osmotic stimuli are hypovolemia, stress, hypoglycemia, nausea and vomiting, drugs. Circulating ADH is metabolized by vasopressinase (also called oxytocinase or placental leucine aminopeptidase), widely expressed on cellular membrane. Well known ADH receptors are: V1a, V1b (also known as V3), V2. They belong to G-proteincoupled receptor superfamily. V1a and V1b receptors activate the phospholipase C/protein kinase C pathway, while V2 receptor activates the cAMP/protein kinase A pathway.

V1a receptor (expressed on surface of vascular smooth muscle cells, cardiomyocytes, platelets, hepatocytes, brain and muscle uterine cells) regulates vasoconstriction, inotropic effect, platelets aggregation, glycogenolysis, anxiety and stress, myocyte hypertrophy (14). V1b receptor, found in anterior pituitary gland, increases release of ACTH (15). V2 receptor is expressed on endothelial cells, where it determines release of von Willebrand factor, and on the basolateral membrane of the principal cells of the renal collecting ducts, where determines a water reabsorbition through the synthesis and insertion in the luminal membrane of the water channel aquaporina-2 (AQP2) (16).

According to antidiuretic action of V2 receptor, since the 80's a large number of vasopressin antagonists has been investigated to treat hyponatremia. Because of limits linked to their peptide structure, the first peptide vasopressin antagonists were abandoned in favor of non-peptide vasopressin antagonists, also known as vaptans.

Non-peptidic vasopressin antagonists (i.e. vaptans) are: mozavaptan (OPC 31260) (17), lixivaptan (VPA 985) (18), conivaptan (YM 087) (19), satavaptan (SR 121463) (20), tolvaptan (OPC 41061) (21). (TABLE II). Until now two vaptans have been released for clinic use: conivaptan, as an intravenous preparation, and tolvaptan, as an oral tablet.

Conivaptan (Vaprisol®) was the first medication of this class to be approved by the FDA in 2005 for the treatment of hyponatremia in SIADH, hypothyroidism, pulmonary disorders and adrenal insufficiency in hospitalized patients (22) and two years later, in 2007, also for hypervolemic hyponatremia in hospitalized patients (23). The loading dose is 20 mg i.v. over 30 min and the maintenance dose is 20-40 mg i.v. for continuous infusion over 24h up to 96h. Differently from all the other vaptans, which are selective V2-receptor antagonists, conivaptan acts like a V1a-receptor and V2-receptor antagonist. This singularity could be investigated in a randomized trial to show if conivaptan is better than other vaptans in treating hyponatremic patients with CHF thanks to its cardiovascular action (V1a-receptor blockage causes reduction of vascular peripheral resistance and inhibits unfavorable myocardial remodeling). It is metabolized by CYP3A4. Common side effects are infusion site reactions, fever. hypokalemia, hypotension, gastrointestinal disturbances. Udelson reported that a single iv dose of conivaptan increased urine output and reduced PCWP (pulmonary capillary wedge pressure) in patients with advanced heart failure (NYHA class III or IV) (46).

Tolvaptan (Samsca®) was approved by FDA for treatment of euvolemic and hypervolemic hyponatremia in HF, cirrhosis and SIADH, and by EMEA for treatment of SIADH (24, 25) only. The initial dosage is 15 mg once daily, increasing to 30-60 mg/day depending on clinical response. Like conivaptan it is metabolized largely by CYP3A4, so there are some warnings about interactions. The main adverse reactions are weakness, dry mouth, thirst, constipation, hyperglycemia.

TABLE II.

VAPTANS

	Lixivaptan	Tolvaptan	Conivaptan	Satavaptan
V1a:V2 affinity	1:100	1:29	10:1	1:112
Route of administration	oral	oral	intravenous	oral
Dosage	twice a day	once a day	continuous infusion	once a day
Na ⁺ excretion/24 h	↔ (small dose) ↑ (bigger dose)	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
Aquaresis effect	+	+	+	+
Administration	SIADH, CHF, cirrhosis	SIADH, CHF, cirrhosis	SIADH, CHF, cirrhosis	SIADH

II. METHODOLOGY

SEARCH STRATEGY

We searched CENTRAL (The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) and PubMed databases from January 2000 to January 2012. We searched for "vasopressin receptor antagonists" AND "hyponatremia". In PubMed we applied filter for "Randomized Clinical Trial" and "Controlled Clinical Trial". No language restrictions were imposed. According to these criteria 17 trials are described in this review. In table III (Results) these studies, sorted in chronological order, are described and commented in a systematic manner, according to a scheme that shows references; the typology of study; the vaptan used, the population enrolled and size of the two groups (drug versus placebo); the pharmacological intervention and the primary and secondary outcomes (when provided); results; observations.

III. RESULTS

a) Reference	a) Vaptan	a) Interventation	Results	Observations
b) Typology of study	b) Population	b) Time		
	c) Number of patients	c) Main Outcome		

a) Gheorghiade M	a) Tolvaptan	a) fixed doses of 30, 45, 60	A decrease in	-A significant
(Circulation 2003). (26)		mg/die without fluidic	body weight and	number of
	b) Hyponatremia in	restriction and with stable	an increase in	patients had only
b) double-blind,	chronic HF.	furosemide doses.	urine output	mild CHF and
multicentric, RCCT.			doses-related	modest volume
	c) n=254 patients:	b) 25 days.	(p<0.001 for all	overload.
	101 / 1		treated vs	
	- n=191 tolvaptan	c) Primary efficacy	placebo).	-Necessity of further studies
	(n=64: 30 mg)	variable: change in body	Decrease in	with NYHA-
	n=64: 45 mg n=63: 60 mg).	weight at day 1 vs baseline.	edema and	
	II-05. 00 IIIg).	basenne.	normalization of	status stratification.
	- n=63 placebo.		s[Na ⁺] in the	stratification.
	- II-05 placebb.		tolvaptan group	-Focused only on
			but not in the	diuretic
			placebo group.	properties of
			process group.	tolvaptan witout
			Not significant	mentioning
			changes in HR,	neurohormonal
			AP, s[K ⁺], renal	effects.
			function.	
a) Gerbes AL	a) Lixivaptan.	a) Primary end-point:	Normalization in	-little study.
(Gastroenterology		$s[Na^+] \ge 136 \text{ mmol/l}.$	27% 100 mg/die	
2003). (27)	b) Hyponatremia in		group vs 50% in	-lixivaptan
	liver cirrhosis and	100mg/die per os vs	200 mg/die vs 0%	treatment was
b) double-blind,	ascites .	200mg/die per os vs	in placebo,	associated with a
multicentric, RCCT.	a) $n = 60$ mation to	placebo	respectively	slight decline (80) of the CEP
	c) n=60 patients:	with water restriction (max	p<0.05 and 0.001	(8%) of the GFR.
	- n=40 lixivaptan	11/die).	•	
	(n=22:100 mg)			
	n=18. 200mg).	b) 7 days.		
	6,			
	- n=20 placebo.	c) s[Na ⁺] normalization in		
		2 following measurements.		
a) Wong F (Hepatolgy	a) Lixivaptan.	a) fixed doses of 25mg/bid	Primary end-	-little study.
2003). (28)		vs 125 mg/bid vs 250	point: increase	
	b) Hyponatremia in	mg/bid per os vs placebo	dose-dependent	-with higher
b) double-blind,	liver cirrhosis, HF,	with	aquaresis	doses appeared
multicentric,RCCT.	SIADH.	water restriction (max 1.5	(p<0.05).	side effects that
	a) n=11 nationts.	l/die)		determined
	c) n=44 patients:	and diuretics.		suspension of treatment in 5
	- n=33 lixivaptan			patients.
	(n=12: 25 mg	b)7 days.		Puttento.
	n=11: 125 mg	- ,		
	n=10: 250 mg).	c) changes in s[Na ⁺], body		
		weight, AP, diuresis.		
	- n=11 placebo.			
a) Schrier RW (Study of	a) Tolvaptan.	a) increasing doses of	-Tolvaptan is	- The trial was
Ascending Levels of		tolvaptan 15, 30, 60	effective in	conducted
Tolvaptan in	b) Hyponatremia in	mg/die per os in 30 days.	normalize s[Na ⁺]	without fluidic
Hyponatremia (SALT	liver cirrhosis, HF,	b) 27 down (20 down f	vs placebo (134-	restriction.
I+II); N Engl J Med 2006). (29)	SIADH.	b) 37 days (30 days of terapy and 7 days	135 vs 130 mEq/l at the 4^{th} day and	-After
2000). (27)	c) n=448 patients:	observation after	at the 4 th day and 136 vs 131 mEq/l	discontinuation,
b) double-blind,		discontinuation).	at 30^{th} day).	hyponatremia
multicentric, RCCT.	- n=225 tolvaptan	aiscontinuation).	(p < 0.001 for all)	recurred.
		1	The second for all	

		c) -Mean outcome: daily	comparisons)	
	- n=223 placebo.	c) -Mean outcome: daily s[Na ⁺] -Secondary Outcome: changes in body weight, total changes in s[Na ⁺].	comparisons). -Dry mouth and thrist s(Na ⁺)≥146 mEq/l in the first day (1.6%).	-The correction of hyponatremia in patients assuming tolvaptan was associated with a significant improvement of the mental health status measured by the SF-12 Questionnaire. This was the first study showing the benefits of a long-term treatment of hyponatremia with an oral vaptan.
a) Gheorghiade M (Am J Cardiol 2006). (30) b) prospective, multicenter, randomized, active-controlled, open- label trial.	 a) Tolvaptan. b) Hyponatremia in liver cirrhosis, HF, SIADH. c) n=28 patients: n=17 tolvaptan. n=11 placebo + water restriction. 	 a) increasing doses of tolvaptan 15, 30, 45, 60 mg/die per os in 14 days. Follow up in out-patient clinic. b) 65 days. c) - Primary outcome: normalization of or a s[Na⁺] ≥10% increase from baseline. -Secondary outcome: changes in s[Na⁺], CH2O, plasma osmolarity and thrist. 	Tolvaptan appears to be more effective than fluid restriction at correcting hyponatremia in hospitalized subjects, without an increase in adverse events. (p<0.05).	-little study
a) Soupart A (Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006). (31) b) double-blind (1st phase) and open-label (2nd phase), multicentric, RCCT. (phase II).	 a) Satavaptan. b) Hyponatremia in SIADH. C) c.1) 1st phase. n=34 patients: - n=26 satavaptan (n=14: 25 mg n=12: 50 mg). - n=8 placebo. 	 a) 1st phase: fixed dose of satavaptan 25 or 50 mg/die per os . 2nd phase: increasing doses of 12.5, 25, 50 mg/die with fluidic restriction (< 1.5 l/die). b) 1st phase: 7-30 days 2nd phase: 12 months c) s[Na⁺] normalization in first 24h, body weight, AP. 	Significative increase in s(Na ⁺) in patients assuming satavaptan 25 mg (p<0.01) and 50 mg (p<0.001) vs placebo. During the long- term treatment, 15 of 18 enrolled patients achieved 6 mo and 10 achieved 12 mo of treatment.	-The first trial showing a long- term effectiveness. -However 10% of treated patients reported an overly rapid correction of s[Na ⁺] (> 12 mEq/l/day), no osmotic demyelisation syndrome was observed. -No drug-related

	c.2) 2 nd phase: n=22 patients.			serious adverse events were
				recorded during the long-term treatment and the s(Na ⁺) response was maintained with a good tolerance.
 a) Ghali J (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006). (32) b) double-blind, multicentric, RCCT. 	 a) Conivaptan b) Hyponatremia in liver cirrhosis, HF, SIADH. c) n=74 patients: n=51conivaptan (n=24: 40 mg n=27: 80 mg). n=23 placebo. 	 a) fixed doses of conivaptan 40 and 80 mg/die in two doses. Max water intake 2 l/die b) 5 days. c) change from baseline in s[Na⁺] area under the curve. 	conivaptan shows a dose dependent increasing s[Na ⁺] vs placebo (p<0.001). Headache, hypotension, nausea, constipation, and postural hypotension were the most common adverse events.	Thirst not included in side effects.
a) Konstam MA (The	a) Tolvaptan.	a) fixed doses tolvaptan 30	During a median	In this trial
Efficacy of Vasopressin Antagonism in Heart Failure Outcome Study With Tolvaptan (EVEREST), JAMA	b) Patients hospitalized for exacerbation of chronic HF with	mg/die or placebo in addition to standard therapy. b) at least 60 days	follow-up of 9.9 months, 537 patients (25.9%) in the tolvaptan group and 543	tolvaptan was initiated for acute treatment of patients hospitalized with
2007). (33)	signs of volume overload, ejection	c) Outcomes	(26.3%) in the placebo group	heart failure.
b) event-driven, double- blind, multicentric,	fraction ≤40%, NYHA class III or	c.1) Dual Primary outcomes:	died.	The study, with a large number of
RCCT.	IV simptoms.	-1) all-cause mortality (superiority and	The end points of cardiovascular	patients and a long-term follow-
	c) n=4133 patients:	noninferiority) -2) cardiovascular death	mortality, cardiovascular	up, shows that tolvaptan has no
	- n=2072 tolvaptan.	or hospitalization for heart failure (superiority only).	death or hospitalization, and worsening	effect on long- term mortality or heart failure-
	- n=2061 placebo.	c.2) Secondary outcomes:changes in dyspnea, body weight, and edema.	heart failure were not different.	related morbidity; but it also shows that tolvaptan is
		6 .,	Tolvaptan significantly	the first drug ever evaluated in
			improved secondary end	patients hospitalized for
			points of day 1 patient-assessed	worsening HF for which
			dyspnea, day 1 body weight, and	combination of short-term
			day 7 edema. In	symptomatic

			patients with hyponatremia, serum sodium levels significantly increased. Tolvaptan caused increased thirst and dry mouth, but frequencies of major adverse events were similar in the 2 groups.	benefits (↓ dyspnea, edema, body weight) and long-term safety has been established.
a) Zelster D (Am J Nephrol 2007). (34) c) double-blind, multicentric, RCCT.	 a) Conivaptan. b) Hyponatremia in liver cirrhosis, HF, SIADH. c) n=84 patients: - n=55 conivaptan (n=29: 40 mg n=26: 80 mg). - n=29 placebo. 	 a) 20 mg i.v. bolus followed by a continuos 96h i.v. infusion of 40 mg/die and 80 mg/die with fluidic restriction (max 2 l/die). b) 96 h c) Primary outcome: change in s[Na⁺], measured by the baseline- adjusted area under the s[Na⁺]-time curve. Secondary outcome: changes in s[Na⁺], osmolarity, ADH, vasoactives hormones. 	Both conivaptan doses increased area under the [Na+]-time curve during the 4-day treatment (p < 0.0001 vs. placebo). Conivaptan significantly improved all secondary efficacy measures (p < 0.001 vs. placebo, both doses).	-This trial shows the rapid effectiveness of the conivaptan in the short-term treatment of hyponatremia. -Thirst's increase is not considered.
a) Ginès P (HypoCAT; Hepatology 2008). (35) b) double-blind, multicentric, RCCT.	 a) Satavaptan. b) Hyponatremia in liver cirrhosis with ascites. c) n=110 patients: - n=82 satavaptan (n=28: 5 mg/die n=26: 12.5 mg/d n=28: 25 mg/di) - n=28 placebo 	 a) fixed doses of satavaptan 5, 12.5, 25 mg/die of with fluidic restriction (max 1.5 l/die). All patients received spironolactone at 100 mg/day. b) 14 days. c) Main Outcome: changes in body weight from baseline (day 1) to the end of treatment (day 14) and chenges in s[Na⁺] from baseline to day 5. 	Improved control of ascites, as indicated by a reduction in body weight ($p = 0.05$ for a dose-effect relationship overall) and improvements in serum sodium ($p < 0.01$ for all groups compared to placebo). Thirst significantly more common in patients treated with satavaptan compared to	-The trial shows that satavaptan improves the control of ascites in cirrhotic patients under diuretic treatment and serum sodium dose- dependently. -Short-term study.

			placebo.	
			The frequency of other adverse events was similar among groups.	
 a) Annane D (Am J Med Sci 2009). (36) b) double-blind, multicentric, RCCT. 	 a) Conivaptan b) Hyponatremia in liver cirrhosis, HF, SIADH. c) n=83 patients: n=53 conivaptan (n=27: 40 mg n=26: 80 mg). n=30 placebo. 	 a) fixed doses of oral conivaptan 40 and 80 mg/die with oral fluidic restriction (max 2l/die). b) 5 days. c) Main outcome: baseline- adjusted area under the s[Na⁺]-time curve. 	A normal s[Na ⁺] or an increase from baseline \geq 6 mEq/L was significantly higher among patients given conivaptan 40 and 80 mg/die (67% and 88%, respectively) than placebo (20%; P < 0.001).	- Short-term study. -Not evaluated the effects of other drugs allowed.
 a) Wong F (J Hepatol 2010). (37) b) double-blind, multicentric, RCCT. 	 a) Satavaptan b) Ascites recurrence after paracentesis in cirrhotic patients. c) n=151 patients: - n=115satavapta (n=39: 5 mg n=36: 12.5 mg n=40: 25 mg). - n=36 placebo 	 a) fixed doses of satavaptan 5, 12.5, 25 mg/die or placebo and spironolactone 100 mg/die. b) 12 weeks. c) frequency of paracentesis 	The frequency of paracentesis was decreased significantly (n all satavaptan groups vs placebo (p<0.05). Increases in serum creatinine, orthostatic changes in systolic pressure and thirst were more common with satavaptan.	The trial included patients with or without hyponatraemia, and normal to mildly abnormal renal function.
 a) Naidech AM (Neurocritic Care 2010). (38) b) prospective, randomized pilot (goal N = 20) trial 	 a) Conivaptan. b) neuro-ICU patients with severe hyponatremia (< 130 mE/l) or Hyponatremia (<135 mEq/l) with depressed GCS. c) n= 20 	 a) Conivaptan bolus (20 mg iv) followed by 20 mg IV over 24 h. b) 36h c) changes in serum and urine electrolytes and clinical examinations. 	Conivaptan led to higher s[Na ⁺] compared to usual care at 6, 24, 36 h (p<0.05).	Recruitment according to inclusion-criteria was difficult: the study was terminated after 6 patients were enrolled. Not conclusive results.
a) Abraham WT (The BALANCE Study: Treatment of Hyponatremia Based on Lixivaptan in NYHA Class III/IV Cardiac Patient Evaluation; Clin Transl Sci 2010). (39)	 a) Lixivaptan. b) patients hospitalized for CHF (NYHA III- IV). c) n=652 patients: 	 a) doses of lixivaptan or placebo adjusted on s[Na⁺] or volume status. b) 60 days. c) Increase in s[Na⁺] from baseline. Body 	Lixivaptan led to higher s[Na ⁺] and reduce body weight, without renal dysfunction or hypokalemia.	BALANCE seeks to address unmet questions regarding the use of vasopressin antagonists including their effects on

	n 226 linimenten	and all all all all all all all all all al		
b) double-blind,	- n=326 lixivaptan - n=326 placebo	weight and clinical measures.		cognitive function
multicentric, RCCT.	- II-520 place00	measures.		Tunction
(phase III trial).				
a) Aronson D (Short- and long-term treatment of dilutional	a) Satavaptan. b)diluitional	a) Satavaptan 25 mg/die vs 50 mg/die vs placebo.	The response rate was significantly (p<0.05) and	The long-term open-label treatment results
hyponatraemia with	hyponatremia	b) 4 days (double-blind	doses-related	demonstrate
satavaptan: the DILIPO	(<135 mEq/l) in	treatment), followed by	higher with	sustained efficacy
study; Eur J Heart Fail 2011). (40)	CHF. c) n= 118	non-comparative open- label satavaptan therapy for up to 343 days.	satavaptan than with placebo.	of satavaptan in maintaining normal sodium
b) double-blind,	•)		Sodium responses	levels.
multicentric, RCCT.		c) $s[Na^+] \ge 135 \text{ mEq/l}$ and/or an increase in ≥ 5 mEq/l above baseline. Clinical measures.	maintained during open-label therapy.	
			Higher rates of adverse events with the 50	
			mg/day dose.	
a) Galton C (Neurocrit	a) Conivaptan	a) a single dose 20 mg	At 4 h, serum	- non-
Care 2011). (41)		conivaptan.	sodium was	hyponatremic
	b) Within 24 h of		higher ($P = 0.02$)	patients enrolled.
b) Open-label	severe traumatic	b) 48 h	and ICP was	11 . 1
randomized controlled trial.	brain injury.	c) s[Na ⁺], sodium load,	lower ($P = 0.046$) in the conivaptan	- little study.
ulai.	c) n=10 patients:	change in ICP, urine	group.	- further
	- n=5: conivaptan	output.	group.	investigations are
	- n=5: only usual	output	24-h but not 48-h	needed to assess
	care		urine output was	the role of
			different between	conivaptan in the
			the two groups (P	management of
			< 0.01 and P =	intracranial
			0.20,	hypertension.
			respectively).	
			No drug-related serious adverse	
			events	
a) Koren MJ (Am J	a) Conivaptan.	a) Conivaptan 20 mg/die	Changes were	
Health Syst Pharm,		or 20 mg/bid or placebo	significantly	
2011). (42)	b) Euvolemic or	via 30-minute i.v. infusion.	greater and dose-	
b) RCCT.	hypervolemic hyponatremia.	b) 48 h.	related compared with those in the	
<i>b)</i> KCC1.			placebo group.	
	c) n=49 patients.	c) Change in s[Na ⁺] from	Dethers	
		baseline to 48 hours.	Both conivaptan regimens were	
			more efficacious	
			than placebo in all	
			secondary	
			efficacy	
			outcomes.	
			Conivaptan was	

	generally well tolerated, with infusion-site reactions being the most common adverse effects .	

IV. DISCUSSION

The analysis of the mentioned trials shows that nonpeptide vasopressin antagonists are safe and effective drugs in the short-term correction of hyponatremia in both the states of hypervolemia (liver cirrhosis and heart failure) and euvolemia (SIADH).

Certainly vaptans have a number of limitations. First of all, trials presented a percentage of 10-20% of patients who do not respond to treatment. The reason for this phenomenon has not yet been studied well. This can be partly attributed to altered pharmacokinetics (altered volume of distribution in oedematous states and water ingestion), in part to polymorphisms and mutations in the vasopressin receptors (43, 44). On the other hand, vaptans may be associated with rapid correction of sodium values (> 12 h mEq/l/24) with potential risk of pontine myelinolysis, although the literature has not reported any cases of this complication in patients treated with these drugs.

Clinical response to vaptans in terms of aquaresis and hyponatremia correction is not the same in all subsets of patients. Patients with SIADH are those that respond best, while those with liver cirrhosis worse. Probably in the state of hypervolemia (CHF, liver cirrhosis) proximal tubular reabsorption reduces the effect that vaptans cause in distal tubular ducts. Further studies are needed to clarify this point. So clinicians need to keep in mind that therapy with vaptans should be individualized for each patient.

All studies were short term. Only Soupart (31) and Aronson (40) studied the effectiveness of satavaptan for one year, in SIADH and CHF respectively, demonstrating the efficacy and good tolerability in long-term treatment.

There are no studies comparing the efficacy between vaptans and traditional treatment (diuretics, hypertonic saline, urea,...) or between two different vaptans. This last point is considerable because, whereas conivaptan and mozavaptan (used in Japan for the treatment of hyponatremia in paraneoplastic SIADH)also block the V1a receptors, they may have superior efficacy compared to satavaptan, tolvaptan and lixivaptan (which are selective V2-receptor antagonists) in patients with heart failure.

All vaptans induce thirst and this effect is very important for compliance to therapy. Without doubt, the thirst is explained by the increased plasma osmolality that they determine, but it may be also linked to a direct action on vasopressin receptors of "thirst" in hypothalamic neurons.

In recent years the use of vaptans for treatment of emergency hyponatremia (45) and for the control of serum sodium and intracranial hypertension in neurosurgical patients has been discussed (38, 41). For the correction of emergency hyponatremia, hypertonic saline remains the treatment of choice, but it has been hypothesized that vaptans could at least be taken into account to give a slower correction of sodium rate than hypertonic saline and no risk of pulmonary edema (45). With regard to the treatment of cerebral edema and intracranial hypertension in neurosurgical patients, the current target is to keep the patient "salty and full", with careful monitoring and rapid intervention to keep within normal limits the plasma osmolality and serum sodium (as well as the optimization of respiratory and cardiovascular functions, in addition to sedation, to guaranty an adequate DO_2/VO_2) through the consolidated use of mannitol, hypertonic saline, diuretics. To the best of our knowledge, there are still no conclusive studies on these two issues and we wait for results in the coming years.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Vaptans are safe and effective drugs in the short-term treatment of hyponatremia due to hypervolaemic edematous states (liver cirrhosis, heart failure) and euvolaemic states (SIADH). They are contraindicated in hypovolaemic hyponatremia. About superiority of one vaptan above the other and comparison between vaptans and conventional therapy, questions are still open.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Hoorn EJ, Lindemans J, Zietse R. Development of severe hyponatremia in hospitalized patients: treatment-related risk factors and inadequate management. Nephrol Dial Transplant 21: 70-76, 2006.

2. Adrogué HJ, Madias NE. Hyponatremia. New Engl J Med 21: 1581-1589, 2000.

3. Janicic N, Verbalis JG. Evaluation and management of hypo-osmolality in hospitalized patients. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2003; 32: 459-481.

4. Hawkins RC. Age and gender as risk factors for hypernatremia and hyponatremia. Clin Chim Acta. 2003; 337: 169- 172.

5. Gheorghiade M, Hellkamp AS, Pina IL, et al. Hemodynamic characterization and prognostic value of persistent hyponatremia in patients with severe heart failure in the ESCAPE trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;(Suppl):145A.

6. Esposito P, Piotti G et al. The Syndrome of Inappropriate Antidiuresis: Pathophysiology, Clinical Management and New Therapeutic Options. Nephron Clin Pract 2011; 119: c69-c73.

7. Goh KP. Management of hyponatremia. Am Fam Physician. 2004; 69: 2387-2394.

8. Soupart A, Decaux G. Therapeutic recommendations for management of severe hyponatremia: current concepts

on pathogenesis and prevention of neurologic complications. Clin Nephrol. 1996; 46: 149-169.

9. Yeates KE, Morton AR Vasopressin antagonists: role in the management of hyponatremia. Am J Nephrol. 2006; 26: 348-355.

10. Yeates KE, Singer M, Morton AR. Salt and water: a simple approach to hyponatremia. CMAJ. 2004; 170: 931.

11. Adrogue HJ. Consequences of inadequate management of hyponatremia. Am J Nephrol. 2005; 25: 240-249.

12. Decaux G, Brimioulle S, Genette F, et al. Treatment of the syndrome of inappropriate secretion of antidiuretic hormone by urea. Am J Med 69: 99-106, 1980.

13. Schally AV: Hormones of the neurohypophysis; in Lock W, Schally AV (eds): The Hypothalamus and Pituitary in Health and Disease. Springfield, Thomas, 1972, pp 154–171.

14. Thibonnier M, Conarty DM, Preston JA, et al: Molecular pharmacology of human vasopressin receptors. Adv Exp Med Biol 1998; 449: 251–276.

15. Du Pasquier D, Loup F, Dubois-Dauphin M, et al: Binding sites for vasopressin in the human pituitary are associated with corticotrophs and may differ from other known vasopressin receptors. J Neuroendocrinol 1991;3: 237–247.

16. Nielsen S, Frokler J, Marples D, Kwon T, et al: Aquaporins in the kidney from molecules to medicine. Physiol Rev 2002; 82: 205–244.

17. Yamamura Y, Ogawa H, Yamashita H, et al. Characterization of a novel aquaretic agent OPC 31260, as an orally effective, nonpeptide vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist. Br J Pharmacol 105: 787-791, 1992.

18. Chan PS, Coupet J, Park HC, et al. VPA-985, a nonpeptide orally active and selective vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist. In: Zingg HH, Bourque CW, Bichet DG, Eds. Vasopressin and Oxytocin; Molecular, Cellular and Clinical Advances. Raven Press, New York, 1998: 439-443.

19. Tahara A, Tomura Y, Wada K, et al. Pharmacological profile of YM 087, a novel potent nonpeptide vasopressin V1a and V2 receptor antagonist, in vitro and in vivo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 282: 301-306, 1997.

20. Serradeil-LeGal C, Lacour C, Valette G, et al. Characterisation of SR 121 463 A, a highly potent and selective, orally active vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist. J Clin Invest 98: 2729-2738, 1996.

21. Yamamura Y, Nakamura S, Itoh S, et al. OPC 41061, a highly potent human vasopressin V-2 receptor antagonist:pharmacological profile and aquaretic effect by single and multiple oral dosing in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 287: 860-867, 1998.

22. Vaprisol (conivaptan HCl injection). Package insert. Deerfield IL: Astellas Tokai Co.; February 2006.

23. Ghali JK, Farah JO, Daifallah S, et al:Conivaptan and its role in the treatment of hyponatremia. Drug Des Devel Ther 2009; 3: 253–268.

24. Samsca (Tolvaptan): US Prescribing Information. Rockville, Otsuka America Pharmaceutical Inc, 2009.

25. Samsca (Tolvaptan): EU Summary of Product Characteristics. Uxbridge, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Europe Ltd, 2009.

26. Gheorghiade M, Niazi I, Ouyang J, Czerwiec F, Kambayashi J, Zampino M, Orlandi C; Tolvaptan Investigators. Vasopressin V2-receptor blockade with tolvaptan in patients with chronic heart failure: results from a double-blind, randomized trial. Circulation. 2003 Jun 3;107(21):2690-6.

27. Gerbes AL, Gulberg V, Gines P, et al; The VPA study group. Therapy of hyponatremia in cirrhosis with a vasopressin receptor antagonist: a randomized doubleblind multicenter trial. Gastroenterology. 2003;124:933–939.

28. Wong F, Blei AT, Blendis LM, Thuluvath PJ. A vasopressin receptor antagonist (VPA-985) improves serum sodium concentration in patients with hyponatremia: a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Hepatology. 2003;37:182–191.

29. Schrier RW, Gross P, Gheorghiade M, et al. Tolvaptan, a selective oral vasopressin V2-receptor antagonist, for hyponatremia. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:2099–2112.

30. Gheorghiade M, Gottlieb SS, Udelson JE, et al. Vasopressin V2 receptor blockade with tolvaptan versus fluid restriction in the treatment of hyponatremia. Am J Cardiol 97: 1064-1067, 2006.

31. Soupart A, Gross P, Legros JJ, et al. Successful longterm treatment of hyponatremia in syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion with Satavaptan (SR-121463B), an orally active non-peptide vasopressin V2-receptor antagonist. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 1:1154–1160.

32. Ghali JK, Koren MJ, Tylor JR, et al; Conivaptan Study Group. Efficacy and safety of oral conivaptan: a V1A/V2 vasopressin receptor antagonist, assessed in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial in patients with euvolemic or hypervolemic hyponatremia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91:2145–2152.

33. Konstam MA, Gheorghiade M, Burnett JC, et al. Effects of oral tolvaptan in patients hospitalized for worsening heart failure. JAMA 297: 1319-1931, 2007

34. Zeltser D, Rosansky S, van Rensburg H, Verbalis JG, Smith N; Conivaptan Study Group. Assessment of the efficacy and safety of intravenous conivaptan in euvolemic and hypervolemic hyponatremia. Am J Nephrol 2007;27:447-57.

35. Gines P, Wong F, Watson H, et al: Effects of satavaptan, a selective vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist, on ascites and serum sodium in cirrhosis with hyponatremia: a randomized trial. Hepatology 2008; 48: 204–213.

36. Annane D, Decaux G, Smith N: Efficacy and safety of oral conivaptan, a vasopressin-receptor antagonist, evaluated in a randomized, controlled trial in patients with euvolemic or hypervolemic hyponatremia. Am J Med Sci 2009; 337: 28–36.

37. Wong F et al. Effects of a selective vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist, satavaptan, on ascites recurrence after paracentesis in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol. 2010 Aug;53(2):283-90.

38. Naidech AM et al. Use of Conivaptan (Vaprisol) for hyponatremic neuro-ICU patients. Neurocrit Care. 2010 Aug;13(1):57-61.

39. Abraham WT, Aranda JM, Boehmer JP, Elkayam U, Gilbert EM, Gottlieb SS, Hasenfuss G, Kukin M, Lowes BD, O'Connell JB, Tavazzi L, Feldman AM, Ticho B, Orlandi C. Rationale and design of the treatment of hyponatremia based on lixivaptan in NYHA class III/IV cardiac patient evaluation (THE BALANCE) study. Clin Transl Sci. 2010 Oct;3(5):249-53.

40. Aronson D, Verbalis JG, Mueller M, Krum H; DILIPO investigators. Short- and long-term treatment of dilutional hyponatraemia with satavaptan, a selective arginine vasopressin V2-receptor antagonist: the DILIPO study. Eur J Heart Fail. 2011 Mar;13(3):327-36. Epub 2011 Jan 3.

41. Galton C, Deem S, Yanez ND, Souter M, Chesnut R, Dagal A, Treggiari M. Open-label randomized trial of the safety and efficacy of a single dose conivaptan to raise serum sodium in patients with traumatic brain injury. Neurocrit Care. 2011 Jun;14(3):354-60.

42. Koren MJ, Hamad A, Klasen S, Abeyratne A, McNutt BE, Kalra S. Efficacy and safety of 30-minute infusions of conivaptan in euvolemic and hypervolemic hyponatremia. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2011 May 1;68(9):818-27.

43. Feldman BJ, Rosenthal SM et al. Nephrogenic syndrome of inappropriate antidiuresis. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 1884–1890.

44. Decaux G, Vandergheynst et al. Nephrogenic syndrome of inappropriate antidiuresis in adults: high phenotypic variability in men and women from a large pedigree. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 18: 606–612.

45. Lehrich RW, Greenberg A. When is it appropriate to use vasopressin receptor antagonists? J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 19: 1054–1058.

46. Udelson JE, Smith WB, Hendrix GH, Painchaud CA, Ghazzi M, Thomas I, Ghali JK, Selaru P, Chanoine F, Pressler ML, Konstam MA 2001 Acute hemodynamic effects of conivaptan, a dual V1A and V2 vasopressin receptor antagonist, in patients with advanced heart failure. Circulation 104:2417–2423.